



# Cornell University Library Ithaca, New York

BOUGHT WITH THE INCOME OF THE

## SAGE ENDOWMENT FUND

THE GIFT OF

HENRY W. SAGE

1891

# PA 412.R86

Demonstrations in Greek lambic verse.

3 1924 021 604 602



The original of this book is in the Cornell University Library.

There are no known copyright restrictions in the United States on the use of the text.

# **DEMONSTRATIONS**

IN

GREEK IAMBIC VERSE.

# Mondon: C. J. CLAY AND SONS, CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS WAREHOUSE, AVE MARIA LANE.

Glasgow: 263, ARGYLE STREET.



Leipzig: F. A. BROCKHAUS.

Bew Bork: THE MACMILLAN COMPANY.

Bombag: E. SEYMOUR HALE.

# DEMONSTRATIONS

IN

# GREEK IAMBIC VERSE

BY

W. H. D. ROUSE, M.A.

FORMERLY FELLOW OF CHRIST'S COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE;

A MASTER AT RUCBY SCHOOL.

CAMBRIDGE:
AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.
1899

[All Rights reserved.]

## Cambridge:

PRINTED BY J. AND C. F. CLAY, AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.

## PREFACE.

THESE Demonstrations are not printed with any idea of entering into competition with the masters of verse writing. I believe they contain no blunders, and they are as good as I can make them; but my aim is practical, namely, to teach those who know little about verses how they may learn more. In games we often learn more from those who are just good enough to beat us, than we could learn from professional players; and so I trust that if these verses are only a little better than the learner can make, he will learn from them none the less.

What is here printed is the substance of composition lectures which I have been in the habit of giving for the last ten or twelve years. They have taken shape gradually, and bear signs of their origin in the cautions which will be found here and there. When the pupil is warned against a mistake, this is generally one which some pupil has actually made, and very often one which most pupils make. Sometimes I have taken a phrase or a line from a pupil's copy, as being better than any other I could

vi PREFACE.

think of; and if any of my former pupils recognise their own hand, I hope they will pardon the innocent theft.

The lectures are of course not given exactly as they are here printed; but as far as possible all information is evolved from the class by questions, more Socratico. The requisites are a blackboard (or two, if possible, one for rough work and suggestions, one for the copy), chalk, and thorough preparation. The teacher can then begin with a simulation of ignorance, and by judicious hints and questions draw out one word or phrase after another, until he has material to begin the first section. Each phrase, when moulded into metrical form, is written on the board in its place in the line, with marks of long and short set between to show clearly what is wanted. By the time he has done his rough work thus, the line or group of lines will be written upon the board, and he can proceed to the rest.

I am not without hopes that some teachers may find this book useful, as I am not aware that this method of teaching has been advocated before, and I never knew any one who used it. If they try it, they may be sure that they will find their work more interesting and their pupils more interested. It is impossible to do without individual criticism, of course; but after the piece has been gone through in this way, each copy may be dismissed in five minutes. How weary we get of pointing out some common mistake a dozen times to a dozen different persons! This drudgery will be needless when the common mistake has been pointed out in the Demonstration. But the real advantage is, that we not only

show the result to a class, but the way in which it is attained. If they do not learn ten times as fast when this is done, then Greek Verses differ in principle from all other learning which is learnt on earth.

Another class of persons I have in view are those who have not had a good training in verse composition, or who have begun late and can find no teacher. Such as these will benefit most by the book if they use sheets of paper instead of blackboards, and write down each step as it is taken.

The earlier pieces are easy, and are treated in greater detail than the later. Words and Form are at first kept separate; but when the pupil may be supposed to have made some progress in understanding the principles of working, the two are dealt with together. A few have been taken from Holden's Foliorum Silvula; the rest were selected by myself.

Before concluding I would express my firm conviction that time spent on verse composition is not wasted, even if the pupil never writes a good copy. A knowledge of verse rhythm is worth having, and he will probably never get a sound knowledge of rhythm, either verse or prose, unless he has written verses. Rhythm is so marked in verse that it cannot be missed by any except the utterly hopeless. How any ordinary person can understand the rhythm of prose if he cannot understand the rhythm of verse, passes my comprehension; on the other hand, verse once understood, it is a shorter step to the teaching of prose rhythm. Then again, the vocabulary of the poets is so much richer and finer than prose, so full of

viii PREFACE.

fleeting allusions and fresh metaphors, that the verse-writer is bound to learn a great deal more about any language than he can learn from prose merely. Nor is it of small importance, that the translator has to use the most searching care in examining pieces of classical English. An English reader seldom gets at the heart of what he reads; never, unless he makes it his business to do so, with the same care as he who has to translate it. Further, it is a curious fact, but so I have nearly always found, that a boy loses by giving up verse. He very rarely writes prose so well as the boy who does both, although he usually gives to prose the time which others give to both. For all these reasons, besides the mere intellectual pleasure, the teaching of verse composition is of real importance in all linguistic studies.

I wish to express my thanks to several friends who have been so kind as to look through the proofs of this book, in particular Prof. Conway, of Cardiff, Mr W. G. Rushbrooke, Head Master of St Olave's School, and Mr J. H. Williams. Prof. Conway's searching criticisms have cleared out many things I am glad to be rid of. I have also to thank the Staff of the University Press for their care in detecting errors which had escaped me.

## CONTENTS.

INTROD	UCTION .								PAGES
I.	Metre and	Rhyt	$^{ m hm}$						3
	Position .								16
	Crasis .								18
	Metrical '	Varia	nts						21
	Grammati	ical	Usag	e					23
II.	Language an	nd S	tyle						25
	Simile								28
	Metaphor								30
	Proverbs .								48
	Personific	ation	, Ar	tithe	esis,	Repet	ition		49
	Paraphras	se				,			54
	Epithets .								71
Exerci	ses: Prelimi	nary							77
I—	-XXII								81
Index									249

### ERRATUM.

P. 50, l. 8. Delete 1316.

### INTRODUCTION.

HE that would learn how to write Greek verse generally begins with some knowledge of the Latin Elegiac. Such a one must be warned at the outset to cast aside all prepossessions formed by the study of Latin elegiac verse. Greek iambics rhetorical tricks are much more sparingly used than in Latin elegiacs. The structure of the verse approaches more closely to prose, and it has nothing whatever of the couplet or stanza form. In Latin elegiacs, it is necessary now to compress and now to expand; the couplet is a kind of Procrustean bed, which must be fitted. But in writing Greek iambics we have (within reasonable limits) a free hand. Often an English line will go into half the space in Greek; more often it will take just a little more space. Good translations rarely count more than five lines of Greek to four of English; but up to that limit, and sometimes even beyond, the translator is free. Indeed, if he produce a good copy, the number of lines will never be closely scrutinized.

The problem before the translator is twofold: he has a translation to make, and it must be metrical.

The first needs a knowledge of Greek words, grammar and idiom, the second of the iambic metre. For the first he has

R.

been training ever since he began to write Greek prose. A certain amount of this knowledge is assumed to exist in any who shall use this book; but there are some points in which help may be given. The dramatists have to a certain extent their own vocabulary; and I shall endeavour to point out some of its characteristics. In accidence, and to some extent in syntax, the dramatists have forms of their own which may be used; and these also will be pointed out. But it cannot be too often said that a good copy of Greek verses may be made with the simplest possible words. The student will acquire his vocabulary in time; at first all he need do, is to reduce the English to its simplest terms, and so translate it.

The second point is the metre. Here again, it is assumed that the student has learnt the elements of the Greek iambic. He should know how to scan, that is to divide an iambic line into feet; and what feet are allowed in each part of the verse. But although the knowledge of scansion is indispensable to the student, it will help him but little in composing a copy of Greek verse. He will not find 'feet' in his dictionary, or in Greek authors; but words. The problem is, not-given a line, to find its feet; but, given a word or phrase, to find its place in the line. If he has learnt verse-writing on the true principle, he may proceed to his translation unhampered. But most elementary books regard the verse as made up of so many feet; and those who have hitherto looked at verse from this point of view, must consider the remarks on Metre given below', or they will not be able to understand the Demonstrations.

What follows will be grouped under two heads: I. Metre, II. Language and Style.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These remarks are based on *Damon*, a Manual of Greek Iambic Verse (Rivington), where they are more fully worked out and illustrated for beginners.

#### I. METRE.

#### Explanation of Terms:

Cretic ήγεμών.	Iambus ∪ –	ἀνήρ.
Bacchius ἀμείνων.	Spondee	τούτων.
Palimbacchius — - Ο λύσαντες.	Dactyl	καίρια.
Amphibrachys πάρεστιν.	Anapaest	ανέβην.
Molossus λυσάντων.	Tribrach	πατέρα.
Trochee - $\checkmark$ $\tau o \partial \tau o$ .		•

The caesura divides a normal line into two unequal parts: one of five syllables, the Penthemimer; and one of seven syllables, the Hephthemimer: either of which may come first.

## 1. The Word or Word-group.

If we look at an iambic line, we shall see that it very often contains a word, or group of words, scanning as Cretic, Bacchius, Palimbacchius, Amphibrachys, or Molossus. is because the Greek language contains a great number of such words, and a still greater number of groups having the same scansion. It must be remembered that in speaking we do not utter words separately, but in groups; and it is of the first importance to realize this as to any foreign language. If ήγεμών is a cretic, so is τοῦτ' ἔχει, and so also is τοῦτό μου: if λύσαντες is a palimbacchius, the same are τούτων δε and τοῦτ' ἐστίν. Enclitics and the like form one group with the word that goes before; articles, prepositions and some conjunctions with the word that comes after. Thus Tols Lóyois forms one metrical group, a cretic; καὶ τούτων is the equivalent of a molossus, πρὸς αὐτοὺς of a bacchius. No metrical break (caesura, for instance) can ever come between the parts of such phrases as these last, though it may come where the parts are less closely connected, as in τοῦτ' ἐστί. The first thing the

translator has to do, is then, having got his words, to arrange them in natural groups, which shall be metrical. Let him remember also that the most useful groups are bacchius or amphibrachys, and cretic. If he can find one of each, his line is practically done. Suppose he has the word  $\eta\gamma\epsilon\mu\omega\nu$  and the word  $d\mu\epsilon\ell\nu\omega\nu$ : let him place them together thus

## αμείνων ήγεμών

and he has left to find only two syllables (an iambus or spondee) for the beginning; and four  $(\succeq - \smile \succeq)$  for the end. For example: où  $\kappa$  cor may stand first, and rou  $\mu$  ou  $\pi a \tau \rho$  is last, and there is a line complete

οὖκ ἔστ' | ἀμείνων || ἡγεμων | τοὖμοῦ πατρός,

with the caesura after a penthemimer. Now iambic or spondaic words are so common, that he can be fairly sure of finding some. It follows then that, given bacchius + cretic, the iambic verse is as good as done.

The iambic verse may generally be divided into four groups in this fashion, though the groups are not always the same. We shall come to the different Types of line presently; but another general remark is still to be made. This is, that these 'feet,' cretic bacchius and so forth, may (within limits) have one of their long syllables resolved, the resultant form being metrically equivalent. Thus πολέμιων is metrically equivalent either to ἀμείνων (with second syllable resolved), or to ἡγεμών (first syllable resolved); and wherever these can stand in a verse, there can πολεμίων stand. Equivalents of spondee are ἀνέβην (anapaest), and καίρια (dactyl): in some parts of the verse where a spondee may go, these also may go. In this same way, a tribrach occi is equivalent to either trochee -- or iambus --. But the learner must be very sparing in his use of such resolved equivalents. In a careful writer, such as Sophocles, there is hardly one instance in each twenty lines. Euripides used resolved syllables ad nauseam; we must imitate the more dignified style of Sophocles. The resolved cretic is the commonest form, when resolved forms are used.

It often happens that the student has to get into the line some word longer than any of these groups. Let it be said at once, that there is no restriction on the length of the words, except that the caesura must be kept. We often see one word taking up half a line, as ὁδοιπορούντων (first penthemimer), συγκατοικτιουμένη or ἐξυπηρετήσομεν (second hephthemimer). Indeed, there is no reason why a line should not consist of two words only, e.g.

## απροσδοκήτως έξαπαλλαχθήσεται,

if it has a satisfactory sound. But no such line does occur so far as I have observed; though the following line of Sophocles (*fragm.* 494. 2) is metrically two words:

τῷ καλλικοσσαβοῦντι νικητήρια.

However, lines of three words are not rare, and often very effective. Thus

ἄπλατον | ἀξύμβλητον || ἐξεθρεψάμην. Soph. frag. 355. νόσημα | κηδεύοντα || παιδαγωγία. Eurip. Orest. 883.

### 2. The Verse.

The chief types of the Iambic verse are the following. Word-groups are divided by single lines, the caesura is marked by a double line. Examples are given first of the strict type, second with resolved syllables. A note is added to each of the place where common metrical forms may go.

Cretic: First Position.

Bacchius: only possible place.

Amphibrachys.

(rare)

(rare)

λάθρα μ' | ύπελθών | ἐκβαλεῖν | ἱμείρεται.

Soph. O. T. 386.

Phil. 64. άλλ' αὅτ' | 'Οδυσσεῖ || παρέδοσαν | λέγων ὄσ' ἄν. (freq.) O. T. 826. μητρός | ζυγήναι | και πατέρα | κατακτανείν. (rare) Eur. Orest. 665. έρεις | άδύνατον | αύτο τουτο τους φίλους 1. Soph. Aj. 460. πότερα | πρὸς οἴκους || ναυλόχους | λιπών ἔδρας. ποδαπός δ' | δδ' άνηρ || καὶ πόθεν | κατέσχε γην; (rare) Eur. Hel. 1206 (cf. Soph. Phil. 486). ούδ' δνομ' | ἄρ' οὐδὲ || τῶν ἐμῶν | κακῶν κλέος. (rare) Soph. Phil. 251. 1327. Xρύσης |  $\pi$ ελασθεὶς || φύλακος, δς | τὸν ἀκαλυφή. (rare) **Type II.** = - - | - = | - - - | = - = =Cretic: First Position. Palimbacchius: First Position.

ἔκειτο | τλήμων || δεινὰ δ' ἦν | τἀνθένδ' ὁρᾶν. Soph. O. T. 1267.
ὅσιά τε | φωνεῖε || ἔστι τ' ὧ τέκνον θέμιε. Phil. 662.
πίμπλησι | πεδίον || πᾶσαν αἰκίζων φόβην. Ant. 419.
ἀθἄνἄτὄν | ἀρετὴν || ἔσχον ὡς πάρεσθ' ὁρᾶν. Phil. 1420.
ἀνάδημα | δέξαι || χειρὸς εὐσεβοῦς ἄπο.

Eur. Hipp. 83 (see Soph. Phil. 1228).

### Penthemimer-word.

Amphibrachys.

Cretic: First Position.

στημορραγούσι || ποικίλων | ἐσθημάτων. Aesch. Pers. 836. συνοπάζεται δὲ || πλήθος οὐχ ὅσον δοκεῖς. Soph. frag. 344. 5. These three Types show the Penthemimeral Caesura.

The hephthemimer is not divided, because it belongs to another Type. So in future. The student will notice that the Types do not always differ in both halves. The hephthemimer is the same, for example, in Types I to III.

**Type IV.** ≃-0-| ≃-0 || - ≃-| 0 ≃

Molossus: only possible place.

Cretic: Second Position.

Palimbacchius: Second Position.

Amphibrachys.

Four-syllable group first.

διοίγεται | θέαμα δ' || εἰσόψει | τάχα.

Soph. O. T. 1295.

άλλ' οἱ μὲν ἐκβαλόντες || ἀνοσίως | ἐμἐ.
τί γὰρ κακῶν | ἄπεστι; || τὸν πατέρα | πατήρ.

Soph. Phil. 257. O. T. 1496.

(rare) τί γὰρ κακῶν | ἄπεστι; || τὸν πατέρα | πο (rare) ἤσθην πατέρα | τὸν ἀμὸν || εὐλογοῦντά σε.

Phil. 1314.

This type has the Hephthemimeral Caesura.

**Type V.** ≃-∪-≃∥-∪|-≃-|∪≃

Molossus as before.

Cretic: Second Position.

Trochee.

γνώμης δ' ἀπούσης || πήμα || γίγνεται | μέγα.

Aesch. Eum. 750,

This type has both Caesuras together.

**Type VI.** ≃-0-0|-0-0|-0≃

Cretic Final, which must have a short syllable before it. Double Trochee.

ώς ούχ ὑπέρφευ || θνητὸν ὄντα | χρή φρονείν.

Aesch. Pers. 820.

(rare)  $\text{οὐκ ἀν } \mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon l \eta \nu \parallel \phi \epsilon \hat{v} \ \tau l \ \mu' \ ἀνδρα \mid \pi \text{ολέμιον}.$ 

Soph. Phil. 1302.

(rare) τάξας τε ταύτας ∥ οὐράνιό τε | σήματα.

frag. 399. 3.

**Type VII.** ≃-∪-| ≃-∪ || -∪ | -∪ ≃

Cretic Final.

Single Trochee.

Palimbacchius: Second Position.

σύ μέν, πάτερ | γεραιέ || τῶνδε | παρθένων.

Aesch. Suppl. 480.

Words of four or five syllables.

Palimbacchius.

Amphibrachys.

σιγήσατ' | δ γυναίκες || έξειργάσμεθα. Eur. Hipp. 565.
Αίδως δὲ | ποταμίαισι || κηπεύει | δρόσοις. 78.

Of course the final penthemimer may be of other types, such as IV, V, VII.

Five-syllable word. If first part be a molossus, it must come here.

σιγη | καλύψαθ' || ἀνθάδ' | εἰσηκούσατε. Ευτ. Ηίρρ. 712. ἀγὼ ῥυτοῖς | νασμοῖσιν || ἐξομόρξομαι. 653. φεύγουσα | καὶ τλᾶσ' || ἔργον | ἀνοσιώτατον. Μεδ. 796.

**Type X. ⊻-∪- ∀ | -∪- ∀ - | ∪ ∀** 

Five-syllable word, with molossus in second part.

οὐκοῦν | λέγουσα | τιμιωτέρα | φανεῖ. Eur. Hipp. 332.

Type XI. ≃- | - - - - | - - - - - Five-syllable word.

ναίειν | ἐλευθέροισι || θηλειῶν ἄτερ. Ευτ. Hipp. 624.

Type XII. ≃ - ω - ≅ || - ω - ≅ - ω ≅ Hephthemimer word.

ἄλυπος | ἄτης || ἐξαπαλλαχθήσεται. Soph. Εl. 1002.

The student should carefully remember that a short syllable must precede the final cretic, not a long syllable. Such lines as

νωμῶν, ὅ τ' ἐσθλὸς 'Αριόμαρδος | Σάρδεσιν Aesch. Pers. 321. ἄ μοι προσελθών σῖγα σήμαιν' | εἴτ' ἔχει Soph. Phil. 21.

are not to be imitated. There are probably not half a dozen in

the whole of the Greek tragic drama. But he should easily see that the line

θάρσει· παρέσται ταῦτά σοι καὶ θιγγάνειν Soph. Phil. 667. does not end in a cretic: καὶ θιγγάνειν together form a four-syllable group of a familiar type. If the line ended βάρβιτον μὲν θιγγάνειν, there would be a final cretic.

By examining the preceding Types it will appear that

Bacchius has only one place (Type 1).

Molossus has only one place (Types IV, V).

Iambic Quadrisyllables may go first or last.

Trochaic Quadrisyllables must go in Types vi, viii.

Given Bacchius and Cretic, we must look for iambic or spondaic words to complete the line:

Given Molossus, a trochee and an iambus will complete the final hephthemimer:

Given a trochaic five-syllable word  $- \circ - \circ -$ , we want a trochee (Type IX) or iambus (Type X):

Given an iambic five-syllable word  $\smile - = \smile$ , an iambus or spondee prefixed will complete the first hephthemimer.

## 3. The Verse-Paragraph.

Iambic verse, like English blank verse and Latin hexameters, depends for rhythmic effect on the Pause. The metrical pauses come at the caesura and at the end of each verse; and care must be taken to keep the sense-pause from coming too often in these places. Verse so composed is monotonous and unpleasing. The student must try to vary the position of his sense-pause in such a way as to break up the set of verses into Periods or Paragraphs of different lengths.

As an example of what is meant, take the opening lines of Paradise Lost:

Of man's first disobedience, | and the fruit Of that forbidden tree whose mortal taste Brought death into the world, | and all our woe, | With loss of Eden, | till one greater man Restore us, | and regain the blissful seat, | Sing, Heavenly Muse. |

Here not one of the interior pauses is repeated, and only twice does a sense-pause come at the end of a line. In Greek verses the sense-pause is rather more frequent at the end of a line and at the caesura, than in Milton; but the variety possible, when combinations of the various groups with various pauses are used, may be seen from almost any passage in a well written play.

The following may serve as examples of the sense-pause:

<b>411</b>	o tonowing may serve as examples of the sense-pause.
1.	ἴτ',   ὧ ταχεῖαι ποίνιμοί τ' Ἐρινύες. Soph. Aj. 843.
2.	ίδης,   ἐπισχὼν χρυσόνωτον ἡνίαν. 847.
3.	γυναικός,   ωσπερ οἱ πόνου πολλοῦ πλέω. 1112.
4.	ἄφερπέ νυν κάμοι γαρ αισχιστον κλύειν. 1161.
5.	εὶ γὰρ ποιήσεις,    ἴσθι πημανούμενος. 1155.
6.	αεὶ καλὸς πλοῦς ἔσθ',   ὅταν φεύγης κακά. Phil. 641.
7.	τίς ὁ πόθος αὐτοὺς ἴκετ', $\parallel$ ή θεῶν βία 601.
8.	ος πατέρα πρέσβυν, ος φίλους,   ος των έμων 665.
9.	άλλ' ἔστ' ἐκείνψ πάντα λεκτά,   πάντα δὲ 633.
10.	ές ἀντλίαν, ές πρώραν, ές πρύμνην,   ὅπου 482.
11.	καί μοι χέρ', ὧναξ, δεξιὰν ὄρεξον,   ώς Ο. C. 1130.

There is a pause possible, as may be seen here, after every syllable of the line. So far is this desire for variety carried, that the grammatical construction may ignore the metrical pauses, and occasionally elision is found at the verse-end. It is therefore clear that the verse-paragraph was pronounced continuously, with only just enough pause in the metrical

breaks to prevent the hearer's forgetting that he hears verse. It may be useful to give a few examples of these two things.

(a) Grammatical construction interrupted by the metrical pause (Quasi-caesura).

#### At the Caesura:

πῶς εἶπας; ἢ τῷ || δυσμενεστάτῳ βροτῶν; Soph. El. 407. τὸ γὰρ σόν, οὐ τὸ || τοῦδ, ἐποικτείρω στόμα. O. T. 671. οὖκ ἔστι πέρσαι || σοι τὸ Δαρδάνου πέδον. Phil. 69. τί δῆτ' ἄν ἀλγοίης ἐπ' || ἐξειργασμένοις; Aj. 377.  $\mathring{\omega}$  φίλτατ', ἔγνων || γὰρ τὸ || προσφώνημά σου. O. C. 891.

In such lines as these there is no real caesura; for the article and its noun are really one group, and so are ἔγνων γὰρ. The last line has actually two quasi-caesuras, neither of which is really a caesura. There are many other lines of this sort, and the type may be imitated; but of course this must not be done too often, or it becomes monotonous in its turn.

#### At the Verse-end:

τῷ Λαβδακείῳ παιδὶ Πολυδώρου τε καὶ	O. T. 267.
ἄρχειν έλέσθαι σὺν φόβοισι μᾶλλον ἢ	585.
ἀλλ' ἢ μέμηνας, ὧ τάλαινα, κἀπὶ τοῖς	El. 879.
πασαν κόνιν σήραντες, ή κατείχε τον   νέκυν.	Ant. 409.
λείπομαι γὰρ ἐν   τῷ μήτε σωκεῖν μήθ' ὁρᾶν.	O. C. 495.

Compare O. T. 1234, Phil. 263, 312, etc.

This may be used very sparingly by a good composer.

(b) Elision at the end of a verse.

εὖ σοι φρονήσας εὖ λέγω· τὸ μανθάνειν δ'
ἤδιστον.
ὑφ' ου κενοῦται δῶμα Καδμεῖον· μέλας δ'
ΓΑιδης....
Ο. Τ. 29.

So O. T. 785, 791.

This is very rare, and should not be imitated.

One of the pauses, that numbered 6 in the list of examples, is a favourite with Sophocles: there are a full dozen in the *Philoctetes* alone. This pause, which exactly divides the line into two halves, is very effective in throwing a monosyllable into emphasis; but of course it should never be used without reason, or it becomes a meaningless trick. A few more examples are appended.

οὖκουν ἐν οἶς γε δρậς: | ἐν οἷς δ' αὐδậς ὀκνῶ. Soph. Phil. 907. 'Οδυσσέως, σάφ' ἴσθ', | ἐμοῦ γ', ὂν εἰσορậς. 977. Ζεύς ἐσθ', ἴν' εἰδῆς, Ζεύς, | ὁ τῆσδε γῆς κρατῶν. 989. ἀνάξιον μὲν σοῦ, | κατάξιον δ' ἐμοῦ (with rime). 1009. ἄγειν ἀπ' ἀκτῆς τῆσδ', | ἐν ἡ με προὐβάλου. 1017.

So 990, 1021, 1049, 1056, 1237, 1261; Eur. Medea 701, etc.

A similar effect is produced in the English trochaic tetrameter catalectic, the metre of *Locksley Hall* and many other poems, when the pause comes after the accent. Thus in the second line of this couplet:

'He will hold thee, when his passion | shall have spent its novel force,

Something better than his dóg, | a little dearer than his horse.'

### So again:

"Tis a purer life than thine: | a lip to drain thy trouble dry."
'Half is thine and half is his: | it will be worthy of the two.'

Another variety of pause is seen in the Elided Caesura; where, if there were no elision, the line would conform to the strict type. This is quite common, and may be used by the student. The elision mostly takes place with the hephthemimeral break, but not always so.

κάγὼ μαθοῦσ' ἔληξ', ὁ δ' ἐσσύθη μόνος. Soph. Aj. 294. See Aesch. Suppl. 244, also Pers. 821. There are fourteen instances in the Agamemnon, eight or ten in the Antigone,

and there is probably no Greek play which does not contain one or more of them.

Sometimes the caesura is lacking altogether, as in the following:

ώς ἐν μιᾳ πληγῆ κατέφθαρται πολὺς | ὅλβος. Aesch. Pers. 251. οὐδ' ἐν πτυχαῖς βίβλων κατεσφραγισμένα. Suppl. 947. πιθοῦ κράτος μέντοι πάρες γ' έκων εμοί. Ag. 943. καίτοι σε μεν κάκει προσαυδήσω ξυνών. Soph. Ai. 855. άλλ' είκε καὶ θυμώ μετάστασιν δίδου. Ant. 718. οδδ' όρνις εὐσήμους ἀπορροιβδεί βοάς. 1021. ω Ζεῦ, τί μου δράσαι βεβούλευσαι πέρι: O. T. 738. κούδ ἐν χρόνω μακρώ διδαχθήναι θέλεις. El. 330. λέγω σ' έγω δόλω Φιλοκτήτην λαβείν. Phil. 101.

See also Aesch. P. V. 465, 469, 489, 501, 503, 509, Cho. 150, Eum. 26. Soph. Aj. 994, 1091, O. T. 785, 1290.

There is a certain hurry about such lines as these, and there can be no doubt that this effect was intended. The student may use the same artifice to the same end, but with the same restrictions; nor must be use it at all unless he is confident of being able to produce really good verses.

No one who has read a Greek play can have failed to notice the line-for-line dialogue called **Stichomythia**. Dialogues of this sort occur in English, but their form is more strictly balanced in Greek. In passages of this sort, of course, there can be no verse-paragraph; the rhythm depends on the structure of each single line or half-line. In these passages the rule is strict: each speaker has the same allowance. Occasionally each speaks two lines (Eur. Bacch. 930–962); generally each speaks one; and not infrequently a succession of lines occurs each of which is divided, more or less equally, between the two speakers. The half-line division, as we may call it, is often found at the end of a stichomythia proper, and has the same metrical effect as the short anapaestic system at the end of a Parahasis in comedy. Examples are

numerous; I may instance Sophocles Ajax 591-594, Oed. Tyr. 626-629, 1173-1176, Oed. Col. 327-332, 652-655, Electra 1220-1226. Euripides has also a number such in trochaic stichomythia: Orest. 774-798, Ion 530-562, Hel. 1627-1638, I. T. 1203-1221, I. A. 1343-1368, etc. Occasionally a single line is divided between the two speakers, who otherwise speak one line each: Soph. El. 1209, 1347, 1349, 1400, 1402, 1410, 1411, etc. The lines may be divided anywhere, according to the sense.

Now it often happens that what the speaker has to say will not go into one line. What is to be done then? The Greek poet was equal to the emergency; come what would, the structural symmetry must not be lost, and the other speaker was made to interpolate a line, after which the first speaker finished his sentence. The student must bear this in mind when he is translating. If the English has any irregularity, such as two or three lines given to one of the speakers once only, he must interpolate a line for the other speaker. This will be usually a question, sometimes an exclamation; and to show the kind of thing wanted, a few examples will now be quoted.

A. αρ' οίδεν ανθρώπων τις, αρα φράζεται—

Β. τί χρημα; ποιον τουτο πάγκοινον λέγεις;

Α. -δσφ κράτιστον κτημάτων εὐβουλία; Soph. Ant. 1048.

Α. τρισσάς γε πηγάς τον τελευταίον δ' όλον-

Β. τοῦ τόνδε πλήσας θῶ; δίδασκε καὶ τόδε.

Α. - υδατος, μελίσσης μηδέ προσφέρειν μέθυ. Ο. C. 479.

Α. εί μοι θέμις γ' ήν άλλ' ὁ χῶρός ἐσθ' ὅδε—

Β. ἐν ὧ τί πράξεις; οὐ γὰρ ἀντιστήσομαι.

Α. - ἐν ῷ κρατήσω τῶν ἔμ' ἐκβεβληκότων. 644.

Α. πρὶν ἂν δεθεὶς πρὸς κίον ερκείου στέγης—

Β. τί δητα τὸν δύστηνον ἐργάσει κακόν;

Α. —μάστιγι πρώτον νώτα φοινιχθείς δαμή. Αj. 108.

- Α. θανουσα τύμβω δ' ὄνομα σώ κεκλήσεται-
- Β. μορφής ἐπφδόν, ἡ τί, τής ἐμής ἐρεῖς;
- A. —κυνὸς ταλαίνης σῆμα, ναυτίλοις τέκμαρ. Eur. Hec. 1271.
  See also Aesch. Cho. 118, 175, Eum. 201, Septem 735, Suppl. 462, Soph. O. T. 559, Eurip. Hec. 1260, 1272, Ion 1233, Hel. 1242, Orest. 415, 1583.

In the examples quoted above, the interrupted lines are complete in their own construction. Sometimes, however, the interpolated question affects the construction of the first speaker, or these two together form a sentence. As examples take the following:

- Α. δεινόν γε φωνείς ή δ' άμαρτία τίς ην;
- Β. ην σοὶ πυθόμενος τῷ τε σύμπαντι στρατῷ--
- Α. ἔπραξας ἔργον ποῖον ὧν οὖ σοι πρέπον;
- Β. ἀπάταισιν αἰσχραῖς ἄνδρα καὶ δόλοις έλών.
- Α. τὸν ποίον; ὤμοι μῶν τι βουλεύει νέον;
- Β. νέον μεν οὐδέν, τῷ δὲ Ποίαντος τόκω—
- Α. τί χρημα δράσεις; ως μ' ὑπηλθέ τις φόβος.
- Β. —παρ' οῦπερ ἔλαβον τάδε τὰ τόξ', αὖθις πάλιν—
- Α. ὦ Ζεῦ, τί λέξεις; οὖ τι που δοῦναι νοεῖς;
- Β. αἰσχρῶς γὰρ αὐτὰ κοὐ δίκη λαβὼν ἔχω.

Soph. Phil. 1225.

The construction of 1232 is never finished, but the answer catches up line 1233.

The two speakers together make a sentence in

- Α. τὸν μὲν Διὸς πληγέντα Καπανέα πυρί—
- Β. ἢ χωρὶς ἱρὸν ὡς νεκρὸν θάψαι θέλεις;
- Α. ναί τοὺς δέ γ' άλλους πάντας έν μιᾶ πυρᾶ.

Eur. Suppl. 934.

Half lines are interpolated in the same way when necessary, in a succession of half-lines:

Α. καί τις ἄν γέ μ' οἰκτίσειε— Β. μέγα γὰρ ηδηένειά σου.
 <sup>1</sup> Sc. ἔπραξα ἔργον, etc.

Α. —θάνατον ἀσχάλλων πατρῷον. Β. πάντα ταῦτ' ἐν ὅμμασιν.
 Εur. Or. 785.

Α. σὰ δὲ μένων αὐτοῦ πρὸ ναῶν τῆ θεῷ— Β. τί χρῆμα δρῶ; Α. —ἄγνισον πυρσῷ μέλαθρον. Ι. Τ. 1215.

See also I. T. 1206, 1209, 1217, 1219.

The speakers occasionally have two lines each once only in a succession of single lines (O. T. 572).

Irregularities occur now and then; as for instance one line divided between two speakers in a stichomythia (Soph. El. 1347, 1349, etc.), or two lines given once to one speaker (Eur. Herc. Fur. 1403), or one line is divided in three (H. F. 1418, 1420, Ion 763). These need not concern us longer, but must be mentioned. The learner will of course keep to the strict rule until he is trained.

Before passing on to the next section, a few Hints and Cautions may be given.

## (a) Position.

Vowels must be long by position before two consonants (including of course  $\zeta$ ,  $\xi$ , and  $\psi$  which are double consonants, but not including  $\chi$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$  which are not).

Except a combination of Mute + Liquid or Nasal and that under restrictions.

The Mutes are

	Breathed (or hard)		Aspirate	
	к	γ	x	Gutturals
	τ	δ	$\theta$	Dentals
	π	β	φ	Labials
The Liqu			λ ρ. μ ν.	

Now be it remembered that the Soft Mutes,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\beta$ , are the heaviest of the first group, and the Liquid  $\rho$  is the lightest of the second; and then it will be easy to see the reason for the following rules.

- l. A Vowel must never be scanned short before a Soft Mute followed by  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ , or  $\nu$ . There are a few exceptions, e.g.  $\beta \ddot{\nu} \beta \lambda \sigma s$  Aesch. Suppl. 761, and occasionally a short final stands before  $\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a$  and  $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \acute{a} \nu \omega$ ; but the beginner were best never to violate the rule.
- 2. A short final should remain short when the next word begins with a Hard Mute or Aspirate followed by  $\rho$ . To this also there are a few exceptions: as

πάντ' ἐκκαλύπτων ὁ χρόνος εἰς φῶς ἄγει Soph. frag. 832. But these should not be imitated.

- 3. A short final may be long or short before a Hard Mute or Aspirate followed by  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ ; but it generally remains short.
- 4. When these groups occur within a word, the lengthening is less rare. Such a word, for example, as  $\epsilon \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \sigma \nu \tau \sigma$  might be scanned  $\circ \circ$ ; but even this is not common, and is better avoided. In the following cases, however, it is common<sup>1</sup>:
- (1) A few ordinary words, such as τέκνον, the oblique cases of πατήρ, with νεκρός, ὅπλα, δάκρυα (not δάκρυ singular).
- (2) A number of words which carry epic associations, because in epic poetry the lengthening is far commoner. Such are  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda a \theta \rho o \nu$ ,  $\ddot{a} \gamma \rho i o s$ ,  $\ddot{a} \kappa \rho o s$ ,  $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda o s$ ,  $\pi \dot{o} \tau \nu i a$ ,  $\ddot{o} \chi \lambda o s$ ,  $\ddot{i} \chi \nu o s$ , and the oblique cases of  $\theta \nu \gamma \dot{a} \tau \eta \rho$ .

The conclusion is, that the learner had better keep to a hard and fast rule:

No vowel short before  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\beta + \lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Classical Review, 1898, pp. 341-344, for statistics.

No final vowel long before any other combination of Mute+Liquid or Nasal.

One more remark must be made. A vowel may remain short, but is commonly lengthened, before a single initial  $\hat{\rho}$ .

## (b) Crasis.

We are chiefly concerned with the Article and with  $\kappa \alpha l$ , as few other words are combined in crasis. The contracted syllable is always long. The rules are as follows:

#### Article

o + a = a. ὁ ἀνήρ becomes ἀνήρ, τὸ ἀγαθόν becomes τὰγαθόν, τὸ αὐτό becomes ταὐτό.

 $o + \epsilon = ov$ . ὁ ἐπιών becomes οὐπιών, ὁ with ἐμέ becomes οὐμέ, τὸ ἔπος becomes τοὖπος.

o + o = ov (rare). τὸ ὅνομα becomes τοὕνομα.

a + a = a.  $\tau \grave{a}$   $\check{a}\lambda\lambda a$  becomes  $\tau \check{a}\lambda\lambda a$ .

 $a + \epsilon = a$ .  $\tau \grave{a} \ \check{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a$  becomes  $\tau \check{a} \rho \gamma a$ .

η + α = α (rare). In nom. fem.  $\mathring{η} : \mathring{η} \mathring{α} ρετ\mathring{η} \text{ becomes } \mathring{α} ρετ\mathring{η},$   $\mathring{η} \mathring{α} \mathring{λ} \mathring{η} \mathring{θ} εια \text{ becomes } \mathring{α} \mathring{λ} \mathring{η} \mathring{θ} εια.$ 

In dative :  $\tau \hat{\eta}$   $\hat{a}\phi \hat{\epsilon}\sigma \epsilon \iota$  becomes  $\tau \hat{a}\phi \hat{\epsilon}\sigma \epsilon \iota$  (note that the iota disappears).

η + ε = η (rare). Nominative: η εὐλάβεια becomes ηύλάβεια. Dative: τη ἐμαυτοῦ becomes τημαυτοῦ.

ov + a = a.  $\tau o\hat{v}$  and  $\delta \rho o\hat{s}$  becomes  $\tau and \rho o\hat{s}$ .

 $ov + \epsilon = ov$ .  $\tau o\hat{v} \epsilon \mu o\hat{v}$  becomes  $\tau o\hat{v}\mu o\hat{v}$ .

 $\omega + a = a$ .  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$  aνδρί becomes  $\tau \hat{a} \nu \delta \rho i$  (iota drops).

 $\omega + \epsilon = \omega$ .  $\tau \hat{\psi} \hat{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\psi}$  becomes  $\tau \vec{\omega} \mu \hat{\psi}$  (iota drops).

 $o\iota + a = a$  (occasionally found). of  $a\nu\delta\rho\epsilon$ s becomes  $a\nu\delta\rho\epsilon$ s.

When an aspirate follows some form of the Article which begins with a consonant, this consonant is aspirated, and the smooth breathing is written over the vowel:  $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \ \tau \hat{\eta} \ \eta \mu \epsilon \rho a$  becomes  $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \ \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho a$ .

The word  $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s has a special contracted declension, the analogy of the neuter plural  $\tau \tilde{\alpha}$   $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\alpha$  ( $\theta \acute{\alpha}\tau\epsilon\rho\alpha$ ) being carried right through:  $\tilde{\alpha}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s,  $\theta \acute{\alpha}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ v,  $\theta \alpha\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ v,  $\theta \alpha\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ . Of course this does not apply where contraction would be impossible; as  $\tau \hat{\eta}$ s  $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s,  $\tau \hat{\sigma}$ s  $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s,  $\tau \hat{\sigma}$ s  $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s.

 $\ddot{o}$  and  $\ddot{a}$  are also found in crasis, the latter being the commoner. The rules for contraction are the same as above:

 $\ddot{o} + \dot{\epsilon}\phi \circ \beta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \tau \circ \text{ becomes } \circ \dot{\iota}\phi \circ \beta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \tau \circ .$ 

 $\ddot{a} + \ddot{\epsilon}\pi a\theta \epsilon$  becomes  $\ddot{a}\pi a\theta \epsilon \nu$ , besides which we find  $\dot{a}\gamma\dot{\omega}$  (and other cases),  $\ddot{a}\nu$  ( $\dot{a}$   $\ddot{a}\nu$ ),  $\ddot{a}\chi\omega$ .

#### καί.

In Crasis,  $\kappa \alpha i$  is chiefly found before pronouns and prepositions the Augmented verb,  $\alpha$  privative, a few adverbs such as  $o i \kappa$ ,  $\epsilon i$ ,  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$ ,  $\alpha i \theta \iota s$ , and  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ . With other forms it is found less freely; and if used with others the student must be careful not to produce an ugly sound in crasis. Thus although such crasis as in  $\chi i \pi \epsilon \rho$  or  $\chi i \pi \delta$  may occasionally be found, it is better not to imitate. The rules are generally: Disregard the  $\iota$  (of  $\kappa \alpha i$ ) and contract according to the regular custom (see above); but in case of a long vowel or diphthong, simply prefix  $\kappa$ —. The  $\kappa$  is aspirated in crasis with an aspirate, like the article.

καί + a = κά. κατό, κανεχαίτισεν, κάστι, κάλλος, κάφιλος, κάκούσας, χαρπάσαι. In O. C. 13 we have χάν = καὶ ἃ ἄν.

καί +  $\epsilon = κ \dot{a}$ . κάπί, κάξέθρεψα, κάγώ, κάδει (καὶ ἔδει), κάστιν.

καί + ο = κω. χω (καὶ δ), χώπως, χώτι, χώποσοι; and more rarely with other words than the article, as κωδύρεται (καὶ δδύρεται).

καί + η = κη̈. χη̈ (καὶ η̈), χη̈δε.

 $\kappa \alpha i' + \omega' = \kappa \omega'$ .  $\chi \omega' s (\kappa \alpha i' \omega' s)$ .

καί + ει = κq. κατα (καὶ εἶτα); but καί + εἶ = κεῖ.

 $\kappa \alpha i + o i$ ,  $\alpha i = \chi o i$ ,  $\chi \alpha i$  (rare).

Other instances of crasis are confined to stock phrases,

such as  $\vec{\omega}\nu\alpha\xi$ ,  $\vec{\omega}\nu\delta\rho\epsilon s$ ,  $\hat{\epsilon}\gamma\tilde{\phi}\delta\alpha$  for  $\hat{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$  of  $\delta\alpha$ ,  $\mu\epsilon\tilde{\nu}\sigma\tau$  for  $\mu\epsilon\iota$  e $\epsilon\tau$  (notice the vowels here),  $\tau\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ ,  $\mu\epsilon\nu\tau\tilde{\alpha}\nu$  and  $\tau\tilde{\alpha}\rho\alpha$ , for  $\tau\epsilon\iota$  with  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}\rho\alpha$ . These phrases are all common enough, and may be used, but not imitated in other combinations. See also under Synizesis, which is really only another way of writing a crasis.

(c) Prodelision, that is the elision of an initial vowel after a long vowel, is allowable on occasion; but the licence should be used only with the vowel  $\epsilon$  (in verbs, pronouns, or prepositions) and with  $a \pi \delta$ .

Examples are: ἀλγῶ 'πί... (Soph. El. 333), ἐμοῦ 'πάκουσον (O. T. 708), μὴ 'ξ... (El. 398), μή 'στι (O. T. 824), μὴ 'δόκεις (Soph. O. T. 402, cp. 432, 985). So with ἐν, ἐστιν, and also ἐγώ, ἐμέ, ἐμαυτόν.

So perhaps  $\hat{\eta}\nu \mu \hat{\eta}$  ' $\rho\pi\eta s$  (or  $\mu\hat{\eta}$   $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\pi\eta s$ ), Soph. Phil. 985;  $\mu\hat{\eta}$  ' $\lambda\theta\eta s$  (or  $\mu\hat{\eta}$   $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\theta\eta s$ ), Aesch. Sept. 714.

(d) Synizesis may be sometimes used when two long vowels come together, the two being run into one syllable. The following are instances, and the student must be careful not to go beyond that which is written. The types are few, but common enough.

μη οὐ Soph. Trach. 90, O. T. 1065, 1232, Ant. 544, etc.  $\hat{\eta}$  οὐ Soph. O. T. 539, 555, 993, 1140, etc.  $\hat{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$  οὐ Soph. O. T. 332, 1002, etc.

ἐπεί οὐ Soph. Phil. 446, Aesch. Suppl. 910, etc. μπ εἰδότα Soph. O. C. 1155, Trach. 321, Ant. 33, etc.

 $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is apparently so used with a short vowel in the following instances, though they are sometimes written as crasis,  $\mu\dot{a}\mu\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\hat{\nu}$  etc.:

μη ἀμελεῖν (cretic) Aesch. Sup. 725, Eum. 86. μη αδικεῖν (cretic) Aesch. Eum. 85. μη ἀφέλης (cretic) Soph. Phil. 933. μη ἀποκλησαι Soph. O. T. 1388. μη ἀμαθής or the like in Eur. Tro. 981, Suppl. 421, Heracl. 459. μη αδικουμένοις Eur.

Suppl. 304. μη αναχαιτίσειε Eur. Bacch. 1072. μη απονοστήσας Eur. I. T. 731. μη αποδέξηται Eur. Hel. 832. μη αντί Eur. Andr. 808. See under (c) also, μη έρπης, etc.

The following rhythm is probably unique:

εὶ μὴ ὁ κελεύσας ῥύσεταί με μὴ θανείν Eur. Orest. 599.

This licence is found, even where a sense-pause comes between the two words:

εὶ δὲ μη, οὐ καλά Eur. Andr. 242, 254.

Hiatus is rare, and only found with interjections or questions:

ω οὖτος Soph. Aj. 89, O. C. 1627. τί οὖν Soph. Phil. 100. τί ἔστιν Soph. Phil. 752.

## (e) Metrical Variants.

It is indispensable that the composer should have at his tongue's tip as many variations of a given word or phrase as are to be found. Often the same word exists in more than one form; or there are different grammatical formulae for the same idea; or again, the idea may be expressed by paraphrase. Compounds and cognates, synonyms and equivalents—all must be ready for an emergency. Let us examine some of these matters.

## Different forms of one word.

Adverbs, Prepositions and Conjunctions.

ἄεί, ἀεί, αἰέν (rare).
 ἄνευ, ἄτερ, ἄτερθε, δίχα, χωρίς.
 ἀρμοῖ (rare), ἄρτι.
 αὖ, αὖθις, αὖτε (rare).
 αὐτοῦ, αὐτόθι.
 ἄψ, ἄψορρον.
 διά, διαί (rare).
 ἐκεῖθεν, ἐκεῖσε, κεῖθεν, κεῖσε.
 ἐξ οῦ, ἐξ ὅτου.
 ἔως, ἢμος, εὖτε.
 λἴαν, λἵαν.
 ὅτε, ὅπου, ἢμος, ὁπηνίκα, ἡνίκα.

ότι, οὖνεκα, ὁθούνεκα. οὖκ, οὖχί, οὖτι, οὖδέν, οὖδαμῶς. οὖποτε, οὖπώποτε, οὖδεπώποτε. οὖτω, οὖτως, ὧδε, τηβδε, ταύτη. τότε, τηνικαῦτα. σάφα, σαφῶς. ὖπό, ὑπαί (rare).

In composition, often αν- for ανα-, and so sometimes κατ-: αγκαλοῦμαι, αμβαίνειν, αμμεμιγμένος, αμμένω, αμπίπτω, αμπνοή, αντέλλειν, αντολή, κατθανεῖν.

Remember also that τοιοῦτος and other words beginning with τοι-, even οἷος, may shorten the first syllable. The same licence is found occasionally in other words; as Βοἴωτιον Soph. fragm. 881, παλαἴός Eur.

#### Adjectives and Pronouns.

ἐκεῖνος, κεῖνος.
 ἐμός, ἀμός.
 ἴσος, ἰσήρης.
 μέσος, μέσσος (used predicatively).
 μόνος, μοῦνος.
 νεός, νεοσσός, νεοχμός.
 ξένος, ξεῖνος.
 πας, ἄπας, πρόπας.
 πολύς, rarely πολλός.
 τρεῖς, τρισσός, τρίπτυχος.
 τόσος, τοσόσδε, τοσοῦτος.

#### Verbs.

ατιμάζω, ατίζω. γνάμπτω, κάμπτω. θράσσω ('break'), ταράσσω. λαμβάνω, λάζυμαι. λάσκω, λακάζω. λείπω, λιμπάνω. μένω, μίμνω. ναυστολεῖν, ναυσθλοῦν (Eur.). πίπτω, πίτνω. προσ-, ποτιψαύω, Trach. 1214. φημί, φάσκω. φεύγω, φυγγάνω.

#### Nouns.

γῆ, γαῖα, αἴα. ἦμαρ, ἡμέρα (notice the breathing). θάρσος, θράσος. λᾶός, λεώς. ὄνομα, οἴνομα. ὄσσε, ὀφθαλμός. πάθος, πάθη (f. rare), πένθος. πόλις, πτόλις, πόλισμα, πτόλισμα.

χαίτη, χαίτωμα; and many other pairs with masc. or fem. and neuter, πύργος, πύργωμα, πέργἄμα, etc.

## (f) Grammatical Usage.

#### Article.

This may be omitted at will; and indeed it is with Aeschylus generally, with Sophocles often, and sometimes with Euripides, used as a *Demonstrative*<sup>1</sup> or *Relative* pronoun. The article should therefore be avoided in verse, as it is a mark of prose style.

## Examples are:

Demonstrative. Aesch. Suppl. 439  $\mathring{\eta}$  τοῖσιν  $\mathring{\eta}$  τοῖς, 'these or those': Eum. 693 ἐν δὲ τῷ, 814 ἐκ δὲ τοῦ: Soph. O. T. 1082 τῆς γὰρ πέφυκα, Trach. 549: Sophocles also has ἐκ δὲ τῶν. ὁ μέν and ὁ δέ belong to this class. So πρὸ τοῦ.

Relative.  $\tau \hat{\eta} v = \hat{\eta} v$  Soph. El. 1144,  $\tau \hat{\eta} s = \hat{\eta} s$  Trach. 728, see also O. C. 747, 1258, 1379, Aesch. Eum. 336, Eur. Andr. 810.

These idioms (except  $\delta \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$ , etc.,  $\pi \rho \eth \tau o \hat{\nu}$ ) should not be used by the beginner, but are allowable in a good copy of verses with distinct Aeschylean style.

τό is more frequently used with the prolate infinitive than it is in prose: as τὸ δρᾶν οὐκ ἢθέλησαν Soph. O. C. 442, τλήσομαι τὸ κατθανεῖν Aesch. Ag. 1290.

It is common with the Infinitive of Consequence, where the prose construction-sign is  $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$ .

# Inflexions.

The composer should notice the following:

- 1. Nouns, Pronouns and Adjectives. The dative plural in -as and -os may be expanded into the old form -asol( $\nu$ ), -osol( $\nu$ ). More, the - $\nu$  may be added before a consonant, merely to make position. The same use of - $\nu$  is allowable wherever it may occur. Thus  $\pi\lambda\epsilon$ iooī $\nu$   $\lambda$ ó $\gamma$ os Soph. El. 1353.
- <sup>1</sup> Of the weaker type called Anaphoric, referring to something mentioned before.

τοῖσδε is sometimes written τοισίδε (Eur.).

The genitive singular ends occasionally in  $-\theta \epsilon \nu$ . Thus  $\sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu = \sigma o \hat{v}$  (common):  $\gamma \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \nu$  (Soph. El. 453, etc.),  $\pi \rho \hat{\nu} \mu \nu \eta \theta \epsilon \nu$  (Eur. Tro. 20, Hel. 1603, etc.),  $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\sigma} \theta \epsilon \nu$  (Eur. Hel. 1269),  $\pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \rho \hat{\sigma} \theta \epsilon \nu$  (Soph. Trach. 938),  $\Lambda \rho \gamma \hat{\sigma} \theta \epsilon \nu$  (Eur. I. T. 1182). Compare  $\tilde{a} \gamma \kappa a \theta \epsilon \nu$ .

The genitive in  $-\epsilon \omega s$ ,  $-\epsilon \omega \nu$  may count as one syllable or as two, though it generally counts as one. So in neuter nouns like  $\tau \epsilon \hat{\iota} \chi o s$ , gen. pl.  $\tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\epsilon} \omega \nu$  or  $\tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ . The dative plural of  $\hat{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$  and  $\sigma \hat{\iota}$  is  $\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\iota} \nu$  or  $\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\iota} \nu$ ,  $\hat{\iota} \mu \hat{\iota} \nu$  or  $\hat{\iota} \mu \hat{\iota} \nu$ . The short forms are chiefly used as ethical datives.

μήτηρ and θυγάτηρ have the longer gen. and dative μητέρος etc. occasionally in Euripides (Or.~423, frag.~360.~28). This is an affectation, and is better not imitated.

Certain words have various possibilities in declension. Thus Zeús may make  $\Delta\iota$ ós or Z $\eta\nu$ ós, etc.;  $\gamma$ ó $\nu\nu$  may make  $\gamma$ ονάτων and  $\gamma$ όνασ $\iota\nu$  or  $\gamma$ ουνάτων and  $\gamma$ ούνασ $\iota\nu$ ; δόρ $\nu$  has δορόs and δορ $\iota$ ; κάρα has κρ $\iota$ ατα οr κάρα (acc.), κρατ $\iota$  or κάρ $\iota$ α (dat.);  $\iota$ ην $\iota$ ε has δρ $\iota$ ν $\iota$ ν or δρ $\iota$ ν $\iota$ θα, δρ $\iota$ νε $\iota$ ε οr δρ $\iota$ νθας;  $\iota$ α $\iota$ θε makes  $\iota$ ε $\iota$ ε οr ( $\iota$ ᾱσς)  $\iota$ ην $\iota$ ος in the genitive;  $\iota$ χε $\iota$ ρ declines  $\iota$ ε $\iota$ ρός οr  $\iota$ χερός, etc.;  $\iota$ χρ $\iota$ θες,  $\iota$ χρ $\iota$ θες ανρός, εtc.

2. Verbs. The 1st pl. in  $-\mu\epsilon\theta a$  may be written  $-\mu\epsilon\sigma\theta a$ .

Euripides once has the old 3rd pl. aor. passive  $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa\rho\nu\phi\theta\epsilon\nu$ , Hipp.~1247. This should not be imitated.

Augments are omitted occasionally, especially in a narrative speech, as that of some messenger. The usage in this respect agrees with Homer, who omits the augment prevailingly in simple and unemphatic narrative (see Platt in *Journal of Philology*, xix. 211).

Aesch. Cho. 738 θέτο, Eur. Bacch. 1134 γυμνοῦντο.

The optative -οιντο may be written -οιατο. Aesch. Pers. 360, ἐκσωσοίατο. Eur. I. T. 1341, οἰχοίατο.

In the weak agrist active, such forms as λύσαις, λύσαι, alternate with λύσειας, λύσειεν.

ν ἐφελκυστικόν may be added, in its proper place, for position; thus χαίρουσιν may stand before a consonant. This was often done in Attic speech, as testified by the inscriptions.

Shortened forms. θνήσκω has τέθναμεν, τεθνάσι, τεθνάναι, τεθνώς (oblique cases), as well as the longer forms from τέθνηκα.

So  $\beta \epsilon \beta \hat{a} \sigma \iota$ ,  $\beta \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} s$ ; έσταμεν, έστατε, έστασιν, έστως;  $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \hat{\omega} s$  (oblique cases).

From ἔοικα, ἔοιγμεν.

Lengthened forms.  $\dot{a}\mu\nu\nu\alpha\theta\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$ ,  $\epsilon\dot{\imath}\rho\gamma\alpha\theta\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$ ,  $\sigma\chi\epsilon\theta\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$ .

Note. The plural may be used freely for the singular in the first person, but can never be so used in the second. When a woman uses  $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\iota}s$  for  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ , an adjective or participle is put in the masculine.

#### II. LANGUAGE AND STYLE.

Poetry consists of three parts: thought, language, and rhythm. Of these the thought is by far most important: it is the substance, the others are but the form. A great poem may lack all regular rhythm, like the Hebrew psalms; it may be put in the very simplest words, such as any man may use in conversation; yet if the thought be noble, beautiful, or awful, the poem may still be great. Nothing can be simpler than this:

O Love, they wrong thee much That say thy sweet is bitter; When thy rich fruit is such As nothing can be sweeter.

Or the final words of the same poem:

I know thee, what thou art:
I serve thee in my heart,
And bow before thee.

Yet the lines are essentially noble, and no added graces could improve them. On the other hand, no beauty of rhythm, and no refinement of language, can make a great poem out of thought which is base or even trivial. Of course this saying must be reasonably understood. Base thoughts and acts often have their place in a great poem, but they do not make the poem great. So a base character, such as Iago, may serve to act and react with the other characters. He may even be in himself an interesting study, especially if the war of good and evil in him he made clear. But when a thing or a character is all base (if that be possible), the description of it for its own sake cannot be noble. It is in fact the more degraded, in proportion as it is clever.

This ought to be clearly understood by the student, because it simplifies his task very much in the earlier stages. In translating, we have nothing to do with the thought of the piece: that is fixt for us, and it is our duty to translate it as far as possible unchanged. But in beginning to write Greek verse, the student's attention may be confined to rendering the original in the simplest language he can think of. He will thus concentrate his mind upon the rhythmical difficulties; and once he has mastered the mechanical part, he may pass on to the use of poetic diction. This it is our task to consider in the section now before us.

I have already stated that a copy of Greek verses may be quite good, at times may be first-rate, even though put in the simplest language of every-day life. But as a rule, verses in Greek as in other languages should have some of those beauties of phrase, those fleeting suggestions and allusions, which add so much to the enjoyment of poetry. When Shakspeare speaks of the daffodils, 'that come before the swallow dares, and take the winds of March with beauty,' we feel a thrill of delight at the image, which would never have been called up by simply saying 'that come in early spring,' or 'in cold March

winds.' Or to take another phrase from the same passage, which shows the power of allusion:

violets, dim, but sweeter than the lids of Juno's eyes or Cytherea's breath.

The words almost intoxicate the imagination, and it would hardly be too much to say that never was flower so immortalised in a line. Lastly, take a splendid hyperbole. If Petra had been called a city 'half as old as the world,' the thought would have been striking; yet how much more striking is this line, which no one who has once heard it can ever forget—

A rose-red city, half as old as Time.

This then is what is meant by poetic diction: suggestions, allusions, images, and comparisons, beautiful in themselves, and so adding to the beauty of the whole. There must be nothing in them not suited to the idea which you are trying to express. Thus to call Petra a 'rose-bud city' would be to suggest youth, not age. Then again, in choosing them, care should be taken not to lose sight of the beauty of mere sound; and no less, that the sound may suit the sense, sonorous and strong words being used for a strong effect, and not otherwise. Any neglect of this produces bathos. To use big words of petty things is mere bombast, and how it would sound in Greek may be judged by such a burlesque poem as Chrononhotonthologos, or the play in Sheridan's Critic.

In an art like poetry, no amount of teaching can do more than guide. Given a poetic imagination, and the requisite knowledge, the right images and words will come up unbidden; knowledge without imagination will too often suggest the wrong words. But imagination cannot be given; all that we can do here is to supply some material for it. The following pages will show the kind of material which was

in the mind of the Greek poet: the images and allusions which occurred to him, the ancient point of view. Added to this will be a few hints on Idiom which it is useful to bear in mind.

We will classify the material under the following heads:
(1) Simile; (2) Metaphor; (3) Personification, Antithesis,
Repetition; (4) Paraphrase; (5) Compound Words; (6) Idioms
with Neuter Nouns.

The Greek language, unlike Latin, is very rich in Metaphor; and in the epic and lyric poets rich also in Simile. But in the dramatists, Simile is rare as compared with Metaphor, and is confined to a narrower scope. This is due partly to the nature of the case. A Simile is more in place when one tells a story than when one is talking with a friend; hence Homer has many Similes, and Sophocles few. Metaphor, on the other hand, is of the essence of poetic diction; and we find it abundant in Greek poetry, but rarer in Latin.

## (1) Simile.

A true Simile contains some word of comparison, 'like,' 'as,' etc., and this in Greek may be wis,  $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ,  $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ,  $\delta \pi \omega s$ ,  $\delta \omega \eta \nu$ ,  $\tau \rho \delta \pi \omega$ , and so forth. These may be varied by such phrases as obtin  $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}$ , où  $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \sigma \nu$ , Lastly, the Simile and the thing it is compared with may go side by side, and the thought alone may connect them. Thus in English we may say:

- (1) He falls like a tall pine:
- (2) He falls not otherwise than a tall pine:
- (3) He falls: so falls a tall pine:
- (4) The tall pines fall: he too falls:

and so forth. The last three may be called Equivalents to a Simile.

As to the matter of the Similes, no such restrictions apply as apply with Metaphor. Anything that suits the case may be used as a Simile. As a matter of fact, however, the Similes in Greek tragic dialogue are quite simple, and drawn chiefly from the following subjects: (1) Animals, hirds, fish, reptiles and their habits; (2) Arts and Crafts, Agriculture, Physic, and so forth; (3) Athletic Contests, racing, boxing, dicing, etc.; (4) Hunting and Fishing; (5) Nature, and Natural Processes, always a fruitful source of Simile and Metaphor; (6) Ships and Sea-faring.

We shall not give examples of the matter of Similes, which would take up too much space; but it may be useful to add examples of the less usual forms.

## (a) With Adverb:

θᾶσσον δὲ βύρσαν ἐξέδειρεν ἡ δρομεὺς δισσοὺς διαύλους ἱππίους διήνυσε. Eur. El. 824. τέτρωται δικτύου πλέον λέγειν. Aesch. Ag. 868.

(b) With Adjective or Participle:

ωκὺν πόδα τιθεὶς ἱσον πτεροῖς.
μορφῆ μάλιστ' εἰκαστὸν ώστε πρίονος
ἐκβρώματ' ἂν βλέψειας ἐν τομῆ ξύλου.
ως οὐδέν ἐσμεν πλὴν σκιαῖς ἐοικότες.
αὐθαδεστέρα γίγνου θαλάσσης.
κρείσσων γαλήνης ναυτίλοισιν εἰσορᾶν.

Eur. I. T. 32.

Soph. Trach. 699.

frag. 859. Eur. Hipp. 303. Or. 728.

(c) With Verb:

ὦ τέκν, ξοιγμεν ναυτίλοισιν. Ἰξίον ἐν δεσμοῖσιν ἐκμιμήσομαι.

Eur. Heracl. 427. H. F. 1298.

(d) Equivalent:

όξος τ' ἄλειφά τ' ἐγχέας ταὐτῷ κύτει διχοστατοῦντ' ἄν, οὐ φίλω, προσεννέποις. Aesch. Ag. 322. ἤδη ποτ' εἶδον ἄνδρ' ἐγω γλώσση θρασὺν ναύτας ἐφορμήσαντα χειμῶνος τὸ πλεῖν,

φ φθέγμ' ἄν οὐκ ἐνεῦρες, ἡνίκ' ἐν κακῷ χειμῶνος εἴχετ', etc...οὖτω δὲ καὶ σέ... Soph. Α δρῶς παρὰ ρείθροισι χειμάρροις ὅσα δένδρων ὑπείκει, κλῶνας ὡς ἐκσῷζεται... ἀλλ' εἶκε θυμῷ καὶ μετάστασιν δίδου. ἔστω δὲ μηδεὶς ταῦθ' ἃ σιγᾶσθαι χρεών μικροῦ γὰρ ἐκ λαμπτῆρος Ἰδαῖον λέπας πρήσειεν ἄν τις. Ευτ. fr αὐτῶν πολιτῶν μὴ ἀπικραινόντων νόμους κακαῖς ἐπιροραῖσι: Βορβάρκι β' ἔδως

αὐτῶν πολιτῶν μὴ ἀπικραινόντων νόμους κακαῖς ἐπιρροαῖσι· βορβόρω θ' ΰδωρ λαμπρὸν μιαίνων οὖποθ' εὑρήσεις ποτόν. πύκαζε θᾶσσον· οὐ πρὸς ἰατροῦ σοφοῦ θρηνεῖν ἐπωβὰς πρὸς τομῶντι πήματι.

Soph. Aj. 1142.

Ant. 712.

Eur. frag. 411.

Aesch. Eum. 696.

Soph. Aj. 581.

## (2) Metaphor.

A knowledge of Metaphor is essential to good composition in any language; and it is even more important in verse than in prose. The Greek language is rich in Metaphor, as might be expected in an imaginative people; and the following pages will serve to give an oversight of the whole field as far as dramatic dialogue is concerned.

In the classification which follows, those simple physical ideas which are used metaphorically by all literary languages are omitted. The student may take for granted that words with such meanings as bitter and sweet, bright and dull, cold and hot, deep and shallow, full and empty, hard and soft, high and low, rich and poor, rough and smooth, straight and crooked, can almost always be used metaphorically. The remainder may be classified as follows:

- 1. Agriculture: trees, plants, flowers; plowing, sowing, reaping, and the like.
- 2. Animals: including all sorts of creatures, birds, cattle, horses, with riding, driving, and the like.

- 3. Art: music, architecture and buildings.
- 4. Books and Writing.
- 5. Coins, payments, fines.
- 6. Crafts and Trades: weaving, spinning, etc.
- 7. Day and Night, Light and Dark, Fire and Heat.
- 8. Dice and gaming, Lots.
- 9. Dress, cloth, etc.
- 10. Eating and Drinking.
- 11. Fighting, War, and Weapons: shooting.
- 12. Games and all kinds of contests: wrestling, racing, boxing.
  - 13. Government: ruling and serving.
- 14. Human Body and Human Life: birth, death, marriage, physical feeling and natural processes.
  - 15. Hunting, Snaring, Fishing: nets and toils.
  - 16. Law and the courts, political and social life.
  - 17. Physic and Disease.
- 18. Roads, paths, the act of going in various forms (walk, run, etc.).
  - 19. Sea and Sky: sun and stars, calm and storm.
  - 20. Ships and seamanship.
  - 21. Stone and Metals.
  - 22. Teaching and Schools.
- 23. Water: rivers, fountains, etc. (See also Sea and Sky.)
  - 24. Wealth.
  - 25. Weights and Burdens.

#### l. Agriculture.

ώς πρὸς οἶκον ὡχετεύετο | φάτις. Aesch. Ag. 867. τοῦτ' αὖ παρωχέτευσας εὖ, κοὐδὲν λέγων. Eur. Bacch. 479. πολὺς δὲ πόντος οἴνεκ' ἡρόθη δορί.... Aesch. Suppl. 1007. Compare Ant. 569, O. T. 1257, 1485, 1497 ff.

	_
καὶ σπέρμα πάσης ἐξαπόλλυται χθονός.	Aesch. $Ag. 528$ .
Παλλάς φυτεύει πημ' 'Οδυσσέως χάριν.	Soph. Aj. 952.
όσας ανίας μοι κατασπείρας φθίνεις.	1005.
άλλ' αὐτάδελφον αἷμα δρέψασθαι θέλεις;	Aesch. Sept. 718.
πανώλεθρον   αὐτόχθονον πατρῷον ἔθρισεν	
άλλὰ καὶ τάδ' ἐξαμήσαι πολλὰ δύστηνον	•
μή μοι πόλιν γε πρέμνοθεν πανώλεθρον	
έκθαμνίσητε δηάλωτον.	Sept. 72.
ρίζης γαρ ούσης φυλλάς ικετ' ές δόμους,	
σκιαν ύπερτείνασα σειρίου κυνός.	Ag. 967.
πρόρριζον, ως ξοικεν, ξφθαρται γένος.	Soph. El. 765.
γένους απαντος ρίζαν έξημημένος.	Aj. 1178.
βαθείαν άλοκα διά φρενός καρπούμενος,	
έξ ής τὰ κεδνὰ βλαστάνει βουλεύματα.	Aesch. Sept. 594.
οτ' ήδη πας ο μόχθος ήν πέπων.	Soph. O. C. 437.
So Trach. 728 όργη πέπειρα.	
τέρειν' όπώρα δ' εὐφύλακτος οὐδαμῶς.	Aesch. Suppl. 998.
τὸ Περσων άνθος οἵχεται πεσόν.	Pers. 252.
δρώμεν άνθοῦν πέλαγος Αἰγαῖον νεκροῖς.	Ag. 659.
τῶν σῶν δὲ γονάτων πρωτόλεια θιγγάνω	
ίκέτης άφύλλου στόματος έξάπτων λιτάς.	Eur. Orest. 382.
ύβρις γὰρ έξανθοῦσ' ἐκάρπωσε στάχυν	
ατης, δθεν πάγκλαυτον <b>έξαμ</b> α θέρος.	Aesch. Pers. 822.
Λιβύη, μεγίστης όνομα γης καρπουμένη.	Suppl.~316.
καρπός ἔσται θεσφάτοισιν.	Sept. 618 (cp. 600).
άλλὰ τούσδ' ἐμοὶ ματαίαν γλῶσσαν ὧδ	άπανθίσαι
•	Ag. 1662.
δμας δε κωκυτοίς επανθίζειν νόμος.	Cho. 150.
άτης άρουρα θάνατον έκκαρπίζεται.	Sept. 601.

See also Aesch. Pers. 811, Cho. 532, P. V. 7, 23, Ag. 954, 1044, Soph. El. 43, 260, frag. 750, 844, O. T. 742, Trach. 548.

## 2. Animals.

## Horse.

è	πεὶ καθιππάζει με πρεσβῦτιν νέος.	Aesch. Eum. 731.
å	λλ' αὐτίκ' ώμοῖς αὐτὸν ἐν νόμοις πατρὸς	s
δ	εῖ πωλοδαμνεῖν κάξομοιοῦσθαι φύσιν.	Soph. Aj. 548.
	οὐδὲ γὰρ καλὸν	- '
δ	υοίν γυναικοίν ανδρ' έν' ήνίας έχειν.	Eur. Andr. 177.
71	rληγεὶς θεοῦ μ <b>άστιγ</b> ι παγκοίνφ.	Aesch. Sept. 607.
	ευχθείς ετοιμος ην έμοι σειραφόρος.	Ag. 842.
х	αλινόν δ' οὐκ ἐπίσταται φέρειν.	1066.
ő	θούνεκ' άτη συγκατέζευκται κακή.	Soph. Aj. 123.
	ιθσαι δὲ πρύμνας καὶ <mark>χαλινωτήρια</mark>   νεών.	
	δ τλημον, οξα ξυμφορά ξυνεζύγης.	Hipp. 1389.
K	είνός τε λύπη πᾶς ελήλαται κακή.	Soph. Aj. 275.
μ	<b>άστιγι</b> θεία γῆν πρὸ γῆς ἐλαύνομαι.	Aesch. P. V. 682.
	ερυφή κάρα σείουτες, οὐδ' ὑπὸ ζυγῷ	
λ	ώφου δικαίως είχου, ώς στέργειν έμέ.	Soph. Ant. 292.
8	υσλοφωτέρους πόνους.	Aesch. P. V. 931.
រំ	π' ἄτης ζεῦγλαν ἀσχάλλει πεσών.	Eur. frag. 285, 10.
7	τοῦ γὰρ τοσοῦτο κέντρον ώς μητροκτονεῖν;	Aesch. Eum. 427.
	ών δ' ήν τις οίκων πλουσίαν έχη φάτνην	
Se	ee also Aesch. P. V. 672, 1009, Ag.	642, 842, 1540,
	1022, 1044, Sept. 793, Eur. Hec. 376, I	
D	og.	
		A amala 22 27 1000

πτηνός κύων, δαφοινός αἰετός.	Aesch. P. V. 1022.
σαίνειν μόρον τε καὶ μάχην άψυχία.	Sept. 383.
σαίνομαι δ' ύπ', έλπίδος.	Cho. 194.
παιδός με σαίνει φθόγγος.	Soph. Ant. 1214.
σαίνεις δάκνουσα καὶ κύων λαίθαργος εἶ.	frag. 800.
δρώσι χοὖτοι, σοὶ δ' ὑπίλλουσι στόμα.	Ant. 509.
ἄμουσ' ὑλακτῶν (of Heracles drunken)	Eur. Alc. 760.

## Cattle.

	_
τίς δε ποιμάνωρ επεστι καπιδεσπόζει στρ	
	Aesch. Pers. 241.
(Corpses in the sea) κύρισσον ἰσχυραν	χθόνα. 310.
οὐδ' ἐν ἀγκυρουχία	
θαρσούσι ναών ποιμένες παραυτίκα.	Suppl.~767.
φχοντ' ἄφαντοι, ποιμένος κακοῦ στρόβφ.	Ag. 657.
πομπαίος ἴσθι, τόνδε ποιμαίνων έμον   ίκέ	την. Eum. 92.
	oph. frag. 399, 10.
χωρείτ' ἄνευ βοτήρος αίπολούμεναι	
ποίμνης τοιαύτης δ' ούτις ευφιλής θεών.	Aesch. Eum. 187.
άβουκόλητον τοῦτ' ἐμῷ φρονήματι.	Suppl. 929.
έβουκολουμεν φροντίσιν νέον πάθος.	Ag. 669.
ήδη γάρ είδον όμμα νιν ταυρουμένην.	Eur. <i>Med.</i> 92.
(Of a girl) σκίρτημα μόσχου σης καθέξ	οντες χεροίν.
	Hec. 526.
κωτίλος δ' ἀνὴρ λαβ	ων
πανοῦργα χερσὶ κέντρα κηδεύει πόλιν.	Soph. frag. 662.
Lion.	
λέοντ' ἄναλκιν ἐν λέχει στρωφώμενον.	Aesch. Ag. 1224.
αὖτη δίπους λέαινα συγκοιμωμένη.	1258.
Snake.	
(Led up to by a dream): ἐκδρακοντωθεὶς δ	S and I wrong my
(Led up to by a dream). Exepartoristics	Aesch. Cho. 549.
τί σοι δοκεί; μύραινά γ' εἴτ' ἔχιδν' ἔφυ.	994.
ήλευθέρωσας πάσαν 'Αργείων πόλιν	001.
δυοίν δρακόντοιν εὐπετώς τεμών κάρα.	1046.
(He is like a snake),	1010.
θείνει δ' ονείδει μάντιν Οἰκλείδην σοφόν.	Sept. 381.
	κορυ. 001.
Birds.	
κίρκοι πελειών οὐ μακράν λελειμμένοι.	P. V. 857.
ίδου δε γένναν εύνιν αίετου πατρός.	Cho. 247.

#### 3. Art.

#### Architecture.

κούδέπω κακών

κρηπίς υπεστιν, άλλ' ετ' εκπιδύεται. Aesch. Pers. 814. κάτεισιν, ἄτας τάσδε θριγκώσων φίλοις. Ag. 1283. τὸ λοίσθιον δὲ θριγκὸς ἀθλίων κακῶν. Eur. Tro. 489. τέχνης δέ νιν Ζεύς ἔνθεον κτίσας φρένα. Aesch. Eum. 17. καὶ παῖς μὲν ἄρσην πατέρ' ἔχει πύργον μέγαν. Eur. Alc. 311. χή μέν τηδ' ἐπυργοῦτο στολή. Aesch. Pers. 192. Μενέλαον είπον, ος πεπύργωσαι θράσει. Eur. Or. 1568. So Herc. F. 238, 475, Tro. 608, Med. 526. της έλπίδος γαρ έρχομαι πεφραγμένος. Soph. Ant. 235. εὖ γε στοχάζει κάποφράγνυσαι κύκλω | τὸ πρᾶγμα. 241. οργην εμέμψω την εμήν, την σοι δ' δμοῦ ναίουσαν οὐ κατείδες, άλλ' έμε ψέγεις. O. T. 337. δ δυσφιλής σκότω | λιμός ξύνοικος. Aesch. Ag. 1641. άλλ' ἐκ φόβου του γλώσσαν ἐγκλήσας ἔχει. Soph. Ant. 180. γέρων γέροντι συγκατώκηκεν πίνος. O. C. 1259. έν δ' έρειπίοις | νεκρών έρειφθείς έζετο. Aj. 308. Statuary. ού πεπλασμένος | δ κόμπος. Aesch. P. V. 1030. So  $\pi \lambda \acute{a} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$  in other forms.

Music.

ἔν τε γὰρ μακρῷ γήρᾳ ξυνάδει τῷδε τἀνδρὶ σύμμετρος. Soph. O. T. 1112. τὰ νῦν ξύμφωνα δεξιώματα. Soph. O. C. 619. τάδ' οὐ ξυνῳδὰ τοῖσιν ἐξηγγελμένοις. Eur. Med. 1007. καί μοι προσάδεθ' ὧστε γιγνώσκειν ὅτι... Soph. Phil. 405. σὺ δ' οὖν φοβεῖ με μή τι πλημμελὲς πάθης. Eur. Med. 306.

#### 4. Books and Writing.

ήν έγγραφού σύ μνήμοσιν δέλτοις φρενών. Aesch. P. V. 789. αίνω φυλάξαι ταμ' έπη δελτουμένας. Suppl. 179. Soph. frag. 540. θές έν φρενός δέλτοισι τούς λόγους. καὶ ταῦτ' ἐπίστω, καὶ γράφου φρενών ἔσω. Phil. 1325. οΰς γὰρ νῦν ἀκήκοας λόγους είναι δόκει σοὶ μηδέπω 'ν προοιμίοις. Aesch. P. V. 741. καὶ μὴ 'ξαλείψης σπέρμα Πελοπιδών τόδε. Cho. 503. δεινοίς δὲ σημάντροισιν ἐσφραγισμένοι έφεύγομεν πρὸς κρημνόν (of wounds). Eur. I. T. 1372. καὶ μὴν τύποι γε σφενδόνης χρυσηλάτου της οὐκέτ' οὖσης τησδε προσσαίνουσί με. Hipp.~862.See also Aesch. Suppl. 991, Cho. 699, Soph. frag. 742.

#### 5. Coins.

βοῦς ἐπὶ γλώσση μέγας | βέβηκεν. Aesch. Ag. 36. καὶ γὰρ χαρακτὴρ αὐτὸς ἐν γλώσση τί με παρηγορεῖ Λάκωνος ὀσμᾶσθαι λόγου. Soph. frag. 178. δεινὸς χαρακτὴρ κἀπίσημος ἐν βροτοῖς ἐσθλῶν γενέσθαι. Εur. Hec. 379.

This metaphor is very common in  $\tau i \nu \epsilon i \nu$  and all its synonyms and cognates.

#### 6. Crafts and Trades.

τῶνδ' ἐφήλωται τορῶς γόμφος διαμπάξ, ῶς μένειν ἀραρότως. Αθεκακῶν τε πάντων τέκτονες σοφώταται. ἀρτίκολλον ἀγγέλου λόγον μαθεῖν. Α

Aesch. Suppl. 944. Eur. Med. 408. Aesch. Sept. 373.

κρίνω δέ τοί νιν ώστε συγκόλλως έχειν. Aesch. Cho. 542. των δ' ύπαὶ γένους έξημπόλημαι κάμπεφόρτισμαι πάλαι. Soph. Ant. 1036. οίμοι πέπραμαι καπόλωλα. Phil. 978. μάτην εμόχθουν καὶ κατεξάνθην πόνοις. Eur. Med. 1030. οίδεν τό γ' αἰσχρόν, κανόνι τοῦ καλοῦ μαθών. Hec. 602. κάγω δίκαιος τουδε του φόνου ραφεύς. Aesch. Ag. 1604. αὐτὸς καθ' αύτοῦ τἄρα μηχανορραφώ. Cho. 221. λαγὼ δίκην Πενθεῖ καταρράψας μόρον. Eum. 26. τί δρώσι; ποίας μηχανάς πλέκουσιν αὖ; Eur. Andr. 66. μων ές γυναϊκ έρραψας οξα δή γυνή; 911. See also Aesch. Cho. 529, P. V. 510, Eur. Hipp. 274, El. 52, Andr. 447.

#### 7. Day and Night, Light and Dark, Fire and Heat.

ἐμοῖς μèν εἶπας δώμασιν φάος μέγα. Aesch. Pers. 300.
 οὖτε νιν φυγόντα μητρόθεν σκότον. Sept. 664.
 σάλπιγξ δ' ἀὐτἢ πάντ' ἐκεῖν' ἐπέφλεγεν. Pers. 395.
 So also ἀνδρεία φλέγων (Sept. 52), αἴθων τέτακται λῆμα (448),

ω πῦρ στ (Soph. Phil. 927).

κίων γενήσει πύρσ' ἔχουσα δέργματα. Eur. Hec. 1265.

δρᾶς; ἀν' αὖ σὺ ζωπυρεῖς νείκη νέα. El. 1121.

#### 8. Dice and Lots.

ἔργον δ΄ ἐν κύβοις Ἄρης κρινεῖ. Aesch. Sept. 414. τὰ δεσποτῶν γὰρ εὖ πεσόντα θήσομαι τρὶς ἔξ βαλούσης τῆρδ΄ ἐμοὶ φρυκτωρίας. Ag. 32. So εἰπετῶς ἔχειν (552), ἤν γὰρ εἰβόλως ἔχων (Cho. 696). κάγὼ πάλον τ΄ ἔκυρσα τοῦπερ ἤθελον. Pers. 779. αὖτή τ΄ ὄνασθαι πρὸς τὰ νῦν πεπτωκότα. Eur. Hipp. 718. τίς παραρρίψει, τέκνα... (risk the throw). Soph. O. T. 1493. See also Soph. frag. 511, 686, 809.

#### 9. Dress.

τρισώματος τἃν Γηρυὰν ὁ δεύτερος  $\chi$ θονὸς τρίμοιρον  $\chi$ λαῖναν ἐξηύχει λαβών. Aesch. Ag.~870. μορφής δ' οὐχ ὁμόστολος φύσις. Suppl.~496. οὖτοι διαπτυχθέντες ὤφθησαν κενοί. Soph. Ant.~709. οὐδὲ πᾶν ἀναπτύξαι πρέπει. El.~639. χιτών σ' ἄπειρος ἐνδυτήριος κακῶν. frag.~483.

#### 10. Eating and Drinking.

Aesch. Cho. 257. έξεις δμοίας χειρός εύθοινον γέρας; Suppl. 620. άμηχάνου βόσκημα πημονής πέλειν. Soph. Aj. 558. τέως δὲ κούφοις πνεύμασιν βόσκου. έμοι μεν έστω τούμε μη λυπείν μόνον | βόσκημα. Εί. 363. μα την εκείνου δειλίαν, ή βόσκεται. fr. 136. σιδηροβρώτι θηγάνη νεηκονής. Aj. 820. Eur. Hipp. 663. της σης δε τόλμης είσομαι γεγευμένος. τοσωνδε κρατηρ' έν δόμοις κακών όδε πλήσας ἀραίων αὐτὸς ἐκπίνει μολών. Aesch. Ag. 1397. τὰ μὲν παλαιὰ συγκεκραμένα Ιάλγη δύσοιστα. Cho. 744. See also Aesch. Ag. 495, Soph. Trach. 144, Eur. Alc. 1069, Ion 1196.

#### 11. Fighting.

Ζεὺς γὰρ ἱμέρου βέλει | πρὸς σοῦ τέθαλπται.

Αesch. P. V. 649. 
οὐ γὰρ ῥάδιον λόγχη μιᾳ ('single-handed') 
στήσαι τροπαῖα τῶν κακῶν, ἄ σοι πάρα. Eur. Or. 713. 
καὶ δή σφε λείπω, χειρία λόγοις σέθεν. Aesch. Suppl. 507. 
κρείσσων δὲ πύργου βωμός, ἄρρηκτον σάκος. 190. 
διπλοῦν γὰρ αὐτῆ πῆμ' ἐπεστρατεύετο. Eur. Med. 1185. 
τρώσασαν ἡμᾶς εἶτα συγχωρεῖν λόγοις. 
Ηίρρ. 703. 
δέδοικα μή σου γλῶσσ' ὑπερβάλη κακοῖς. 924. 
εἰς ὑπερβολην | πανοῦργος ἔσται. 939.

λόγους ἀνέσπα. Soph. Aj. 302. λαβών | πρόβλημα σαυτοῦ παΐδα τόνδε (shield). Phil. 1008. ἡ γὰρ σιωπὴ τῷ λαλοῦντι σύμμαχος. frag. 842. See also Eur. Tro. 638, Orest. 1241, Suppl. 456, Bacch. 785, Med. 232.

#### 12. Games.

The shooting of arrows is a favourite type of metaphor with Euripides. τυγχάνειν 'hit' and åμαρτάνειν 'miss' are common.

κάμψαι διαύλου θάτερον κῶλον πάλιν
γένοιτ' ἄν. Aesch. Ag. 344.
τα δ' άλλ' ακούσας εκ δρόμου πεσών τρέχω. 1245.
λάβ', ἔρπε πρὸς βαλβίδα λυπηραν βίου. Eur. Med. 1245.
τέλος δὲ κάμψαιμ' ὧσπερ ηρξάμην βίου. Hipp. 87.
στείχοντ' αγώνα θανάσιμον δραμούμενον. Orest. 878.
καὶ πολλαχοῦ γε δυσπάλαιστα πράγματα. Aesch. Sup. 468.
τοῖον παλαιστήν νῦν παρασκευάζεται
ἐπ' αὐτὸς αὑτῷ δυσμαχώτατον τέρας. P. V. 920.
Α. Εν μεν τόδ' ήδη των τριών παλαισμάτων.
Β. οὐ κειμένῳ πω τόνδε κομπάζεις λόγον. Ευπ. 590.
ή τὰς ὁμοίας ἀντίδος λαβὰς λαβεῖν. Cho. 498.
η γαρ πατήρ τι σφάλλεται βουλευμάτων; Ευπ. 717.
ήθλησα κινδυνεύματ' εν τωμώ κάρα. Soph. O. C. 564.
αμιλλαν γὰρ σὰ προὔθηκας λόγων. Eur. Med. 546.
όθεν πετραίον άλμα δισκευθήσεται. Ion 1268.
νέος, πόνοις δέ γ' οὖκ ἀγύμναστος φρένας. frag. 344.
καὶ γλώσσα τοξεύσασα μή τὰ καίρια. Aesch. Suppl. 446.
μίασμ' έλεξας ούχ ύπερτοξεύσιμον. 473.
άλλα γαρ τί τους 'Οδυσσέως έξακοντίζω πόνους; Eur. Tro. 444.
καί σου τὸ σῶφρον εξετόξευσεν φρενός. Andr. 365.
See also Aesch. Cho. 692, 1022, Ag. 1206, Sept. 441,
Soph. Phil. 43, Eur. El. 954, Med. 585, Tro. 617.

## 13. Government: Ruling and Serving.

πᾶς ἀνὴρ κώπης ἄναξ. Aesch. Pers. 378. πᾶς θ' ὅπλων ἐπιστάτης. Pers. 379. (Cp. Eur. Hel. 1267.) πῶς γὰρ ἐλπίσω

ἀστῶν τιν' ἄλλον τῆσδε δεσπόζειν φόβης; Cho. 186. ὑπαί τις ἀρβύλας

λύοι τάχος, πρόδουλου ἔμβασιν ποδός.
Αg. 944.
μόχθοις λατρεύων τοῖς ὑπερτάτοις βροτῶν.
καλῶς γ' ἄν, οῖμαι, τῷδ' ὑπηρέτεις λόγω.
Εur. Med. 588.

#### 14. Human Body and Human Life.

Parts of the Body. Mind.

δστις πημάτων ἔξω πόδα | ἔχει. Aesch. P. V. 263. τραχεῖα πόντου Σαλμυδησσία γνάθος. 726. πετραία δ΄ ἀγκάλη σε βαστάσει. 1019. πρέσβιστον ἄστρων, νυκτὸς ὀφθαλμός (moon). Sept. 390. διπλοῦν μέτωπον ἢν δυοῖν στρατευμάτοιν. Pers. 720. εὕδουσα γὰρ φρὴν ὅμμασιν λαμπρύνεται. Ευπ. 104. καὶ μὴν μέγας γ᾽ ὀφθαλμὸς οἱ πατρὸς τάφοι.

Soph. O. T. 987. τὸ γὰρ | περισσὰ πράσσειν οὖκ ἔχει νοῦν οὐδένα. Ant. 67. ἐν τοῖς λόγοισι δ' οὖκ ἔνεισί σοι φρένες. Eur. Bacch. 269.

ξυνήκας· ώμμάτωσα γὰρ σαφέστερον. Aesch. Suppl. 467. μή τοι φύσιν γ' ἄσπλαγχνος ἐκ κείνου γεγώς. Soph. Aj. 472.

Actions, Feelings, and Conditions. (For Taste see Eating and Drinking.)

ποταμός έκφυσα μένος | κροτάφων απ' αὐτών.

Aesch. P. V. 720.

καὶ γὰρ χαρακτήρ αὐτὸς ἐν γλώσση τί με παρηγορεῖ Λάκωνος ὀσμᾶσθαι λόγου. Soph. frag. 178. τοῦ γε κερδαίνειν ὄμως | ἄπριξ ἔχονται. 328.

πταίσας δὲ τῷδε πρὸς κακῷ.	Aesch. P. V. 926.
θεοῦ δὲ πληγήν οὐχ ὑπερπηδῷ βροτός.	Soph. frag. 876.
λόγοι   πεδάρσιοι θρώσκουσι, θυήσκοντες	μάτην;
	Aesch. Cho. 863.
τὰς συμφορὰς	
ζώσας δρώ μάλιστα τών βουλευμάτων.	Soph. O. T. 45.
χώρα δ' ἄχθος ἀείζων πέλοι.	Aesch. Suppl. 988.
ἀεὶ γὰρ ήβᾳ τοῖς γέρουσιν εὖ μαθεῖν.	Ag. 584.
άλλ' ἐκδιδάσκει πάνθ' ὁ γηράσκων χρόνο	os. P. V. 981.
βρίζει γαρ αίμα και μαραίνεται χερός.	Eum. 280.
οί δὲ τῆς μελλοῦς κλέος	
πέδοι πατούντες οὐ καθεύδουσιν χερί.	Ag. 1357.
λέγοις ἄν όρχειται δὲ καρδία φόβφ.	Cho. 167.
πῶς ταῦτ' ἀληθή καὶ βλέποντα δοξάσω;	844.
δόλιον αγύρτην, όστις έν τοῖς κέρδεσιν	
μόνον δέδορκε, την τέχνην δ' ἔφυ τυφλός.	Soph. O. T. 389.
καὶ πάντα ταθτα λὰξ ὁρῶ πατούμενα.	
πρός ταθτα καὶ Κρέοντα καὶ τοθμόν στο	ύμα
προπηλάκιζε.	Soph. O. T. 426.
νῦν δ' ἐς τὸ κείνου κρᾶτ' ἐνήλαθ' ή τύχη	<i>j.</i> 263.
οίμοι τὸ σεμνὸν ως μ' ἀποκτείνει τὸ σό	ν <b>.</b>
	Eur. Hipp. 1064.
κοὖκ ἐτόλμησεν πόνων σῶν ἀντιλάζυσθαι	παρών. Or. 753.
καὶ σῆς μάλιστα καρδίας ἀνθάψεται.	Hel. 960.
φύλαξαι μη θράσος τέκη φόβον.	Aesch. Suppl. 498.
φιλεί   ώδινα τίκτειν νύξ κυβερνήτη σοφο	φ <del>.</del> 769.
οι τησο έρωσι της αποικίας Φρυγών.	Soph. frag. 344, 6.
Relations.	
πειθαρχία γάρ έστι της εὐπραξίας   μήτης	. Aesch. Sent 224
εὐάγγελος μέν, ώσπερ ή παροιμία,	~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
έως γένοιτο μητρός εὐφρόνης πάρα.	Ag. 264.
έχθρόξενος ναύταισι, μητρυιά νεών.	P. V. 727.
λιγνὺν μέλαιναν, αἰόλην πυρὸς κάσιν.	Sept. 494.
me you mercurary acordin nopos kao w.	Nopu. 101.

καὶ νῦν ἀδελφὰ τῶνδε κηρύξας ἔχω. φάος τόδ' οὖκ ἄπαππον Ἰδαίου πυρός.

Soph. Ant. 192. Aesch. Ag. 311.

Sex.

έθηλύνθην στόμα.

Soph. Aj. 651.

πολλούς δ' εθήλυν' ές μάχας δρμωμένους. Eur. frag. 360, 29. See also Aesch. Ag. 819, Sept. 657, Soph. O. C. 74, 726, 1662, Ant. 745, Phil. 1361, frag. 622, 839, Eur. Andr. 406, Hipp. 668, Hec. 662.

#### Hunting and Fishing.

καὶ μαρτυρείτε συνδρόμως ζχνος κακών ρινηλατούση των πάλαι πεπραγμένων. Aesch. Ag. 1184. Soph. O. T. 109. ίχνος παλαιάς δυστέκμαρτον αἰτίας. πως γάρ τις...πημονής άρκύστατ' αν φάρξειεν ύψος κρείσσον έκπηδήματος; Aesch. Ag. 1374. έντὸς...οὖσα μορσίμων άγρευμάτων. 1048. άλλα μην ίμειρ' έμος παις τήνδε θηράσαι πόλιν. Pers. 233. δίκας μέτειμι τόνδε φώτα κάκκυνηγετώ. Eum. 230. καλὸν τὸ θήραμ, ἢν άλῷ, γενήσεται. Eur. Or. 1316. φίλον διδούσα δέλεαρ ανδρί σών τρόπων. Tro. 695. See Aesch. Eum. 112, P. V. 358, 1038, Ag. 1093, 1211,

1611, Eur. El. 965, Hel. 755, Hipp. 956, Soph. O. T. 220, Ajax 5, frag. 846.

#### 16. Law.

ούκ εύκριτον τὸ κρίμα: μή μ' αίροῦ κριτήν.

Aesch. Suppl. 397. όφλων γαρ άρπαγής τε καὶ κλοπής δίκην. Ag. 534.ούτοι δικαστήν σ' είλόμην έμων κακών. Eur. Suppl. 253. ψηφίζομαι τι δράν το μη μέλλειν δ' άκμή.

Aesch. Ag. 1353.

ώδ' δράν | τὰ πρόσθε λαμπρὰ προύξένησαν δμματα.

Soph. O. T. 1483.

See also Aesch. Ag. 815—17.

## 17. Physic and Disease.

όργης ζεούσης εἰσὶν ιατροι λόγοι. Aesch	n. P. V. 378.
υπνου τόδ' ἀντίμολπον ἐντέμνων ἄκος.	Ag. 17.
ότω δε και δεί φαρμάκων παιωνίων,	_
ητοι κέαντες η τεμόντες εὐφρόνως	
πειρασόμεσθα πημ' ἀποστρέψαι νόσου.	848.
ακος τομαίον ελπίσασα πημάτων.	Cho. 539.
καὶ φίλτρα τόλμης τῆσδε πλειστηρίζομαι	
τὸν πυθόμαντιν Λοξίαν.	1029.
νοσοιμ' ἄν, εἰ νόσημα τοὺς ἐχθροὺς στυγείν.	P. V. 978.
φόνω   τῷ πρόσθεν ελκαίνουσι καὶ δεδηγμένοις.	Cho. 843.
ταύτης σὺ μέντοι τῆς νόσου πλήρης ἔφυς (infec	ted).
Soph	a. Ant. 1052.
χείρες μὲν άγναί, φρὴν δ' ἔχει μίασμά τι. Eur νουθετούμενοι	. Hipp. 317.
φίλων ἐπφδαῖς ἐξεπάδονται φύσιν. Soph. See also Eur. Tro. 52, Phoen. 893.	O. C. 1193.
See also Laur. 170. 52, I noen. 635.	

## 18. Roads.

δυστέκμαρτον ές τέχνην   ιδωσα θνητούς. Α	esch. <i>P. V.</i> 497.
πολλάς δ' έχουσα θανασίμους αὐτοῖς όδούς.	Eur. Med. 376.
άλλ' έν τι μοι πρόσαντες.	381.
νόσον πυθέσθαι τήσδε καὶ πλάνον φρενών.	Hipp. 283.
διπλής μερίμνης διπτύχους ιων όδούς.	Or. 633.
σὺ δ' εὔτροχον μὲν γλῶσσαν ὡς φρονῶν ἔχεις.	Bacch. 268.
η που τραφείς αν μητρός εύγενους απο	
ύψήλ' ἐφώνεις κάπ' ἄκρων ώδοιπόρεις.	Soph. Aj. 1230.
ταχεία πειθώ των κακών όδοιπορεί.	frag. 786.

#### Sea and Sky, Calm and Storm.

A common metaphor is 'to blow,' or 'breathe';  $\pi \nu \hat{\alpha} \nu$  'Apη, φόβον, κότον, μένος, πύρπνουν βέλος, etc.

σμικροῦ νέφους τάχ' ἄν τις ἐκπνεύσας μέγας χειμών κατασβέσειε την πολλην βοήν. Soph. Aj. 1148. δ στρατηγός ούπιβρόντητος μολών. 1386. άλλὰ ταῦτα μὲν | ῥείτω κατ' οὐρον. Trach. 468. Aesch. Pers. 433. αίαι, κακών δη πέλαγος ξρρωγεν μέγα.

δταν κλύδων

κακών ἐπέλθη, πάντα δειμαίνειν φιλεί, όταν δ' ὁ δαίμων εὐροή, πεποιθέναι τὸν αὐτὸν ἀεὶ δαίμον' οὐριεῖν τύχης. 599. νῦν τέ σε | ζηλώ θανόντα, πρὶν κακών ἰδεῖν βάθος. 712.Soph. Ant. 391. ταίς σαίς ἀπειλαίς, αίς έχειμάσθην τότε. frag. 433. αστραπήν τιν' ομμάτων έχει. Eur. Alc. 184. δφθαλμοτέγκτω δεύεται πλημμυρίδι. Notice the effect of the epithet.

#### 20. Ships.

Parts of the Ship: Anchor, Cable, Cross-benches, Helm, Hold and bilge, Oar, Prow, Sails, Stem.

έχθροὶ γὰρ ἐξιᾶσι πάντα δὴ κάλων. Eur. Med. 278. έκ τοῦδ' ἀναψόμεσθα πρυμνήτην κάλων. 770. έρπε νῦν οἴαξ ποδός μοι. Or. 796.

τί σοι | οδοί τε θνητοὶ τωνδ' ἀπαντλήσαι πόνων;

Aesch. P. V. 84.

σὺ ταῦτα φωνεῖς νερτέρα προσήμενος κώπη, κρατούντων των ἐπὶ ζυγῷ δορός; Ag. 1617.όστις φυλάσσει πράγος έν πρύμνη πόλεως οΐακα νωμών βλέφαρα μη κοιμών υπνω. Sept. 3. άλλοτ' ἀνδρείω κύτει | βούπρωρος. Soph. Trach, 12. χωρεί δὲ πρύμναν (of a man attacked). Eur. Andr. 1120.

έστησαν άντίπρωρα σείοντες βέλη. Eur. El. 846. ὦ πρώρα λοιβής Έστία, κλύεις τάδε; Soph. frag. 658. Similarly are used ἀντλεῖν, διαντλεῖν, ἐξαντλεῖν, ὑπεξαντλεῖν. See Aesch. Cho. 748, Soph. El. 1290, Eur. Suppl. 838, H. F. 1373, Ion 927, Med. 79.

#### Harbour, Beacon.

ω Περσίς αία και πολύς πλούτου λιμήν. Aesch. Pers. 250. So έταιρείας λιμην (Soph. Aj. 682), βοής (O. T. 420), βουλευμάτων (Eur. Med. 769).

ω ναυτίλοισι χείματος λιμήν φανείς. Eur. Andr. 892. ανέσχον σοὶ φάος σωτήριον. Med. 482.

Sailing, Beaching, etc. (see Sea and Sky).

πλείν ὑφειμένη δοκεί ('close-reefed'). Soph. El. 335. έπεὶ θρασύνει κούχ ὑποστέλλει λόγω. Eur. Or. 607. καὶ δὴ πέφρασμαι· δεῦρο δ' ἐξοκέλλεται. Aesch. Suppl. 438. ηδ' ἐστὶν ή σώζουσα, καὶ ταύτης ἔπι πλέοντες όρθης τούς φίλους ποιούμεθα. Soph. Ant. 190. ξύμπλουν έμαυτην τοῦ πάθους ποιουμένη. 541. τοιγάρ δι' όρθης τήνδ' ένανκλήρεις πόλιν. 994. ές δάκρυα πορθμεύουσ' ὑπόμνησιν κακῶν. Eur. Or. 1032.

#### Crew.

ού γάρ τις αν δύναιτο πρωράτης στρατοῦ τοῖς πᾶσιν εἶξαι καὶ προσαρκέσαι χάριν. Δελφός τε χώρας τησδε πρυμνήτης ἄναξ. τά τ' εἰσέπειτα σή κυβερνώμαι χερί. ίπποισιν ή κύμβαισι ναυστολείς χθόνα; φρένες γαρ αὐτοῦ θυμὸν ψακοστρόφουν. τίς οὖν ἀνάγκης ἐστὶν οἰακοστρόφος; σὺ δ' αὐτὸς γνωθι ναυκληρεῖν πόλιν.

Soph. frag. 481. Aesch. *Eum.* 16.

Soph. Aj. 35. frag. 123.

Aesch. Pers. 767.

P. V. 515. Sept. 652.

#### Wreck.

παν δ' ἐπίμπλατο

ναναγίων Κρισαΐον ίππικών πέδον.

Soph. El. 729.

λελοιπόθ'  $i\pi\pi$ ικοίσιν  $\dot{\epsilon}$ ν ναναγίοις. Soph.  $\it El.$  1444.  $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \dot{i}$  δ'  $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\omega}$  κάρα

πληγεισ' ἐναυάγησεν ὀστρακουμένη. frag.

Observe how often ship-metaphors are used of horses, and horse-metaphors of ships.

#### 21. Stone and Metals.

σιδηρόφρων τε κάκ πέτρας είργασμένος. Aesch. P. V. 242. σιδηρόφρων γὰρ θυμὸς ἀνδρεία φλέγων. Sept. 52. στόμα | πολλην έχον στόμωσιν (edge). Soph. O. C. 794. οὐ γάρ μ' ἀρέσκει γλώσσά σου τεθηγμένη. Ai. 584. ούτος γαρ δργή συντεθηγμένος φρένας. Eur. Hipp. 689. καταμβλύνων κέαρ. Soph. O. T. 688. ἴν' οὐκέτ' ὀκνεῖν καιρός, ἀλλ' ἔργων ἀκμή (point).  $\it El.~22.$ καὶ ταῦτ' ἄθρησον εὶ κατηργυρωμένος | λέγω. Ant. 1077.

#### 22. Teaching.

ναρθηκοπλήρωτον δὲ θηρώμαι πυρὸς πηγὴν κλοπαίαν, ἢ διδάσκαλος τέχνης πάσης... Aesch. P. V. 109. ἀλλ' ἐκδιδάσκει πάνθ' ὁ γηράσκων χρόνος. 981. τύχην γὰρ εἶχομεν διδάσκαλον. Eur. Med. 1203.

## 23. Water: Fountain, River, etc.

(See also Sea and Sky, and Agriculture.) ἀργύρου | πηγή τις αὐτοῖς ἐστι, θησαυρὸς χθονός.

Aesch. Pers. 238.

ἔμοιγε μὲν δὴ κλαυμάτων ἐπίσσυτοι
πηγαλ κατεσβήκασιν.
Αg. 888.
τῆς ἀκουούσης...πηγης δι' ὧτων φραγμός...

Soph. O. T. 1385.

πολλην γλώσσαν έκχέας μάτην. frag. 843. σοὶ δὲ πλουσία | τράπεζα κείσθω καὶ περιρρείτω βίος. El. 361.

δπηνίκ' έζει θυμός.	Soph. O. C. 434.
δεινόν τι πημα Πριαμίδαις ἐπέζεσεν.	Eur. Hec. 583.
θολοῦ δὲ καρδίαν.	Alc. 1067.
Κύπρις γὰρ οὐ φορητός, ἢν πολλή ἡυῆ.	Hipp. 443.
κακών τοσούτων ούχ δράς ἐπιρροάς;	Andr. 349.

#### 24. Wealth, Poverty.

τίς δητ' έμοι γένοιτ' αν αντί σου πατρίς;
τίς πλούτος; έν σοι πασ' έγωγε σώζομαι.
θησαυρός αν σοι παις υπηρχ' ουμός μέγας.
οιμοι, μέγας θησαυρός ως ανοίγνυται
κακων (a world of troubles).

Soph. Aj. 518. Eur. Hec. 1229.

Ion 923.

#### 25. Weights and Burdens.

τοιάδ' ἐπ' αὐτοῖς ἢλθε συμφορὰ πάθους, ώς τοῖσδε καὶ δὶς ἀντισηκῶσαι ροπῆ. σμικρὰ παλαιὰ σώματ' εὐνάζει ροπή. δέδορκε μέντοι φῶς ἐπὶ σμικρᾶς ροπῆς. καὶ νῦν μὲν ἐς τόδ' ἢμαρ εὖ ρέπει θεός. φιλεῖ γὰρ τοῦτο μὴ ταύτῃ ρέπειν. τοῦτ' ἐστὶν ἤδη τοῦργον εἰς ἐμὲ ρέπον. νικᾳ τὸ κέρδος, πῆμα δ' οὐκ ἀντιρρέπει. εἰ δ' οὖν ἀνάγκη τῆσδ' ἐπιρρέποι τύχης. τοιῶνδε μέντοι πημάτων σεσαγμένον. δεινόν γε τοὐπίσαγμα τοῦ νοσήματος. ἀλκὴν λάβοις ἄν κάνακούφισιν κακῶν.

Aesch. Pers. 436. Soph. O. T. 961. Eur. Hipp. 1163. Aesch. Sept. 21. Soph. Ant. 722. O. T. 847.

Aesch. Ag. 574.

644

Soph. Phil. 755. O. T. 218.

## Miscellaneous.

A few Metaphors may be added which do not fall in any of the above classes.

εὖ γὰρ ἐξεπίσταμαι ὁμιλίας κάτοπτρον, εἴδωλον σκιας.

Aesch. Ag. 838.

όρω γὰρ ήμᾶς οὐδὲν ὄντας ἄλλο πλὴν	
είδωλ', δσοιπερ ζωμεν, ή κούφην σκιάν.	Soph. Aj. 125.
βραχύν τιν' αἰτεῖ μῦθον οὐκ ὄγκου πλέων.	O. C. 1162.
τίνος χάριν τοσόνδ' ἀνάλωσας λόγον;	Aj. 1049.
οστις ἀρτίφρων πέφυκ' ἀνήρ (well-balanced	mind).
	Eur. Med. 295.

Mixt Metaphors should be avoided: such as κτύπον δέδορκα [iambic line in chorus]. Aesch. Sept. 99. όσμη βροτείου δ' αίματός με προσγελά. Eum. 254.

#### Proverbs.

Occasionally a proverbial turn of expression occurs in the dramatists, but only a few of the thousands of Greek proverbs are found. Such are:

πρὸς κέντρα μὴ λάκτιζε, μὴ πταίσας μογῆς. Aesch. Ag. 162	4.
οὐδ' οἶδα τέρψιν οὐδ' ἐπίψογον φάτιν	
άλλου πρὸς ἀνδρὸς μᾶλλον ή χαλκοῦ βαφάς. 61	11.
οὐδ' έλκοποιὰ γίγνεται τὰ σήματα. Sept. 39	8.
καὶ τῷδε κέρδει κέρδος ἄλλο τίκτεται. 43	37.
ένδον γενοῦ, χαρά δὲ μὴ κπλαγής φρένα. Cho. 23	33.
εὖφημα φώνει· μὴ κακὸν κακῷ διδοὺς	
ἄκος πλέον τὸ πῆμα τῆς ἄτης τίθει. Soph. Aj. 36	33.
ξυρεί γὰρ ἐν χρῷ τοῦτο μὴ χαίρειν τινά.	36.
έχθρων ἄδωρα δώρα κοὐκ ὀνήσιμα.	35.
τἄλλ' ἐγωὶ καπνοῦ σκιᾶς	
οὖκ ឨν πριαίμην οὖδενὸς λόγου βροτόν. 47	77.
φρόνει βεβώς αὖ νῦν ἐπὶ ξυροῦ τύχης. Antig. 99	96.
έν παντὶ γάρ τοι σκορπιὸς φρουρεῖ λίθφ. frag.	34.
κακοίς όταν θέλωσιν ιδασθαι κακά.	76.
έκ κάρτα βαιῶν γνωτὸς ἄν γένοιτ ἀνήρ.	60.
τὰ πάντ² ὄνου σκιά.	08.
ορκους έγω γυναικός εἰς ὕδωρ γράφω.	<b>42</b> .
αεὶ γὰρ εὖ πίπτουσιν οἱ Διὸς κύβοι. 80	09.
κοινά γάρ τὰ τῶν φίλων. Eur. Or. 73	35.

## (3) Personification, Antithesis, Repetition.

#### Personification.

The Greeks never use Personification as a mere metrical convenience, in the manner of Ovid. It is widely used, however, when the sense requires it; the natural place being in a moment of high exaltation or excitement, or when there is grim irony, or repressed feeling. There is no limit to the things which may be personified, except good taste; and what the Greeks thought good taste can only be seen by examining the documents.

1. Adjuration. Personification by simple address is fairly common, but chiefly in Euripides. We find, for example,

 $\vec{\omega}$  θάνατε, θάνατε, νῦν  $\vec{\mu}$  ἐπίσκεψαι  $\mu$ ολών. Soph. Aj. 854.  $\vec{\omega}$  πλοῦτε καὶ τυραννὶ καὶ τέχνη τέχνης | ὑπερφέρουσα.

O. T. 380.

¾ γλῶσσα, σιγήσασα τὸν πολὸν χρόνον. frag. 690.
 So ἔρις Aj. 731, χρόνος O. C. 7.

Euripides supplies us with  $\vec{\omega}$  δόξα δόξα (Andr. 319),  $\vec{\omega}$  φύσις (Or. 126),  $\vec{\omega}$  φίλον ὖπνου θέλγητρον (Or. 211),  $\vec{\omega}$  νὺξ μέλαινα (El. 54),  $\vec{\omega}$  καρδία τάλαινα (I. T. 344),  $\vec{\omega}$  χρυσέ (frag. 324).

2. Real Personification, which attributes the actions or qualities of living beings to other things, is far more common. The student must remember that the Greek language was fresh, and that words meant what they said. When an Englishman says, 'silence reigned supreme,' he has usually no idea that he is using personification; but a similar phrase in Greek would be very forcible, even harsh, and could never be used in commonplace surroundings unless by way of burlesque.

ξυνώμοσαν γὰρ, ὄντες ἔχθιστοι τὸ πρίν, τῦρ καὶ θάλασσα.

Aesch. Ag. 650.

φόβος γὰρ ἤδη πρὸς πύλαις κομπάζεται. Aesch. Sept. 500. πρὸς δὲ καρδία φόβος

ἄδειν ἔτοιμος ήδ' ὑπορχεῖσθαι κότφ. Cho. 1024. See for other examples:

Aeschylus Sept. 439; Ag. 14, 37, 271, 893, 1177, Suppl. 486, 523; Cho. 301, 497.

Sophocles Aj. 646, 669, 672, 756, 815, 1124, 1267; Ant. 700; O. C. 58, 609 ff., 618, 1316; El. 415; frag. 287, etc.

#### Antithesis.

An inflexional language can employ this device with fine effect. It is a common rhetorical trick, but if properly handled will always be something more. The student is probably familiar with the indiscriminate use of this in Latin elegiacs; he must be warned that in Greek it is less frequent and consequently stronger. Let it be kept for special effects, so that the edge of the tool be not blunted.

The commonest type of it is where two opposites are placed side by side.

#### Antithesis.

πᾶσαν είς κατὰ πτόλιν | ὑμνοῖτο. Aesch. Sept. 6. τοιγὰρ θέλουσ' ἄκοντι κοινώνει κακῶν ψυχή, θανόντι ζῶσα συγγόνω φρενί. 1033. εἰ μὴ ξυνάψων, ἀλλὰ συλλύσων πάρει. Soph. Aj. 1317. A second type sets two parts of the same word in

ορροsition.

τάξις δὲ τάξιν παρεκάλει νεώς μακρᾶς. Aesch. Pers. 380.

ὄρνιθος ὅρνις πῶς ἆν ἀγνεύοι φαγών;

πῶς δ᾽ ἄν γαμῶν ἄκουσαν ἄκοντος πατρὸς

ἄγνὸς γένοιτ᾽ ἄν;

κοινὸς ἐν κοινοῖσι λυπεῖσθαι ξυνών.

ξυμπεσῶν μόνος μόνοις.

κακὸς κακῶς ἄθαπτος ἐκπέσοι χθονός.

1177.

φίλος μ' ἀπόλλυσ' οὐχ ἐκοῦσαν οὐχ ἐκών. Eur. Hip. 319.

So with cases of αὐτὸς and ἄλλος, very often.

Or, again, the contrasted words may be placed one at each end of a line:

θεολ πόλιν σψίζουσι Παλλάδος θεᾶς. Aesch. Pers. 349. νέα γὰρ, οὐδὲν θαῦμ', ἀπεζεύχθης νέου. Eur. El. 284.

But the most subtle and characteristic idiom is the use of Antithesis where in English we should probably use none. A simple thought such as 'I prefer to be ignorant of such things as that,' is in Greek broken up into two parts: 'I prefer to be ignorant, rather than wise':

θέλω δ' ἄιδρις, μάλλον ή σοφός, κακών | είναι.

Aesch. Suppl. 453.

Or a statement such as 'All comes to pass, every jot,' may be put thus:

συμβαίνει γὰρ οὐ τὰ μέν, τὰ δ' οὕ. Aesch. Pers. 802. 'Not some parts, while other parts remain unfulfilled.'

#### Repetition.

The repetition of a single word may be used for **Emphasis**, as βαρθς βαρθς ξύνοικος, ὧ ξένοι, βαρθς. Soph. frag. 686. καὶ μέμφομαι μέν, μέμφομαι παθὼν τάδε. Eur. Alc. 1017. αἰνῶ μέν, αἰνῶ.

If used with care, it is effective, but it may easily be overdone; and Euripides is not without blame in this respect. There is hardly another example in Sophocles, and beginners had better keep clear of it altogether. But there is another kind of Repetition which is found in public speeches, and may be called **Rhetorical Repetition**. Here the same phrase begins two or more clauses, followed often by  $\mu \epsilon \nu$  and  $\delta \epsilon$ , but sometimes alone, and so taking the place of a conjunction. This too has its place, and may often prove useful. Examples are:

With Conjunction.

έχων μεν άρχάς, ας έκεινος είχε πρίν,

έχων δε λέκτρα καὶ γυναῖχ' ὁμόσπορον. Soph. O. T. 259.

ρύσαι σεαυτόν καὶ πόλιν, ρύσαι δ' ἐμέ,

312.

**ρύσαι** δὲ πᾶν μίασμα τοῦ τεθνηκότος.

ην μεν Κιθαιρών, ην δε πρόσχωρος τόπος.

1127.

ώστ' ἐν δόμοισι τοῖσι σοῖς στήσω σ' ἄγων, O. C. 1342.

στήσω δ' έμαυτόν.

Cp. 1399.

ήθέλησε μέν...ήθέλησε δέ...

Ant. 200.

πολλά μέν...πολλά δέ ('often'), and τοῦτο μέν... τοῦτο δέ, are common in Sophocles. (See Trach. 263, 789.)

So, with a subtle variation,

ιδω...είσιδω δέ... ιδω δέ...

Soph. El. 267-71.

ἐπαξίως γὰρ Φοίβος, άξίως δὲ σύ...

O. T. 133.

ληγε...ληξον δέ...

Eur. Hipp. 473.

Taking the place of a conjunction. This is rare in Greek as it is common in Latin. In Greek it is only used in high passion or excitement.

έν θηρσίν, έν βροτοίσιν, έν θεοίς άνω. Soph. frag. 855, 12. έχθρὸν ήμαρ, έχθρὸν εἰσορῶ φάος. Eur. *Hipp.* 355.

For similar reasons, emphasis namely, or rhetorical effect, an Idea is sometimes repeated. This device the composer finds useful now and again to fill space; but it need hardly be said he must conceal the art, else the insertion stands declared as padding. The Repetition of an Idea is effected

1. By synonyms. The second should add a new idea, or put the old in a new light.

χρησμούς ασήμους δυσκρίτως τ' είρημένους. Aesch. P. V. 662. εξιστορήσας καὶ σαφηνίσας όδόν. Cho. 678. σαφώς έπισκήπτουσα καὶ μυθουμένη. P. V. 664. κυκάτω πάντα καὶ ταρασσέτω. 994. ξκπλους φυλάσσειν καὶ πόρους άλιρρόθους. Pers. 367. σκοπούς δε κάγω και κατοπτήρας στρατού | έπεμψα. Sept. 36. κεδυής άρωγης κάπικουρίας στρατού. Pers. 731.

#### 2. By synonymous phrases.

τίς δὲ ποιμάνωρ ἔπεστι κάπιδεσπόζει στρατῷ;	Aesch. Pers. 241.
ταγείν, έχοντα σκήπτρον εὐθυντήριον.	764.
$ au\epsilon heta  u a  au$ οἰκτρώς δυσκλεεστάτφ μόρφ.	444.
στείχοντα δ' αὐτόφορτον οἰκεία σαγή.	Cho. 675.
αύτος αύτουργφ χερί.	Soph. Ant. 52.
Κάλχας μεταστάς οίος 'Ατρειδών δίχα.	Aj. 750.
ίδού, σιωπῶ, κἀπιλάζυμαι στόμα.	Eur. Andr. 250.

## 3. By repeating the idea in a negative form.

γνωτὰ κοὐκ άγνῶτά μοι.	Soph. O. T. 58.
δωρητόν, ούκ αιτητόν.	384.
πολλάκις τε κούχ άπαξ.	1275.
ἔκη $\lambda$ ος ἴσ $ heta$ ι μηδ' ἄγαν ὑπερφο $oldsymbol{eta}$ οῦ.	Aesch. Sept. 238.
λυσσώσαν αὐτην ούδ' ἐπήβολον φρενών.	Soph. Ant. 492.
πανύστατον δη κοϋποτ' αύθις υστερον.	Aj. 858¹.
αύτη πέλας σου μηκέτ άλλοσε σκόπει.	El. 1474.
σύ γάρ νιν έξέσωσας, ούκ άλλος βροτών.	O. C. 1123.
τούτοισι κούκ άλλοισιν άρμοσθήσεται.	908.
ώς δὲ πρὸς τέλος	
γόων ἀφίκοντ', οὐδ' ἔτ' ώρώρει βοή.	1621.

With the negative first:

ώς οὔποτ' αὖθις, ἀλλὰ νῦν πανύστατον. Eur. Hec. 411¹.

The effect of this, and the kind of passage where it is proper, are seen from these lines of a Messenger's speech. The man is in fear of death, and much moved:

οὖκ οἶδ · ἐκεῖ γὰρ οὕτε του γενήδος ἦν πληγμ, οὐ δικέλλης ἐκβολή · στύφλος δὲ γῆ καὶ χέρσος, ἀρρὼξ οὐδ · ἐπημαξευμένη τροχοῖσιν, ἀλλ ἄσημος οὐργάτης τις ἦν. Soph. Ant. 249.

<sup>1</sup> This is an exact repetition of idea. The effect in its context is pathetic; but it is not suited for imitation in all circumstances. Compare the curious phrase  $\alpha \hat{v}\theta is \alpha \hat{v}$   $\pi \hat{u} \lambda \iota v$ , Soph. Phil. 952.

All this is merely the most emphatic way of saying: 'There was no trace of the doer.'

Finally, take a few more instances of more subtle Repetition, where one word enforces another, or the original idea is put in a different form.

εὖτε πόντος ἐν μεσημβριναῖς κοίταις ακύμων νηνέμοις εύδοι πεσών. Aesch. Ag. 565. τοῦ γὰρ εἰκότος πέρα άπεστι πλείω τοῦ καθήκοντος χρόνου. Soph. O. T. 74. ταύτη συν όρμη κάμε κατθανείν άμα. Trach. 720. πόντου νιν έξήνεγκε πελάγιος κλύδων. Eur. Hec. 703. κοινης τραπέζης αξίωμ' έχων ζσον. Or. 9.βεβασι φρούδοι δίπτυχοι νεανίαι. I. T. 1289. (So φρούδος οίχεται.) τους υπερπόλλους άγαν. Aesch. Pers. 794. είτ' άψορρον ηξομεν πάλιν. Soph. El. 53.

## (4) Paraphrase.

First and foremost, the composer must make his collection of Synonyms. I have no space to give such a collection as would be useful, but must refer him to a good dictionary, or better still, recommend him to make his own. A few hints may however be given.

(1) Make lists of compound verbs. Thus οίδα, έξοιδα, κάτοιδα, σύνοιδα, with εὖ οίδα, σάφ' οίδα, ἔγνων, ἐπίσταμαι, ἐξεπίσταμαι; κτείνω, ἀποκτείνω, κατακτείνω, with φονεύω, &c.

- (2) Note common phrases for common ideas. Thus  $\epsilon i\mu i$  may be  $\epsilon \phi \nu \nu$ ,  $\pi \epsilon \phi \nu \kappa a$ ,  $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \mu a \iota$ ; or  $\tau \nu \gamma \chi a \nu \omega$  and  $\kappa \nu \rho \omega$  with or without  $\omega \nu$ . So with  $\epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$  group  $\chi \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ ,  $\epsilon \rho \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$  (not to 'crawl,' but rather to 'advance'),  $\mu o \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ ,  $\sigma \tau \epsilon (\chi \epsilon \iota \nu)$ .
- (3) Note verbs which are often used in paraphrase. Chief of these are γίγνεσθαι, ποιεῖσθαι (not the active), ἔχειν and τιθέναι οτ τίθεσθαι. Thus ποιεῖσθαι φίλους, λόγους, ἔριν, μάχην, or any verbal noun; ἔχειν μνῆστιν, &c.; θεῖναι κήρυγμα, θέσθαι λήθην.

The method of classification practically most convenient is to take the chief parts of speech in turn, and show how each may be paraphrased. We will consider them in the following order:

- i. Verb: (1) Phrase consisting of Verb and Noun.
  - (2) Verb and Adjective.
  - (3) Verb and Participle.
  - (4) Noun in Apposition.
- Noun: (1) Phrase consisting of Noun and dependent Genitive.
  - (2) Noun and Adjective.
  - (3) Article and Participle.
  - (4) Relative Sentence.
- iii. Adjective: (1) Noun in Apposition.
  - (2) Relative Sentence.
  - (3) Idioms with Numerals.
- iv. Adverb: (1) Prepositional Phrase.
  - (2) Neuter Article.
  - (3) Adjective.
  - (4) Phrases of Time.
- v. Preposition replaced by Adjective.

Besides these (vi) a whole Sentence may be paraphrased by a Noun in Apposition. Other paraphrases are made with (vii) Abstract Nouns for Concrete, and by adding (viii) Redundant elements: (a) Instrumental, (b) λέγω, and (c) an Explanatory phrase. (ix) Again the idea may be put Negatively instead of Positively; and finally the paraphrase may be a general description.

### i. Verb: (1) Verb with Noun = Verb.

γίγνεσθαι and compounds.

ὄρνισι φορβὴ παραλίοις γενήσεται. Soph. Aj. 1065. So δβριστὴς γένη (1092), συλλήπτωρ γενοῦ (Eur. Or. 1230), σωτὴρ γένοιτο (Aesch. Sept. 503).

κατάστασις γένοιτ'  $\mathring{a}\nu$  οὐδενὸς νόμου. Aj. 1247. μισος  $\mathring{a}\nu$  τέκη προσγίγνεται. El. 771. φοράς γέ τοι φθόνησις οὐ γενήσεται. Trach. 1212.

άλλὰ πολλὰ γίγνεται πάρος πεσήματ' ἀνδρών κάπολακτισμοί βίων. Aesch. Suppl. 936.

elvar and compounds.

 $\tau \acute{a}\chi$   $\mathring{a}\nu \pi \rho \grave{o}s \acute{\eta} \mu \hat{a}s... \mathring{o}\pi \tau \mathring{\eta} \rho \acute{e}s \acute{e} \acute{l}e\nu \ (=`come to see').$ 

Aesch. Suppl. 185.

οὖτ' ἔδρασ' οὖτ' εἶδον ὄστις ἡν ὁ δρῶν. Soph. Ant. 239. τῶνδ' ἄρνησις οὖκ ἔνεστί μοι. Εl. 527.

So ενεστιν ωφέλησις, πικρότης ένεστί τις.

κόμπος πάρεστι κουκ άπαρνουμαι το μή. Αj. 96.

So πάρεστιν ώδίς, σοὶ μάθησις οὐ πάρα, &c.

τὰ δ' αὖτε χέρσφ καὶ προσήν πλέον στύγος. Aesch. Ag. 558. Compare τέρψις ήδε σοι τὸ δρᾶν (Soph. Aj. 114), εἰ χάρις 'if you care,' ώς θυμὸς 'as you will,' &c.

### έχειν, ζσχειν.

άλλ' ισχε κάμοῦ μνηστιν (= μέμνησο κάμοῦ). Soph. Aj. 520. So ἴσχειν λήστιν, πρόνοιαν, ξύγγνοιαν, οἶκτον, εὐφημίαν.

#### State.

ἄμφω φυγὴν ἔχοντε τὴν αὐτὴν δόμων. Aesch. Cho. 254. τί δῆτα μέλλει μὴ οὐ παρουσίαν ἔχειν; Soph. Aj. 540. So ἔχειν ἀθυμίαν, θαῦμα, προθυμίαν (with infin.), μεταβολάς, &c.

#### Transitive.

άλλ' οὖκ ἕρευναν τοῦ θανόντος ἔσχετε; Soph. O. T. 566. σοὶ προστροπαίους, ὧ πάτερ, λιτὰς ἔχων. Ο. C. 1309. δργὴν ἔχοις ἄν οὖδὲ μέμψιν εἰς ἐμέ. Phil. 1309. πρόθυμον εἶχ' ὀφθαλμὸν εἰς Ἰάσονα. Εur. Med. 1146.

#### Passive Notion.

πολλὴν ἄρ᾽ ἔξεις μέμψιν, εἰ δράσεις τάδε. 
α μὲν γὰρ ἐξείρηκας ἀγνοία μ᾽ ἔχει. 
Κορh. Trach. 350. 
Τοιάσδε φασγάνων πληγὰς ἔχει. 
Κυτ. Andr. 1074. 
γλώσσης δὲ σιγὴν ὅμμα θ᾽ ἤσυχον πόσει | παρείχον. Tro. 649. 
οἶόν μ᾽ ἀκούσαντ᾽ ἀρτίως ἔχει, γύναι, 
ψυχῆς πλάνημα κἀνακίνησις φρενῶν. 
Κορh. O. T. 726. 
Κο προθυμία ποδῶν ἔχει σε, φόβος μ᾽ ἔχει, &c.

#### ποιείσθαι.

ἐὰν...θέληθ' ὁμοῦ...ἀλκὴν ποιεῖσθαι. Soph. O. C. 459.
So καταφυγὰς ποιούμεναι, θεοὺς μοῖραν ποιεῖσθε ('honour');
ποιεῖσθαι πλοῦν, ὀργήν, ἀναβολάς, γάμους, μάχας, σύλλογον, σύμβασιν, χέρνιβας, &c.
Αλλι προύμμες Εξριγήν.

τὸν θεὸν ποιούμενος | ἀρωγόν. Soph. O. C. 1285. ξυμπλοῦν ἐμαυτὴν τοῦ πάθους ποιουμένη. Ant. 541. τοὖμὸν ἐν σμικρῷ μέρος | ποιούμενοι. Phil. 499.

## τιθέναι, τίθεσθαι and compounds.

νεκρὸν θήσω (= κατακτανῶ). Aesch. Cho. 575. λάκτισμα δείπνου ξυνδίκως τιθείς ἀρᾳ. Ag. 1601. συντιθείς γελων πολὺν. Soph. Aj. 303. δο κήρυγμα θεῖναι, κραυγὴν ἔθηκας. θοῦ νῦν καθαρμὸν τῶνδε δαιμόνων. Ο. C. 466. ύβρισμα θέμενος τὸν ᾿Αγαμέμνονος γόνον. Εur. Or. 1038. νῦν δ᾽ εὐλάβειαν τῶνδε προϋθέμην ἐγώ. Soph. El. 1334. παίδων...προσθοῦ μέριμναν. Ο. Τ. 1459.

φέρειν.

αἰσχύνην φέρειν (to be disgraceful, of things or acts), ήδονὴν φέρειν (to please), πίστιν φέρειν (to trust), and others.

## Other phrases:

άραρε γάρ τις δρκος έκ θεών μέγας ήξειν τιν' ύπτίασμα κειμένου πατρός. Aesch. Ag. 1284. ταγείν έχοντα σκηπτρον εὐθυντήριον. Pers. 764. πας δ' έν μετοίκω γλώσσαν εὖτυκον φέρει κακήν (= ραδίως λέγει κακά). Suppl. 994. οὖκ ἐκτός; οὖκ άψορρον ἐκνεμεῖ πόδα; Soph. Aj. 369. τίνος χάριν τοσόνδ' άνήλωσας λόγον; 1049. άνηρ ὄδ', ώς ἔοικεν, ἐς τριβάς ἐλῷ. Soph. O. T. 1160. λύπην πασιν 'Αργείοις βαλείς (= λυπήσεις πάντας). Phil. 67.

Α. ἔμβαλλε χειρὸς πίστιν. Β. ἐμβάλλω μένειν. 813. ἄσθ' ὅρκον αὐτῷ προσβαλὼν διώμοσεν. Trach. 255. δακρύων ῥήξασα θερμὰ νάματα. 919. ἐμοὶ μὲν οἰκτος δεινὸς ἐμπέπτωκέ τις. Phil. 965. ἤκω λαβοῦσα πρευμένειαν. Ευτ. Or. 1323.

# (2) Verb and Adjective = Verb.

This is too simple to need examples. The type is εὖφημος ἄσθι = εὐφήμει, ἄπαρνος καθίστασθαι = ἀρνεῖσθαι, γενέσθαι ἀγνώμων, καλλίνικος, &c.

# (3) Verb with Participle = Verb.

This incipient Auxiliary idiom is common in Greek with τυγχάνειν and κυρεῖν. There are also a few examples with εἶναι, which here follow.

' Distinguish phrases like  $\hat{\eta}\nu$  ò  $\delta\rho\hat{\omega}\nu$ , where ò  $\delta\rho\hat{\omega}\nu$  is equivalent to a noun substantive.

οὐδέν ἐστ' ἀπόν (Soph. O. T. 1285), ἦν προκείμενον (Aesch. Pers. 371), οὖκ ἦν ἔτι ζῶν (Soph. Phil. 412), τεθνηκὼς ἦν (435), δρῶν γὰρ ἦν (Aj. 1324), γηρυθεῖσ' ἔσει (Aesch. Suppl. 460), ἔσται δεδορκὼς (Ag. 1177), σιωπήσας ἔσει (Soph. O. T. 1146).

With γίγνεσθαι: μὴ προδοὺς ἡμᾶς γένη (Soph. Aj. 588), μὴ...κτείνας γένη (Phil. 773), σημήνας γενοῦ (O. T. 957), and others.

Finally, there is a favourite idiom of Sophocles, the aorist participle with  $\xi_{\chi \in \iota \nu}$ , like and yet unlike the English perfect. For whereas the participle in such a phrase as 'I have *done*' is passive, that of the Greek idiom is active.

τὰ τῶν θεῶν ἔντιμ' ἀτιμάσασ' ἔχε.	Soph. Ant. 77.
καὶ σοῦ δ' ἔγωγε θαυμάσας ἔχω τόδε.	Phil. 1362.
ηὐδατο γὰρ ταῦτ', οὐδέ πω λήξαντ' έχει.	O. T. 731.
γλώσσαν έγκλήσας έχει.	Ant. 180.
ποίω συν έργω ταυτ' άπειλήσας έχεις;	O. C. 817.
σὺ δ' ἐς τί δή με ταῦτ' ἐρωτήσας ἔχεις;	Trach. 403.

So μῆνιν...στήσας ἔχεις (Ο. Τ. 698), ἔχει περάνας (Aj. 22), and in Obliqua φασί...κηρύξαντ' ἔχειν (Ant. 31, compare 192). Other examples may be found.

Note. The following phrases for the common ideas 'live' and 'die' are useful:

Live: εἰσορῶν φάος, λεύσσειν φάος, βλέπειν φάος (or simply βλέπειν), φῶς ὁρῶντες ἡλίου, ἦν ἔτ' ἐν φάει.

Die: The negatives of the above, or λιπεῖν φάος, &c.

## (4) Appositional Noun. See p. 65.

ii. Noun or Pronoun: (1) Noun with Genitive Dependent. Person.

οὶ ἀγώ· τέθνηκας, φίλτατ' Αἰγίσθου βία. Aesch. Cho. 893. τέθνηκε θεῖον Ἰοκάστης κάρα. Soph. O. T. 1235. These words are common in paraphrase of names: so

sometimes  $\delta \epsilon \mu as$ . Note the sense-construction of  $\phi i \lambda \tau a \tau \epsilon \ masc.$ , and contrast Eur. *Phoen.* 56.

μή νυν σὺ ταῦτ' ἄγγελλε δεσπότου στύγει. Aesch. Cho. 770. μῶν Πιτθέως τι γῆρας εἴργασται νέον; Ευτ. Hipp. 794.

Time.

έν μεσημβρίας | θάλπει. Aesch. Suppl. 747. ώς εἰ μελαίνης νυκτὸς ἴξεται κνέφας. Pers. 357.

Place.

ἐν Ἑλλάδος τόποις = Ἑλλάδι, τέμενος αἰθέρος = αἰθήρ, &c.

Number.

πρὸς τοὺς ἐμαυτῆς, ὧν ἀριθμόν ἐν νεκροῖς πλεῖστον δέδεκται Φερσέφασσ' ὀλωλότων. Soph. Ant. 893. τάξαι νεῶν μὲν στῖφος ἐν στοίχοις τρισίν. Aesch. Pers. 366. Descriptive: where the genitive might be omitted.

ός εἰς ἀγῶνα τῷδε συμπεσὼν μάχης. Soph. Trach. 20. τεμοῦσα κρατὸς βοστρύχων ἄκρας φόβας. Εl. 449.

γένους κατ' άγχιστεία.

Ant. 174.

τρέχω δὲ χερσίν, οὐ ποδωκεία σκελῶν. ἔρχεται σπουδή ποδός. Aesch. Eum. 37. Eur. Hec. 216.

οθεν δροσώδης ύδατος έκπηδα νοτίς.

Bacch. 705.

Where both are necessary, but the genitive might have stood alone in some other case.

πῶς δή; τοσοῦτο μῆκος ἐκτεῖνον λόγου. Aesch. Eum. 201.  $\pi$ εμπάζετ' ὀρθῶς ἐκβολὰς ψήφων, φίλοι. 748. ώς ἄν...μάθω γυναικῶν ἤτις ἤδε προστροπή. Cho. 20. οὐχ ὄρμος, οὐδὲ πεισμάτων σωτηρία. Suppl. 765. εὐθὺς δὲ κώπης ῥοθιάδος ξυνεμβολῆ... Pers. 396.

Descriptive and picturesque, both words necessary, and neither could be used alone.

εως κελαίνης νυκτός όμμ' ἀφείλετο. Aesch. Pers. 428. τέμνει σιδήρω πνευμάτων διαρροάς. Eur. Hec. 567.

(2) Noun with Adjective, for Noun.

ἔθραυον πάντα κωπήρη στόλον (= κώπας). Aesch. Pers. 416. ναυβάτη στόλ $\varphi$  (= ναυσίν). Soph. Phil. 270. τούτου δὲ πολλὴν εὐμάρειαν...(= πολλά). 284.

(3) It is unnecessary to give examples of this familiar use, δ σώσας or δ σώσων = σωτήρ, &c. I may however note a few phrases for 'father': δ φιτύσας πατήρ, δ φυτοσπόρος, οὖκφύσας ἐμέ, φυτάλμιος πατήρ.

The following phrases for Ruler may also be found useful. τῆσδε γῆς ἀρχηγέται (Aesch. Suppl. 184), φωτὸς ἀρχηγοῦ (Ag. 259), ὂς σκῆπτρα καὶ θρόνους ἔχει (Soph. O. C. 425), δ κραίνων τῆσδε τῆς χώρας (296), γῆς...κράτη τε καὶ θρόνους νέμω (O. T. 237).

(4) Occasionally a Relative Sentence may serve: as καὶ γαῖαν αὐτήν, ἢ τὰ πάντα τίκτεται (= μητέρα).

Aesch. Cho. 127.

- iii. Adjective: (1) Noun in Apposition: as μαστικτήρα καρδίας λόγον (Aesch. Suppl. 466), οἴακος ἰθυντήρος ὑστάτου νεώς (717): compare bellator equos.
  - (2) Relative Sentence = Adjective.

καὶ τῶνδε καιρὸν ὅστις ἄκιστος λαβέ (= ἄκιστον).

Aesch. Sept. 65.

θυμοῦ δι' ὀργῆς ήτις ἀγριωτάτη (= ἀγριωτάτης).

Soph. O. T. 344.

πῶς γὰρ ἥτις εὖγενης γυνή...οὐ δρῷη τάδ ἄν; El. 257.

These lines gain a trochee each, and a new emphasis to boot.

πάντων ὄσ' ἔστιν ἀνθέων (gains an amphibrachys).

Soph. El. 896.

ἄνευ γε τοῦ κραίνοντος, ὅστις ἦν, χθονός (gains cretic).
Ο. C. 926.

(3) Numerals are often difficult to get into a verse, and the following may be examined with benefit.

τρίτον γὰρ ὄντα μ' ἐπὶ δέκ ἀθλίω πατρί. Aesch. Ag. 1605. δ πᾶς ἀριθμὸς ἐς τριακάδας δέκα. Pers. 339. ναῶν, δεκὰς δ' ἦν τῶνδε χωρὶς ἔκκριτος. 340. ἐκατὸν δὶς ἦσαν ἐπτά θ' · ὧδ' ἔχει λόγος. 343. χρόνον γὰρ οὐχὶ βαιόν, ἀλλ' ἤδη δέκα μῆνας πρὸς ἄλλοις πέντ ἀκήρυκτος μένει. Soph. Trach. 44. δέκατον ἐκπληρῶν ὅχον  $(=tenth\ in\ the\ race)$ . El. 708. ζῆ σοι ξυνωρὶς ἐς τόδ' ἡμέρας τέκνων. Eur. Phoen. 1085.

# iv. Adverb: (1) Prepositional Phrases.

This is a most useful class. The composer should exercise himself in collecting metrical variants of the same idea. Thus 'quickly' may be  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau \dot{\alpha}\chi \epsilon \iota$ ,  $\sigma \dot{\nu}\nu$   $\tau \dot{\alpha}\chi \epsilon \iota$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  or  $\sigma \dot{\nu}\nu$   $\tau \dot{\alpha}\chi \epsilon \iota$   $\tau \iota \nu \dot{\iota}$ ,  $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha}$   $\tau \dot{\alpha}\chi \sigma \nu s$  (besides  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\tau \dot{\alpha}\chi \sigma s$  and others which do not come here); 'violently'  $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha}s$   $\beta \dot{\alpha} \nu$ , rarely  $\dot{\xi} \dot{\nu}\nu$   $\beta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}$ ; 'angrily'  $\delta \iota$ '  $\dot{\delta}\rho \gamma \dot{\eta} s$ ,  $\kappa \alpha \tau$ '  $\dot{\delta}\rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$ ,  $\sigma \dot{\nu}\nu$   $\dot{\delta}\rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \dot{\nu}$ ,  $\sigma \dot{\nu}\nu$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\eta}\rho \alpha$   $\beta \alpha \rho \dot{\nu} s$  or  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\gamma \dot{\eta}\rho \alpha$   $\beta \alpha \rho \dot{\nu} s$ .

Again, many prepositions (it should be remembered) may be used as adverbs without more ado: thus  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$  'amongst them,'  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$  'and with it,'  $\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}$   $\pi\rho\dot{o}s$   $\gamma\epsilon$  'and besides,'  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$   $\theta\omega\dot{\nu}\xi as$  'shouting out'.'

ἀνά. ἀνὰ στόμα ἔχειν 'to have on the tongue.'

ἀπ6. τλήμων οὖσ' ἀπ' εὖτόλμου φρενός ('with, from '), μνήμης ἄπο ('from memory,' on the spur of the moment), ὡς ἀπ' ὀμμάτων ('to judge from a look'), οὖκ ἀπὸ γνώμης λέγεις ('not without'), τὰ...γλώσσης ἄπο ('as far as tongue is concerned').

διὰ. διὰ γλώσσης 'on the tongue,' διὰ χερῶν 'in the hands',' διὰ στέρνων, διὰ φρενῶν 'in heart,' διὰ χρόνου 'after a time,' 'in time,' διὰ τέλους 'speedily' or 'for ever, till the end'; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To call this Tmesis is beside the mark. The point is, that the particle is used with a separate adverbial force.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> And δι' δσίων χειρών θιγών, instr., Soph. O. C. 470.

many phrases with abstract nouns, such as διὰ δίκης ἰών 'having a quarrel with,' διὰ φόνου χωροῦσιν 'do deeds of bloodshed,' δι' αἰδοῦς εἰπεῖν, δι' εὐπετείας.

έξ. τυφλὸς ἐκ δεδορκότος 'after,' 'instead of,' ἐκ κυναγίας 'after'; ἀρχόμεσθ' ἐκ κρεισσόνων 'by,' ἐκ τρίτων 'thirdly.'

έξ ὀμμάτων ὀρθών τε κάξ ὀρθῆς φρενός ('with'). Soph. O. T. 528. κείνοι δ' ὑπερχλίοντες ἐκ γλώσσης κακῆς. Trach. 281.

 $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ : 'in the sphere of, or person of,' so and so.

ἐν τοῖς δικασταῖς κοὖκ ἐμοὶ τάδ ἐσφάλη. Soph. Aj. 1136. So ἔν σοι γελῶν (Soph. Ant. 551), ἐν γνώμη φίλα, ἐν τοῖσιν ἀσὶν...δάκνει (Ant. 317), σώφρονας μὲν ἐν λόγοις (Eur. Hipp. 413), ἐν ὄμμασιν 'before one's eyes,' ἐν ποσὶν 'before one's feet,' τὰν ποσὶν 'commonplaces.' A curious idiom is

ώς ἐν μιῷ πληγῆ κατέφθαρται πολὺς | ὅλβος. Aesch. Pers. 251. οἴ σ' ἐν λιταῖς στείλαντες ἐξ οἴκων μολεῖν. Soph. Phil. 60. τί δ' ἐν δόλῳ δεῖ μᾶλλον ἢ πείσαντ' ἄγειν; 102.

τὸ νεῖκος δ' οὐκ ἐν ἀργυροῦ λαβ $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  | ἔλυσεν. Aesch. Suppl. 935. Here the instrumental would be more usual; the sense of ἐν is 'at.'

A number of useful phrases show  $\epsilon \nu$  used much after the English fashion; as  $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau$   $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$   $\dot{\eta} \sigma \acute{v} \chi \psi$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$   $\gamma a \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ . Varieties of this idiom are  $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$   $\pi a \rho \acute{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \psi$   $\theta \circ \hat{\nu}$   $\mu \epsilon$  (Soph. *Phil.* 473),  $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$   $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$   $\kappa \iota \beta \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \psi$   $\tau \acute{a} \delta \epsilon$  (Eur. *El.* 550),  $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$   $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi \iota \sigma \iota \nu$   $\tau a \hat{\nu} \tau a$  (352). Lastly, of time:  $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$   $\tau a \chi \epsilon \iota$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$   $\chi \rho \acute{v} \nu \psi$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$   $\dot{\eta} \mu \acute{\epsilon} \rho a$   $\mu \iota \hat{\mu}$  all occur.

èπι: 'on the basis of,' hence (1) of sine qua non, (2) of purpose.

(1) ἀλλ' οὖκ ἐπ' ἀρρήτοισι τοῖς ἐμοῖς λόγοις. Soph, Ant. 556.  $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \ \sigma \ \ \ \ \ \dot{\rho} \eta \tau \sigma \ \ \ \ \dot{\sigma} \rho a$ 

πατέρα φυτεύειν, η πι δεσπόταις θεοις

άλλοισιν, εἰ μὴ τούσδε γε στέρξεις νόμους. Eur. Hipp. 459.

(2) κἀπὶ θητεία πλάνης (Soph. O. T. 1029), ἐφ' & (Eur. I. T. 1040); so with accusative, commonly.

A limitative use is seen in  $\tau o \dot{v} \pi i \sigma \dot{\epsilon}$  'as far as you are concerned.'

ές. ἐς καλὸν 'for good,' ἐς δέον 'for need,' ἐς πλεῖστον πόλεως 'more than all the rest,' etc. With verbs of seeing the idiom recalls our own language: ἐς κεῖνόν γ' ὄρα (Soph. El. 925), so βλέπειν εἰς (958), λεύσσειν ἐς (Eur. Phoen. 596), etc.

κατά. καθ' ήδονήν 'pleasantly, for pleasure,' κατ' ἔχθραν, καθ' ὁρμήν 'with zeal'; of comparisons, κατὰ γλῶσσαν δοκῶν 'by hearsay,' σοφώτερ' ἢ κατ' ἄνδρα συμβαλεῖν ἔπη (Eur. Med. 675) 'sayings wiser than man could compose.'

πρός. πρὸς ἡδονήν 'pleasantly, pleasure-wards,' μηδὲν πρὸς ὀργὴν 'in wrath,' πρὸς βίαν 'violently,' μὴ πρὸς ἰσχύος χάριν (Eur.), πρὸς χάριν βορᾶς (Soph.). Sometimes it is used of agent or cause, with Genitive case.

σύν: often used where instrumental is the rule. σὺν τάχει τινί, σὺν δόλω κεντήσετε, σὺν γήρα βαρύς, σὺν σπουδἢ ταχύς, σὺν χρόνω, σὺν ὀργἢ, κτανείν...σὺν πλήθει χερῶν (Soph. O. T. 123), Ἰησ᾽ ἀκόσμω ξὲν ψυγἢ (Aesch. Pers. 470).

πληγέντες αὐτόχειρι σὰν μιάσματι. Soph. Ant. 172. ὑπό. Of origin or cause: ὑπ' εὐθύμου φρενός λέγειν.

οὖχ ἡλίου βολαῖσιν, ἀλλ' ὑπὸ σκιᾶς. Eur. Bacch. 458. Of accompaniment: χαλκῆς ὑπαὶ σάλπιγγος (Soph. El. 711),

 $i\pi^{2}$  εὐφήμου βοᾶς (630). Note the absence of the article in all these poetic phrases.

# (2) Phrases with the Neuter Article.

τὰ νῦν, τὸ νῦν, τοὐπέκεινα, τἀκεῖθεν, and many others.

An extra syllable or two may often be gained, or hiatus avoided, by using these for the simple adverb. But these should not be used with another article.

# (3) Adjective for Adverb.

τηλωπὸς οἰχνεῖ (= τῆλε), δρομαία βᾶσα (= δρόμω), κλύδων παλίρρους ἢγε ναῦν (= πάλιν, Eur. I.~T.~1397), ἢσθ' ἀπόπτολις (= 'abroad'), ἄψορρον ἐκνεμεῖ πόδα (= ἄψ).

κτείνοιεν εὐχείρωτον Έλλήνων στρατόν (= ἡαδίως).

Aesch. Pers. 452.

# (4) Phrases of time are often peculiar enough to be worth quoting.

ήμαρ ήδη ξυμμετρούμενον χρόνφ. Soph. O. T. 73.
τῷ μακρῷ γε ξυμμετρούμενος χρόνφ (of a person). 963.
καὶ τίς χρόνος τοῖσδ ἐστὶν οὕξεληλυθώς; 735.
λαμπρὰ μὲν ἀκτὶς ἡλίου, κανὼν σαφής,
ἔβαλλε γαῖαν (i.e. 'at sunrise'). Eur. Suppl. 650.
So too we have καθ ἡμέραν τὴν νῦν, ἐς τόδ ἡμέρας, χρόνφ δ

So too we have καθ΄ ἡμέραν τὴν νῦν, ἐς τόδ΄ ἡμέρας, χρόνω δ' ἐν ὑστέρω (= ὕστέρον), ἐν τῷ πρὶν χρόνω (= πρίν), ἐνιαυτοῦ κύκλος, ἐνιαυσίους...διῆλθον ἑπτὰ περιδρομὰς ἐτῶν (Eur. Hel. 775).

## v. Preposition.

This may often be replaced by an adjective. Thus  $\mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma s = \mu \epsilon \tau a \xi \dot{\nu}$ ,  $\dot{a} \nu \tau \dot{i} \sigma \tau a \theta \mu \sigma s$  (or some other compound epithet) stands for  $\dot{a} \nu \tau \dot{i}$  (Soph. El. 571).

## vi. Noun in Apposition.

This may be used to express (1) a description, (2) a comment, (3) a purpose; which cannot always be kept apart, as one shades into another. Apart from its neatness the idiom is often convenient metrically. Thus when Sophocles says (El. 685),  $\epsilon l \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$   $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \hat{\rho} s$ ,  $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \tau \hat{\rho} \hat{s} \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \hat{\epsilon} \beta a s$ , there would not have been room in the line for such a phrase as 'and they were all astonied at him.' We give a few examples; the student will find it well worth while to collect more.

(1) δρῶ κόνιν, ἄναυδον ἄγγελον στρατοῦ ('which tells').

Aesch. Suppl. 180.
τὸν σὸν πόδ', ὧναξ, Ἰλίου πορθήτορα ('which sackt'). Ag. 907.
νεκρὸς δέ, τῆσδε δεξιᾶς χερὸς | ἔργον ('done to death'). 1405.

So κοινὸν ἔχθος 'which all men hate,' ναυτίλοις βλάβη 'who destroys,' γεῖσα τεκτόνων πόνον 'which the masons made,' χώρας μίασμα 'who polluted.'

(2) Here falls the construction known as accusative in apposition to the sentence.

εἶχε συμπενθεῖν ἐμοί, ἄγαλμα τύμβου τοῦδε καὶ τιμὴν πατρός. Aesch. Cho. 199. ψυχὴν ἀτάλλων, μητρὶ τῆδε χαρμονήν. Soph. Aj. 559. Ἑλένην κτάνωμεν, Μενέλεω λύπην πικράν. Eur. Or. 1105. ἔεσαν δι' αἰθέρος | Πενθέως, στόχον δύστηνον. Bacch. 1099. So δίκην, τρόπου, 'like.'

(3) Commonest of all is the use of nouns to express a purpose; and it is easy to see how useful a variant this is for the future participle or dependent clause.

ακλητος έρπων δαιταλεύς πανήμερος ('to dine').

Aesch. P. V. 1024.

# θεούς γενεθλίους

καλεί πατρώας γης έποπτήρας λιτών ('to see'). Sept. 639. κνημίδας, αἰχμής καὶ πετρών προβλήματα ('to keep off'). 676. ἔξω βαλείν ἄθαπτον, άρπαγήν κυσίν ('to devour'). 1014. τρίτην ἐπενδίδωμι, τοῦ κατὰ χθονὸς

"Αιδου νεκρών σωτήρος εὐκταίαν χάριν. Ag. 1386. So χάριν generally, 'to please,' 'for the sake of.'

Similarly, we have πημονής ἄκη 'to heal,' ποινάτωρ πατρὸς 'to devour,' μειλίγματα 'to appease,' κολαστής 'to punish,' ἐπίσκοπος 'to behold,' τιμωρὸς 'to avenge,' καθαρτής 'to purify,' ἔλκημα 'to be dragged,' and a world of others.

Note. Adjectives may be used in the same way: as Κάστορί τε Πολυδεύκει τ' εν αἰθέρος πτυχαῖς ξύνθακος εσται, ναυτίλοις σωτήριος. Eur. Or. 1636.

#### vii. Abstract Nouns.

λέοντος εὐγενοῦς ἀπουσία = ἀπόντος. · Aesch. Ag. 1259. δικαίων ὁμμάτων παρουσία = δίκαιοι παρόντες. Cho. 671. So with ἐρημία. See also Soph. O. C. 948, Eur. Alc. 606, Heracl. 632, I. A. 651.

ῶ συντέλεια, μὴ προδῷς πυργώματα. Aesch. Sept. 251. ὅψεις μαρᾶναι (= ὀφθαλμούς, Soph.), δίχηλος ἔμβασις ('hoof,' Eur.), ναυτικὴ ἀναρχία (= ναῦται ἄναρχοι, Eur.), κλίμακος προσαμβάσεις ('steps,' Aesch.).

#### viii. 'Redundant' Elements.

This need not be dealt with at length, since it is not to be used as a literary trick, i.e. when it adds little or nothing to the effect. An exception must be made however, of instrumentals like  $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho i$ ,  $\chi \epsilon \rho i \nu$ ,  $\chi \epsilon \rho i \nu$ ,  $\pi o \delta i$ ,  $\pi o \delta o i \nu$ ,  $\pi o \sigma i \nu$ ,  $\delta \mu \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ ,  $\delta \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o i s$ ,  $\delta \sigma i \nu$ , and other parts of the body, which are common and idiomatic.

- (a) Instrumental Dative. (See above.) So the sun φλέγει ἀκτῖσιν, a river ἄρδει ῥοσῖς, or εὐμενεῖ ποτῷ, people are banished φυγῆ or διωγμοῖς.
  - (b) λέγω redundant is often useful.
    οῦτω δὲ κάμὲ τήνδε τ', Ἡλέκτραν λέγω. Aesch. Cho. 252.
  - (c) Explanatory phrases may be added: τί τοὺς ἀναλωθέντας ἐν ψήφω λέγειν; Ag. 570. οὔ, πρίν γ' ἀν εἴπης ἰστορούμενος βραχύ. Soph. Trach. 415.
- (d) Parentheses: such as οἶδα, οἶδι ἐγώ, καὶ γὰρ οἶδα, ἐγῷδα, ταῦτ ἐγῷδα, οἶδι ὅτι (often last in a sentence, as Soph. Ant. 276); ἴσθι, σάφ ἴσθι, εῖ τοῦτ ἴσθι; τί δι οἰχί, πῶς γὰρ οὐχί, πῶς γὰρ οὖ, πῶς δοκεῖς, τίς ἀντερεῖ, οὐκ ἄλλως λέγω.

(e) A phrase is sometimes added at the end of a speech, such as εἶρηται λόγος, πάντ' ἔχεις λόγον, πάντ' ἀκήκοας λόγον.

## ix, Negative Idioms.

The Greek love for saying less than is meant must be familiar to any one who has read much Greek. οὐχ ἤκιστα is actually a stronger way of saying μάλιστα. This device is frequently quite enough to fill a gap in some line of the composer's. Thus we have κέλαδος οὐ παιώνιος (Aesch. Pers. 605), φάος τόδ οὐκ ἄπαππον Ἰδαίου πυρός (Ag. 311), οὐκ ἀμήνιτον θεοῖς (649), οὐκ ἄναυδος, οὖκ ἀφρόντιστος, οὖ θαρσῶ (= 'I fear'); ἄζηλος θέα (Soph. El. 1455). Other such are οὖκ ἀμνημονῶ (= μέμνημαι), οὖ διχορρόπως (= ὁμοίως), οὖ Σύριον ἀγλάῖσμα (Aesch. Ag. 1312), 'Ορφεῖ δὲ γλῶσσαν τὴν ἐναυτίαν ἔχεις (1629).

The dramatists are especially fond of a type of phrase, where a noun is used with the negation of itself; as  $\mu\dot{\eta}\tau\eta\rho$   $\dot{a}\mu\dot{\eta}\tau\omega\rho$  (Soph. El. 1154) 'a mother who is no mother, who is unworthy of the name.' Where a compound adjective is not to be had, the adverb  $o\dot{v}$  does duty (as in Catullus's funera nec funera).

ἄπολιν Ἰλίου πόλιν ἔθηκας. Aesch. Eum. 457. ἐχθρῶν ἄδωρα δῶρα. Soph. Aj. 665. vύμφην τ' ἄνυμφον παρθένον τ' ἀπάρθενον. Eur. Hec. 612. δεσμὸν δ' ἄδεσμον τόνδ' ἔχουσα φυλλάδος. Suppl. 32. γυναῖκα δ' οὐ γυναῖκα. Soph. O. T. 1256.  $^{2}$  Αργεῖος οὐκ ᾿Αργεῖος. Eur. Or. 904.

## Paraphrase with Intention.

A paraphrase is often used with intention, in order to make the expression of some idea picturesque or sublime. To give full lists of examples would be to transcribe a large portion of the Greek dramatic poets; and indeed it is not necessary, since in this point we are more or less bound by the English. However, a few examples are worth giving, to show the wealth of poetic diction in Greek. It should be borne in mind that he who uses fine phrases to express trivial ideas makes a fool of himself; the result is not grandeur, but bathos.

έκ δὲ δασκίου γενειάδος κρουνοὶ διερραίνοντο κρηναίου ποτοῦ. σπονδή τε καὶ ῥὰξ εὖ τεθησαυρισμένη ἐνῆν δὲ παγκάρπεια συμμιγὴς ὀλαῖς λίπος τ' ἐλαίας, καὶ τὸ ποικιλώτατον

ξουθής μελίσσης κηρόπλαστον δργανον.

Trach. 13.

frag. 366.

So a driver ἐν ἡνίαισι δ' εἶχεν εὖαρκτον στόμα (Aesch. Pers. 193); or a sacrificer stands by the altar σὺν θυηπόλω χερί (202); a dying man ἄνανδρον τάξιν ἠρήμου θανών (298); those who rejoice are ψυχὴν διδόντες ἡδον $\hat{\eta}$  (841); a sinner has to fear δημορριφεῖς, σάφ' ἴσθι, λευσίμους ἀράς (Ag. 1616).

# (5) Compound words.

Much of the beauty and power of the Greek language depends on its Compounds. The student should make lists of these on various principles. Thus he may group together all compounds which show a given element. For example,

αντίδουλος, αντίκεντρος, αντικτόνος, αντίμολπος, αντίπαις, αντίσφερνος: or

αντικτόνος, πατροκτόνος, μητροκτόνος, ξενοκτόνος.

A large number of useful compounds may be found beginning with  $\epsilon \dot{v}$ -,  $\delta v \sigma$ -,  $a \dot{v} \tau \sigma$ -,  $\kappa \omega v \sigma$ -,  $\mu \omega v \sigma$ -,  $\pi a v$ -,  $\pi \sigma \lambda v$ -,  $\phi \iota \lambda \sigma$ -.

Or he may class synonyms together, as

φονεύς: σφαγεύς, ανδροφόντης, ανδροκτόνος, βροτοκτόνος, αὐτοκτόνος, αὐτόχειρ.

Again, he will note where the same compound is used in noun and verb forms: πατροκτόνος and πατροκτονείν, ὀξύθυμος and ὀξυθυμείν.

By these means he will find that a given word will surely call up both a group of similar forms, and a group of words with similar meanings; which will bring him more and more close to the position of a native Greek who thought in the language. Many of these words are useful for metaphors. Thus he may say not only ὀξύθηκτον φάσγανον, but ὀξύθηκτος γλώσσα, ἡνιοστρόφος νεώς as well as ἴππων.

Another useful exercise is to classify compounds on a Metrical principle. Thus we have

Cretic: ἀλλόθρους, ἀμφιπλήξ, βουκολεῖν, and

Cretic Equivalent δοριπετής, κεροτυπείν.

Bacchius or Amphibrach : βαθύρρους, στενωπός.

Palimbacchius: κληδοῦχος, φαιδρωπός.

Molossus (rare): γαμψώνυξ, χειρώναξ; βουφορβείν; or the equivalent, νεόδρεπτος (in some of its cases, or in position).

Four-syllable words with trochaic rhythm (very numerous):  $\dot{a}\gamma\chi_{i}\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\mu\omega\nu$ ,  $a\dot{i}\mu\alpha\tau\omega\pi\dot{o}$ s, ποντοναύτηs, φιτυποιμήν; πωλοδαμνε $\hat{i}\nu$ , σεμνομυθε $\hat{i}\nu$ .

Four-syllable words with Iambic rhythm (very numerous): αἰμορραγής, ἀνδροφθόρος; διφρηλάτης; δακρυρροεῖν, ψευδηγορεῖν.

Four-syllable words containing a Molossus (fairly common): ἀείμνηστος, δουρίκτητος (in position); χαμαικοίτης; λιποψυχεῖν.

Five-syllable words of Iambic rhythm (one of these makes a first penthemimer complete): ἀληθόμαντις, ὀφθαλμότεγκτος; ἀγωνοθήκη; γερονταγωγεῖν.

Five-syllable words of Trochaic rhythm (making a final penthemimer): αίματοσταγής, ποικιλόστολος; ήνιοστρόφος; μη-χανορραφεῖν.

Five-syllable words containing a Molossus: καλλιπύργωτος (trochaic), ὀφθαλμώρυχος (iambic).

Longer words still, such as  $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \rho \sigma \sigma \tau \sigma \mu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ , which fill more than half a verse.

It should be remembered that the rhythmical value of words can be changed by crasis and prodelision. Thus the word ἀθυρόγλωσσος is impossible, but with crasis (κάθυρόγλωσσος) it may be brought in.

Let the learner make full collections of these words from his own reading. If he has no time for this, he may do it with the aid of Beatson's *Indices in Tragicos Graecos*.

# (5) Epithets.

The student who comes to Greek composition with notions conceived after a practice of Latin elegiacs, is apt to use epithets in a way foreign to Greek idiom. In Greek the epithet means a great deal more than in Ovidian elegiacs. It is never added as a make-weight, and nouns do not go in pairs with adjectives in the same way at all.

Epithets are used in Greek verse only when they tell part of the story. The translator should never, without strong reason, use an epithet which is not necessary to translate part of the English. Of course I do not mean that it must always translate an English adjective; but it should answer to one of the ideas exprest by some word in the English. Thus the line of Sophocles,

## ύφεὶς μάγον τοιόνδε μηχανορράφον,

might be rendered in English 'suborning this charlatan to weave a plot.' There is no need to enlarge on this matter, which must be familiar to all students who are not mere beginners.

Passing by, then, this use of epithets to translate ideas exprest in other forms, we have to consider one or two points in the practice of Greek tragedians which may be useful to the student.

## Epithets in Greek verse need have no connecting link.

This practice is contrary to the practice of Ovid, but has its parallel in Virgil where the epithets come after the noun:

monstrum horrendum, informe, ingens...

It should not be overdone by the composer, but should be kept for such places as call for a strong expression of feeling. In Greek the string of epithets may come before or after the noun. Here are a few examples:

ἄφιλον ἔρημον ἄπολιν ἐν ζῶσιν νεκρόν. Soph. Phil. 1018. ἄπαις ἄνανδρος ἄπολις ἐξεφθαρμένη. Eur. Hec. 669. αὐτὴ δὲ δούλη, γραῦς, ἄπαις. 495.

So with two epithets:

ἐᾶν δ΄ ἄκλαυτον, ἄταφον. Soph. Ant. 29.
See also Soph. Ant. 1071, Trach. 1095, Eur. Med. 255, Hipp. 1028—9, Andr. 879, Tro. 1186, Or. 310.

2. Picturesque Epithets are sometimes employed, to heighten the effect, though they may be unnecessary to the sense. Take as examples the following:

τίνος πρὸς ἀνδρὸς ἢ βαθυζώνου κόρης; Aesch. Cho. 169.  $\ref{iv}$  οὖτ' ἄροτρον οὖτε γατόμος...δίκελλα.  $\ref{iv}$  196.  $\ref{iv}$  εφήκεν ἐλλοῖς ἰχθύσιν διαφθοράν. Soph.  $\ref{iv}$  30 τικτὸν κεραστὴν ἔλαφον.  $\ref{iv}$  ελαφον.  $\ref{iv}$  ελαφον.  $\ref{iv}$  ελαφον.  $\ref{iv}$   $\ref{iv}$  ελαφον.  $\ref{iv}$   $\ref{iv}$   $\ref{iv}$  ελαφον.  $\ref{iv}$   $\r$ 

κάμοὶ κατ' ὅσσων χλωρὸν ὡρμήθη δάκρυ. Eur.  $Med.~906^{\circ}$ . aἱ δ' ἐνδακοῦσαι στόμια πυριγενῆ γνάθοις. Hipp.~1223. ὅταν πρὸς ᾿Αργους διψίαν ἔλθω χθόνα². Alc.~560. ἐν γἢ μενόντων ἢ καθ' ἀλμυραν ἄλα; Tro.~76. ἄγαλμά τ' οἴσεις κάμ' ἐπ' εὐπρύμνου νεώς. I.~T.~1000.

This is especially common in elevated passages (see above, p. 68). For instance, take the description of sacrifice, Aesch. P. V. 612.

3. Sometimes the Epithet takes the place of Inflexion. Thus  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{o}_{s}$  and the other possessive pronouns may replace  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu o\hat{o}_{s}$ , even when it is objective.

αὐγὴν πυρὸς φέρουσαν ἐκ Τροίας φάτιν

αλώσιμόν τε βάξιν (i.e. 'the news of capture'). Aesch. Ag.~10. ἔνθ' ἐσπεσὼν ἔκειρε πολύκερων φόνον, Soph. Aj.~55.

i.e. the death of the horned herd.

λοιβαίσι πρώτον καὶ καρατόμοις χλιδαίς | στέψαντες. Εί. 52.

4. Or it may replace another part of speech, an adverbial phrase for example:

έρείσατ, ὧ παῖ, πλευρὸν ἀμφιδέξιον ἐμφύντε τῷ φύσαντι.

Soph. O. C. 1112.

5. Another use is the **Proleptic Epithet**, where the result of an action is anticipated.

κτείνοιεν εἰχείρωτον Ελλήνων στρατόν. Aesch. Pers. 452. ὁ μάσσων βίστος ἢν ταθἢ πρόσω ('stretched out long'). 708. λάφυρα...ἐπασσάλευσαν ἀρχαῖον γάνος ('to be for ages').

Ag. 579.

εύφημον, ὦ τάλαινα, κοίμησον στόμα. 1247. καθείλεν \*Αιδου θανασίμους οἰκήτορας ('in death'). frag. 517. βαθυσκαφεϊ κόνει | κρύψον νιν. Soph. El. 435.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> So Med. 922.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> So Soph. Ant. 246, 429.

6. An epithet belonging by right to one thing may be transferred to another connected with it.

χαμαιπετès βόαμα προσχάνης έμοί. Aesch. Ag. 920. τυφλφ ποδί. Eur. Phoen. 834.

7. An Epithet may give quite a new turn to the noun, contradicting it, or making it sarcastic. This often involves a metaphor, and may be called the **Metaphorical** Epithet<sup>1</sup>.

τερπνής ἄρ' ἦτε τῆσδ' ἐπήβολοι νόσου (weeping for joy). Aesch. Ag. 542. οὐ τίθημ' ἐγὼ ζῆν τοῦτον, ἀλλ' ἔμψυχον ἡγοῦμαι νεκρόν. Soph. Ant. 1166. κλύδων' ἔφιππον ἐν μέσω κυκώμενον. El. 733. ἔως ὑφῆψε δῶμ' ἀνηφαίστω  $^2$  πυρί. Eur. Or. 621. γῆν, ἢ ποθ' ὑμῖν χρυσοπήληκα στάχυν σπαρτῶν ἀνῆκεν. Phoen. 939. οὖ ναῦς χαλινοῖς λινοδέτοις ὁρμεῖ σέθεν. I. T. 1043.

8. Lastly, we have a very pretty idiom. The Compound Adjective often contains a synonym of the noun it is joined with.

σιδηρόφρων δὲ θυμός.	Aesch. Sept. 52.
έμβόλοις χαλκοστόμοις.	Pers. 415.
έξ έλευθεροστόμου   γλώσσης.	Suppl. 948.
πέτραι   ύψηλόκρημνοι.	P. V. 4.
μη φείδεσθε πανδήμου στρατοῦ.	Soph. Aj. 844.
τὸ καλλίπρφρον εἰσέβης 'Αργοῦς σκάς	bos. Eur. Med. 1335.
ω καλλίπηχυν Εκτορος βραχίονα   σώ	ζουσα. Ττο. 1194.
κακογλώσσου βοήs.	Hec. 661.
θηλύπουν βάσιν.	I. A. 421.

See other examples under Metaphor, pp. 32 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Negative Idioms, p. 67.

ύψηλόφρων μοι θυμός αἴρεται πρόσω. περισσόμυθος ὁ λόγος. πάμπλουτον ὅλβον.

Eur. I. A. 919. frag. 52 588.

In each of these, the first part of the compound alone would have given the sense in a simple form:  $\sigma\iota\delta\eta\rho\sigma\hat{v}s$   $\theta\nu\mu\delta s$ ,  $\chi\alpha\lambda\kappa\sigma\hat{c}\sigma\nu$   $\epsilon\mu\beta\delta\lambda\sigma s$ ,  $\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\nu\theta\epsilon\rho\sigma s$   $\gamma\lambda\omega\sigma\sigma\eta s$ , and so forth. But it must be clear to any person with feeling how much balder these phrases would be than the compounds are.

There are divers varieties of this idiom. Many compounds of this sort include something more than a mere synonym, and add a new touch: as αἰολοστόμους χρησμούς (Aesch. P. V. 661), κοιλογάστορος κύκλου (Sept. 496), δημόθρους ἀναρχία (Ag. 883). Occasionally one part of the compound catches up the verb proleptically, as κελαινόβρωτον ἡπαρ ἐκθοινήσεται (P. V. 1025). Lastly, a few seem to lose the sense of the second element so completely, that it can hardly be translated; as ἀνδρόπαις ἀνήρ (Aesch. Sept. 533), πρόχειρον...πάρα ξίφος χεροῖν (Soph. Phil. 747). These last examples are not suited for frequent imitation, but they serve to show how natural the idiom seemed to the Greek poets.

# (6) Neuter Abstract Nouns used of Persons.

Very commonly a neuter abstract noun is used of a person. Among these are:

ἄλημα	λη̂μα	παίδευμα
$\vec{a}\pi\epsilon\chi\theta\eta\mu a$	λώτισμα 'flower'	πίστευμα
βουλευτήριον	μέλημα	στύγημα, στύγος
δεῖμα	μ <b>ί</b> ασμα	συγκοίμημα 'bed-fel-
δήλημα	μίσημα, μῖσος	low'
δούλευμα	οἰκούρημα 'stay-at-	ΰβρισμα
<b>έρμήνευμα</b>	home'	ύπαγκάλισμα
θράσος	ὄχημα 'supporter'	φρούρημα
θρέμμα	(of Zeus).	ωφέλημα.

These words are most commonly used in the vocative, and under the influence of strong feeling. They may be either active ( $\delta\dot{\eta}\lambda\eta\mu\alpha$  'bane') or passive ( $\mu\dot{\iota}\sigma\eta\mu\alpha$  'thing abhorred'). Abstract nouns not neuter are also occasionally used in the same way:  $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi\hat{a}\sigma\alpha$   $\beta\lambda\dot{a}\beta\eta$  'that utter pest' of a man (Soph. *Phil.* 622).

# EXERCISES.

### PRELIMINARY.

The student of Shakespeare is often surprised to find how closely he follows his authorities. In the historical plays, for instance, line after line may be found in North's Plutarch with hardly a change. It may be interesting to give an example'. North's rendering of the speech of Coriolanus to Aufidius begins as follows:

"If thou knowest me not yet, Tullus, and seeing me doest not perhaps believe me to be the man I am indeed, I must of necessity bewray myself to be that I am. I am Caius Marcius, who hath done to thy self particularly, and to all the Volsces generally, great hurt and mischief, which I cannot deny for my surname of Coriolanus that I bear. For I never had other benefit or recompence, of the true and painfull service I have done, and the extreme dangers I have been in, but this only surname: a good memory and witness of the malice and displeasure thou shouldst bear me."

Now hear Shakespeare (Coriolanus, Act IV. Scene 5):

"If, Tullus, not yet thou knowest me, and seeing me, dost not think me for the man I am, necessity commands me name myself...

"My name is Caius Marcius, who hath done
To thee particularly, and to all the Volsces,
Great hurt and mischief: thereto witness may
My surname, Coriolanus; the painful service,
The extreme dangers, and the drops of blood
Shed for my thankless country, are requited
But with that surname: a good memory,
And witness of the malice and displeasure
Which thou shouldst bear me."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Compare Wyndham's Introduction to North, Tudor Translations, pp. lxxxviii ff.

A few changes, as we see, suffice to turn simple prose into simple blank verse; and the same is true of Greek. The fact is, iambic verse comes nearer in rhythm than any other to prose; so much so, that complete iambic lines are occasionally found in prose writers. Demosthenes (with all his care and skill) occasionally has a line of iambic verse; and half lines or more are found in many authors. In English, not to mention writers less distinguished, Dickens writes whole paragraphs in iambics, when he becomes emotional.

Let us now take a simple piece of Greek prose, and see whether it can be turned into verse as Shakespeare turned his North. I open Herodotus at random, and take the first speech my eye falls on.

Εέρξης δὲ ἀμείβετο λέγων, 'Αρτάβανε, βιοτής μέν νυν ἀνθρωπήτης πέρι, ἐούσης τοιαύτης οἴηνπερ σὺ διαιρέεαι εἶναι, παυσώμεθα, μηδὲ κακῶν μεμνεώμεθα, χρηστὰ ἔχοντες πρήγματα ἐν χερσί φράσον δέ μοι τόδε. εἴ τοι ἡ ὄψις τοῦ ἐνυπνίου μὴ ἐναργὴς οὖτω ἐφάνη, εἶχες ἄν τὴν ἀρχαίαν γνώμην, οὐκ ἐῶν με στρατεύεσθαι ἐπὶ τὴν Ἑλλάδα, ἢ μετέστης ἄν; φέρε μοι τοῦτο ἀτρεκέως εἰπέ. 7. 47.

Ξέρξης δέ we note is a palimbacchius, and so may stand first, while  $\mathring{\eta}$ μείβετο will come last in the simplest typical line (Type I.). We require now a trochee or spondee  $- \cong$  and a cretic  $- \cong -$  to follow; and to get both all we need do is to paraphrase λέγων by τοῖσδε τοῖς λόγοις.

## Ξέρξης δε τοισδε τοις λόγοις ημείβετο.

Next get rid of the anapaest  $\beta\iota o\tau \hat{\eta}s$  and substitute  $\beta\iota ov$ , when  $\beta\iota ov$  appears at once as a bacchius. There is no room for the name before it, but Xerxes may use  $\vec{\omega}v\epsilon\rho$  instead. The long adjective is impracticable, but its equivalent,  $\vec{\alpha}v\theta\rho\dot{\omega}\pi\omega\nu$ , is a molossus, and therefore we know its place;  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota$  will stand last.  $\tau\circ\hat{\upsilon}$  should come before this, but cannot; yet it can, if  $\pi\alpha\rho'$   $\vec{\alpha}v\theta\rho\dot{\omega}\pi\upsilon\iota$ s be used instead of the genitive.  $\tau\circ\iota o\iota\circ\dot{\tau}\circ\upsilon$  again may scan as a bacchius, and  $\vec{o}\nu\tau\circ$ s precede it as a spondee; if

 $\gamma\epsilon$  be added, as it often is to this word, we may pass straight on to  $\delta\nu$ , and the line may end  $\epsilon i\nu a\iota$   $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota s$  (the simplest synonym for  $\delta\iota a\iota\rho \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \iota \iota$ ). The missing iambus may be  $\sigma \nu \nu \nu \iota$ .

ώνερ, βίου μεν τοῦ παρ' ανθρώποις πέρι, ὄντος τοιούτου γ' ὂν σὺ νῦν εἶναι λέγεις....

Again:  $\pi a \nu \sigma \acute{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a$  may begin the following line in elision, when it will count as palimbacchius; and what more simple than to add  $\mathring{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} s$ ? The next phrase will actually scan as it stands, if we read  $\mu \mathring{\eta}$  for  $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ .  $\mathring{\epsilon} \nu \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \acute{\nu}$  is another palimbacchius, which we may also write, if we will,  $\mathring{\epsilon} \nu \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\nu}$  (cretic).  $\chi \rho \mathring{\eta} \sigma \tau$   $\mathring{\epsilon} \chi \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon s$  needs only an introductory  $\mathring{\omega} s$  to make a penthemimer, which  $\pi \rho \acute{\alpha} \gamma \mu a \tau a$  may follow in elision as a trochee, followed by  $\mathring{\epsilon} \nu \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\nu}$  in the second cretic position (Type IV.); the line may be completed by an explanatory  $\nu \acute{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu$ :

παυσώμεθ' ήμεις· μὴ κακῶν μεμνώμεθα ώς χρήστ' ἔχοντες πράγματ' ἐν χερσὶν νέμειν.

The next sentence needs no change whatever, but merely a final elision; which is made if el be the next word, as it should be. 701 will not do, of course; but no particle is needed; ἐνύπνιον is a proper end-word, and τοῦτο may precede it. ἐναργὴς and οὖτω need only to be transposed, and there is another half-line; μη φάνη, with prodelision, furnishes a cretic. But there is no room for ours. We may however change τοι to γε, or είχες αν may stand final, if τότε be added after the cretic. If the next phrase is to take its natural place, the molossus apxaíav must be changed, or the whole phrase; γνώμην, if used, can stand only first. otherwise the initial would lengthen any preceding vowel. άρχαίαν may be paraphrased την πρίν, but this is of no use, for  $\gamma \epsilon$  is inadmissible here;  $\hat{\eta} \nu \pi \rho i \nu$  ( $\epsilon \hat{i} \chi \epsilon s$ ) would also stand, and we can use this with a little adjustment; or olar, with the first syllable shortened as is sometimes

done.  $\vec{ov}\kappa$   $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\omega}\nu$  is a cretic, and may follow  $\pi\rho(\nu)$ , while we have only to omit the article  $\tau\hat{\eta}\nu$  (and articles are not common in verse) to get the remainder of the verse,  $\hat{\epsilon}\phi$  'E $\lambda\lambda\hat{\alpha}\delta\alpha$ .  $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\epsilon\hat{\nu}\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$  ought to precede the last foot, if used; but it should come nearer the beginning, and must therefore be paraphrased. The simplest paraphrase,  $\tilde{\alpha}\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu$   $\sigma\tau\rho\hat{\alpha}\tau\epsilon\nu\mu\alpha$ , will scan; so will  $\hat{\eta}$   $\mu\epsilon\tau\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\eta$ s  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ , which must follow the caesura; and the two may stand together, if we place  $\mu\epsilon$  between them. The last word will be  $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon$ .

φράσον δέ μοι τόδ' εἴ γε τοῦτ' ἐνύπνιον οὖτως ἐναργὲς μὴ 'φάνη τότ', εἶχες ἂν γνώμην οἴαν πρίν, οὖκ ἐων ἐφ' Ἑλλάδα ἄγειν στράτευμά μ', ἢ μετέστης ἄν; λέγε.

How close to iambic verse comes any simple speech or piece of narration, the above experiment will show. The verse as here made is only passable, it is true¹, but that is because no changes have been made except where absolutely necessary. It would be easy to work this up into something better with a very small knowledge of poetic diction. But let this suffice to comfort the beginner. If he can put English into simple Greek prose, it is only a step from this to simple Greek verse; and his aim should be always to make the verse simple, until his knowledge increases and he feels sure of his own power. Half the mistakes of verse writers come from attempting to write finely before they can write simply.

The beginner, then, who understands fairly the principles on which the iambic line is built up, but knows nothing of poetic diction, may find it helpful to write down in plainest prose a translation of the piece set him. When he has done this a few times, and has gained some skill in noting the phrases which will be useful, he will be able to do the

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  τοίσδε τοῖς λόγοις, for example, is bald prose. The dramatists hardly use the article with  $\delta\delta\epsilon.$ 

preliminary part in his head. By degrees, as he reads the poets, their phrases and words will recur to his memory, and his translation will begin to change from verse to poetry; and the last stage will be reached, when he is able to hit on the nearest Greek equivalent for each phrase or metaphor in the English, and when he can embellish his verse with the allusions or metaphors most familiar to the Greeks.

The exercises which follow will begin at the second stage. We shall assume it not to be necessary to write down a complete translation in prose of each piece; but at first we shall begin on each section of the English by writing down a number of possible translations of the chief words or ideas, metrical or not, and from these we shall build up our piece of verse. The language will be simple at first, and gradually poetical phrases will be introduced; until in the concluding exercises, we shall assume a wide knowledge of the Greek dramatists, and draw on the whole area for our renderings.

I.

First we will take a simple piece of narrative from *The Earthly Paradise*.

A king there was in days of old
Who ruled wide lands, nor lackt for gold
Nor honour, nor much-longed-for praise;
And his days were called happy days;
5 So peaceable his kingdoms were,
While others, wrapt in war and fear,
Fell ever into worse and worse.

Therefore his city was the nurse Of all that men then had of lore,

10 And none were driven from his door That seemed well skilled in anything; So of the sages was he king; And from this learned man and that, Little by little, lore he gat, 15 And many a lordless troubled land Fell scarce loth to his dreaded hand.

This we shall now turn into verse, using only such words as a beginner may be supposed to know; careful not so much of beauty of phrase or fineness of rhythm as of bare simplicity.

> A king there was in days of old Who ruled wide lands, nor lackt for gold Nor honour, nor much-longed-for praise.

We avoid the word βασιλεύς, which as an anapaest is less easily managed, and translate 'a king' by ἄναξ τις; an amphibrachys at once. 'In days of old' is πάλαι, or ποτέ, or both together πάλαι ποτέ, which in elision is another amphibrach. We have only to place  $\hat{\eta}\nu$  after it, when  $\pi \hat{a}\lambda a \pi \sigma \hat{\tau} \hat{\eta}\nu$  presents itself as a four-syllable group of the proper shape to fill two feet at either end of the verse; avak ris, the amphibrach, added to this, makes a hephthemimer. Bearing in mind that  $\chi\theta\dot{\omega}\nu$  is a poetical synonym of  $\gamma\hat{\eta}$ , we translate 'Who ruled wide lands' literally δς ήρχεν εὐρείας χθονός, actually metrical without change. The last two words exactly complete our first line, and the others may begin the second. 'Nor lackt for gold, οὐδὲ χρυσοῦ ἐσπανίζετο, is not so promising; though we can make a metrical sentence of it after a fashion. ἐσπανίζετο forms a final penthemimer by itself; and as other nouns follow, we may add μέν, making χρυσοῦ μέν a palimbacchius; this may precede the verb, and où go before it. The lack of a conjunction is abrupt, but such things are. As the verb ought to recall the noun  $\sigma\pi\acute{a}\nu\imath s$ , we may also say where was no lack, ή χρυσοῦ μεν οὐ σπάνις, and then παρην for  $n \sim n \sim n$  completes the line easily. The next sentence will be cast in a similar mould, τιμής δέ first, and second ἐπαίνου τε (in elision), which is at once seen to be a bacchius. 'Muchlonged-for' is the same as 'very dear,' i.e. εὐφιλής, or εὐφιλής βροτοῖς: cretic and iambus, leaving (when we have made the adjective agree) one foot to find. This may be ἄλις, a synonym of οὖ σπάνις. The phrase may be paraphrased ὃν βροτοὶ φιλοῦσι, which in elision has the same scansion. The first lines then will be:

πάλαι ποτ' ἦν ἄναξ τις, εὖρείας χθονὸς ος ἦρχεν, ἢ χρυσοῦ μὲν οὐ σπάνις παρῆν, τιμῆς δ' ἐπαίνου θ', ὄν βροτοὶ φιλοῦσ', ἄλις.

And his days were called happy days.

'Days' must not be repeated in Greek; in the English it is a mere affectation to repeat it, effective sometimes, but much overdone by modern writers. In Greek such repetitions are rarely necessary, and if not, are mere padding; repetition should be kept to express a real emphasis. We make nuépas accusative at once, for clearly the form of the sentence will be 'he lived' or 'he past' happy days. The word is a cretic, and so is ολβίας; as it is unlikely that two cretics will fit easily in one line, suppose we paraphrase one. That easier to paraphrase is ὀλβίας, which may be rendered ὄλβου πλήρεις or μεστάς. The cretic finds its own place at once; let it go in the first cretic position (Type I.) to begin with. We want a short syllable to work out the first penthemimer; and a conjunction & added to either spondee makes up a palimbacchius group. Since a consonant must follow δέ, we write ολβου δὲ μεστάς. A verb is now needed; perhaps the beginner may think of έζη. This would do, but διηγε is more idiomatic. A subject, such as δδε, will complete the line.

ολβου δε μεστάς ήμέρας διηγ' όδε.

5 So peaceable his kingdoms were, While others, wrapt in war and fear, Fell ever into worse and worse.

'Kingdoms' will not be åpxai or any such abstract term;

but  $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon_{is}$ , or some such phrase as 'all the land,'  $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \chi \theta \acute{\omega} \nu$ . (Be careful to remember that this is a molossus, not a cretic, -a being lengthened before  $\chi \theta$ .)  $\epsilon i \rho \eta \nu a \hat{i} o s$  in the proper case is impossible in iambics; but it may be paraphrased  $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon i \rho \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ . Now this group needs only one long syllable in front to bring it in after the caesura: let  $\chi \theta \acute{\omega} \nu$  be placed there. A suitable verb is 'continued,'  $\delta \iota \epsilon \tau \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota$  o  $\delta \sigma a$ , or  $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu$  alone. Change the latter to the historic present, and there is a final iambus,  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \iota$ .

'So' peaceable may be τοσαύτη, or πολλή. πασα is of no use with the former, but with the latter lacks only a syllable to complete the verse. The lack is supplied when we remember the long form  $\delta\pi\alpha\sigma\alpha$ . A conjunction  $\delta\epsilon$  prevents 'While' may be turned (1) by  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$  and  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$  with two coordinate sentences, or (2) by the genitive absolute. 'Wrapt' the beginner will probably have to leave out; he need only say 'war and fear being present elsewhere.' As we avoid all groups of three short syllables for the present, wherever we can, πόλεμος is ruled out; but μάχη will do for our purpose, or perhaps even the beginner will remember δόρυ (gen. poet. δορός): παρόντος (amphibrachys) will follow δορός. where is  $d\lambda \lambda \theta \iota$ ; but this can only stand last, unless we are lucky enough to get a double mute to follow it. πανταχοῦ is not quite what is wanted; but there is no need to use it. for ἄλλοθεν will do. φόβου τε comes next, and it needs small ingenuity to discover that aua suits verse and sense equally well. The next line cannot be rendered literally; but we may say 'so as to bring ever an evil worse than the former evil.' From this we extract ωστ' ἄγειν, clearly a cretic group, and κάκιον an amphibrach; whereupon the student will perceive that the whole difficulty of the line is solved. the former evil' is τοῦ πρὶν κακοῦ. Of these words κακοῦ will stand first, and τοῦ πρίν in the fifth foot, if the last word begins in a consonant. But del begins in a vowel; what is to be done now? Place ωστ' ἄγειν in the second cretic position (Type III.); then  $\tau o \hat{v} \pi \rho i \nu$ , which is a natural trochee, falls into line before it. We have now finished three more lines as follows:

πολλή δ' απασα χθων εν ειρήνη μένει, δορὸς παρόντος άλλοθεν φόβου θ' αμα, κακοῦ κάκιον τοῦ πρὶν ωστ' αγειν ἀεί.

Therefore his city was the nurse Of all that men then had of lore.

'Therefore' is οὖν, τοιγάρ, τοιγαροῦν, οτ ἐξ ὧν, ἐξ ὧν περ. A cretic discloses itself here, but unluckily τοιγαροῦν must stand first in its clause; we therefore dismiss it, and leave the particle for the present. No other cretic is seen, or anything more useful than spondee (αὐτοῦ) or iambus (πόλις, τροφός).  $\eta \nu$  is of little use, still less  $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \sigma$ ; but the student ought to remember ἔφυ (another iambus), ὑπῆρχεν, or κατέστη, a natural bacchius; the last word can be used with complementary noun as in Hdt. i. 92, ἀντιστασιώτης κατεστήκεε. Let us pass on and see what the next line can give us. 'Lore' is the keystone of the line; and this in Greek would be σοφία, or some paraphrase with μανθάνω: ὅσα ἔμαθον τότε οἱ ἄνθρωποι. μουσική would give us a cretic, but the word is too narrow. Or we might say 'a nurse of teachers,' διδασκάλων, or 'of arts' τεχνών. This will suggest as a simple rendering,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi \acute{o} \lambda \iota s$   $\dot{v} \pi \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \rho o \phi \grave{o} s$ πασών τεχνών όσας είχον ἄνθρωποι τότε. From this we get for the first line  $\dot{v}\pi\hat{\eta}\rho\chi\epsilon\nu$  (amphibrachys),  $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi\acute{o}\lambda\iota$ s (cretic), and for the second σσας τότ' είχον (penthemimer), ἄνθρωποι (molossus), and τέχνας last. The lines are now nearly complete. We now prefix εὐθὺς to the cretic in the first line, and place τροφός at the end; placing πασῶν before the amphibrachys in the second line. The relative will probably be attracted to the case of its antecedent, and thus we get:

> έξ ὧν ὑπῆρχεν εὐθὺς ἡ πόλις τροφὸς πασῶν ὄσων τότ' εἶχον ἄνθρωποι τεχνῶν.

10 And none were driven from his door That seemed well skilled in anything; So of the sages was he king.

'Driven from his door' ought to suggest to all who have studied the Attic idiom, the phrase ἐκπίπτειν, which is regularly used instead of the passive of ἐκβάλλειν. The proper tense is an agrist, and εξέπεσε is the equivalent of a palimbacchius, the second syllable being resolved. There is here no help for it; a trisyllabic foot is inevitable unless we paraphrase, or make the king subject and write οὐδ' ἐξέβαλλε (complete penthemimer). 'Door' will be 'house,' δωμάτων, a cretic at once. 'Skilled' is ἔμπειρος, which with ων may end the line in the first construction; a spondee or trochee will complete it, and this may be oideis. But this is to omit 'seemed,' which has point (for the poet might have written 'was'). 'To seem' is δοκείν, but νομισθείς is a bacchius. είναι might precede it, but this would take ων from the preceding line. However, ἐμπειρίαν έχειν gives the same sense, and will suit the metre. In the second construction, 'king' must be inserted; and that would sound ill with the phrase which follows; let us then keep to the other. Now to proceed: 'king of the sages' is ἄναξ τῶν σοφών, whence we get a cretic at once in the last two words. ούτως will not stand here; but we may carry on the construction with δέ, τῶν σοφῶν δ' ἄναξ, placing ἔφυ last. We now have two lines of Greek representing three of English; quite enough, for the English is diluted:

> έξέπεσε δ' οὐδεὶς δωμάτων, ἐμπειρίαν ἔχειν νομισθείς· τῶν σοφῶν δ' ἄναξ ἔφυ.

And from this learned man and that, Little by little, lore he gat, 15 And many a lordless troubled land Fell scarce loth to his dreaded hand.

'From this and that' must recall the idiom ἄλλο ἀπ' ἄλλου.

Here  $\mathring{a\pi}$   $\mathring{a}\lambda\lambda\omega\upsilon$  is a bacchius, and we only need a particle, such as  $\mathring{\omega}\sigma\tau$ , to complete the penthemimer. 'Learned man' may perhaps be  $\sigma o\phi\iota \sigma\tau \dot{\eta}s$ , though the word has a special meaning; but  $\phi\iota\lambda o\sigma \dot{\phi}o\upsilon$  is a cretic equivalent (the first syllable resolved), and may follow  $\mathring{a}\lambda\lambda\upsilon$ .  $\mu a\theta\dot{\omega}\nu$  may come next, and  $\sigma o\phi\dot{\omega}\nu$  last. But we should avoid these resolved syllables, if possible; they are rare in the best writers, and we had one just now. Suppose then we say  $\sigma \dot{\phi} \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \mu a$ , or (more convenient)  $\sigma o\phi \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a$ , making  $\mathring{a}\lambda\lambda a$  and  $\mathring{a}\lambda\lambda\omega\nu$  plural at the same time. A cretic is now wanted; and  $\mu a \theta\dot{\omega}\nu$  can join with (say)  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{v}$  to produce it:

ώστ' ἄλλ' ἀπ' ἄλλων εὖ μαθὼν σοφίσματα.... The 'learned man' is easily understood in this context.

Before going further, we had best decide what construction to use. 'Fell to' suggests no good idiom; accordingly we fall back on the simple sense, and say 'he conquered,' ἐνίκα or ένίκησε, both useful words. 'The lands' will now be accusative: πολλάς or πλείστας πόλεις. 'Little by little' cannot be translated literally, nor will κατὰ μίαν do at all; but the proper Greek word is  $\epsilon \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s$ , 'one after the other,' a bacchius. before this πλείστας, and the first penthemimer is ready. 'Scarce loth' is οὐκ (or οὐδὲν) ἀκούσας or ἀκουσίους. If we use οὐδὲν ἀκούσας, only an iambus is left to find for this line; and the Greek love for antithesis will suggest ἐκών. πόλεις will come first in the next line, and a literal translation of 'lordless' is avápxovs, a bacchius, which will follow after. 'Trouble' is ταράσσω; and in default of a convenient adjective, we may paraphrase by using the participle ταραχθείσας; a long syllable placed before this word (---) brings the line to the sixth foot; and καί is obvious. We may add τὸ  $\pi\rho\dot{\nu}$  to the participle, or  $\pi\dot{\alpha}\rho\sigma$ , completing the line, and at the same time giving distinctness to the sense; for after the king took them the case was altered.

We come now to the last line, a bacchius for which we

have already:  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\alpha$ . 'Dreaded hand' will be put in the dative,  $\delta\epsilon\iota\nu\hat{\eta}$   $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\dot{\epsilon}$ . We need only change  $\delta\epsilon\iota\nu\hat{\eta}$  to the plural, and it will stand first before  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\alpha$ , while  $\chi\epsilon\rho\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  is a trochee, and so will follow. Now we may wind up the piece with some amplification of the context, so as not to end in the middle of the line: say  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau^{2}$   $\dot{a}\rho\chi\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$ , or better  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$   $\kappa\iota\iota\rho\alpha\nu\epsilon\dot{\nu}\nu$ . So manifest a tag can of course be easily avoided when we know a little more of poetic diction; but at present we are dispensing with it as far as we can.

πλείστας ἐφεξής οὐδὲν ἀκούσας ἐκῶν πόλεις ἀνάρχους καὶ ταραχθείσας τὸ πρὶν δειναῖς ἐνίκα χερσίν, ὥστε κοιρανεῖν.

We have now produced a set of verses, which conforms to all rules of metre and grammar, and yet is an almost literal translation of a piece of English verse. There is nothing very poetical about them, and they are perhaps dull to read; but let them suffice to prove that no one need despair of mastering the technique of Greek verse. And the student should never forget, that such a set as this is worth more than a more ambitious piece marred by blunders. Whenever he is in doubt about a word, phrase or construction, he should ruthlessly cut it out. By this means, his first attempts may be bald and dull, but they will be sound; and he will lay a good foundation. The rock is a foundation for anything, from cottage to palace; but no matter how fine the palace, there is no living in it if it be built upon the sand.

#### 11.

#### ETEOCLES—POLYNICES.

Pol. O altares of my country soile.

Et. Whom thou art come to spoile and to deface.

Pol. O Gods, give eare unto my honest cause.

Et. With foren power his country to invade.

5 Pol. O holy temples of the heavenly gods.

Et. That for thy wicked deeds do hate thy name.

Pol. Ont of my kingdom am I driven by force.

Et. Out of the which thou camest me for to drive.

Pol. Punish, O Gods, this wicked tyrant here.

10 Et. Pray to the Gods in Greece and not in Thebes.

Pol. No savage beast so cruell nor unjust.

Et. Not cruell to my country like to thee.

Pol. Since from my right I am wyth wrong deprived.

Et. Eke from thy life, if long thou tarry here.

15 Pol. O father, heare what injuries I take.

Et. As though thy divellish deeds were hid from him.
G. GASCOIGNE.

Remember, in a piece of *stichomythia*, to give one line and no more to each speaker; to balance one line against another; to carry on the construction wherever you can; and to use appropriate particles.  $\gamma\epsilon$  is very common in answers to a question, where we use yes or no as the case may be. It is curious to observe that the lines given to Polynices make sense and construction if taken without the answers.

# Pol. O altares of my country soile.

Words. 'Altar': βωμός. 'Of my country': πατρώος, or paraphrase, as τῆσδε οτ ταύτης πατρίας χθονός.

Form. It is possible to make a line out of the words suggested, but the tautology of πατρῷος and πάτριος is ugly. We therefore cast about for some verb, such as 'I hail,' 'I salute': προσκυνῶ. Now we get a simple beginning with

spondee ( $\beta\omega\mu$ ούs) and bacchius ( $\pi$ ατρ $\dot{\omega}$ ουs);  $\tau$  $\hat{\eta}$ σ $\delta\epsilon$  being a trochee, place  $\pi\rho$ οσκυν $\hat{\omega}$  in the Second Cretic Position, and write:

βωμούς πατρώους τησδε προσκυνώ χθονός.

Et. Whom thou art come to spoile and to deface.

Words. 'Spoil': πέρθω. 'Deface': (δι)όλλυμι, διαφθείρω. Form. A literal translation gives οὖς σὰ πέρσων καὶ διαφθερῶν ἢλθες. It is easy to see that καὶ διαφθερῶν, a five-syllable word in rhythm, may stand last after a trochee (Type IX.  $- \cup |- \cup - \cup -|$ ); or may follow the caesura, since the group begins with a trochee (Type IX.). σὰ πέρσων is a bacchius group, and we now need only to expand οὖς one syllable, by adding  $\pi \epsilon \rho$ , to complete five of the six feet. ἢλθες however is impracticable. Exchange it for  $\pi \acute{a} \rho \epsilon \iota$ , 'you are here,' and the thing is done.

ούσπερ σὺ πέρσων καὶ διαφθερῶν πάρει.

Pol. O Gods, give eare unto my honest cause.

Words. 'Give ear': ἀκούω, εἰσακούω, κλύω. 'Honest cause' should be personal: 'me asking honest things,' αἰτοῦντος δίκαια. δίκη is not impossible, but this is more idiomatic.

Form. It is clear that  $d\kappa o i\sigma a\tau \epsilon$  is a convenient form; it may stand last, for instance, in several types; or by elision it may become a bacchius.  $al\tau o i\nu \tau o s$  again is a palimbacchius, or in position may scan as a molossus. Type II. is no help here; suppose we try what can be done with Type VII., where the palimbacchius takes its second position. The student ought to perceive that but one syllable is needed to complete the latter part of the line; and this is got by using the compound  $\epsilon l\sigma a\kappa o i\sigma a\tau \epsilon$ , which has an extra syllable.  $\delta i\kappa a\iota a$  may now stand before  $al\tau o i\nu \tau o s$ , the -a elided; and first will come  $\theta \epsilon o i$ , or better,  $\delta \theta \epsilon o i$ , the latter word being scanned as one syllable:

ῶ θεοί, δίκαι αἰτοῦντος εἰσακούσατε.

Et. With foren power his country to invade.

Words. 'Invade': ἐσβάλλω. 'Country': πατρίς, πάτρα, πόλις. 'Foreign power': βάρβαροι, or a more literal translation, χεὶρ βάρβαρος for example.

Form. The construction is consecutive, and may be carried on by a simple infinitive (with  $\gamma\epsilon$  or some other particle), or the infinitive with  $\tau\delta$  or  $\delta\sigma\tau\epsilon$ . 'With foreign power' is  $\dot{\xi}\dot{\nu}\nu$   $\beta\alpha\rho\beta\dot{\alpha}\rho\omega$ , which may stand first with a particle, or last alone. The aorist inf.  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\beta\alpha\lambda\epsilon\hat{\nu}$  is a cretic, true; but it goes better for emphasis near the beginning, and we may make a four-syllable group by prefixing  $\delta\sigma\tau\epsilon$ . A cretic, which we do want, is  $\pi\dot{\alpha}\tau\rho\alpha\nu$  or  $\pi\dot{\delta}\lambda\nu$  with the article. It now remains to select some particle. As has been said,  $\gamma\epsilon$  will do; but more telling is  $\delta\dot{\eta}$ , 'as we see,' 'just see.'

ώστ' ἐσβαλεῖν δὴ τὴν πάτραν ξὺν βαρβάροις.

5 Pol. O holy temples of the heavenly gods.

Words. 'Holy':  $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \delta s$ , i.e. worthy of reverence. 'Temples':  $i \epsilon \rho \dot{a}$ , with i, or contracted  $i \rho \dot{a}$ ;  $\nu \bar{a} \delta s$ ,  $\nu \epsilon \dot{\omega} s$  are less dignified, as they mean 'house or dwelling.' 'Heavenly': 'Ολύμπιοs.

Form. This line is simple in form. We may either use  $O(\lambda \nu \mu \pi i \omega \nu)$  and conform to Type I. or VII.; or add the article, thus getting a five-syllable group (Types IX. and X.).  $i\rho a$  as a trochee, or uncontracted  $i\epsilon \rho a$ , may precede the five syllables (IX.). Now place the palimbacchius  $\hat{a}$   $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu a$  first, and we need only a trochee or spondee. This will of course be  $\tau \hat{a} \nu \nu$   $\theta \epsilon \hat{a} \nu$ , and the line runs:

ω σεμνά των θεων ίρα των 'Ολυμπίων.

Et. That for thy wicked deeds do hate thy name.

Words. 'Hate': μῖσῶ, στὕγῶ. 'Name': simply σύ. 'For': ἔνεκα, οὕνεκα; or paraphrase, 'hate thee doing

wickedly.' 'Wicked': κακός. 'Deeds': ἔργα, πράγη, or use  $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ .

Form. of  $\gamma \epsilon$  is the best beginning; and if we use the literal translation of the next phrase, we can make up a palimbacchius with of  $\gamma$  over, placing next it the spondee  $\epsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ . A four-syllable group is  $\mu \iota \sigma o v \sigma \iota$  and we now need only a cretic. This we get by putting  $\kappa a \kappa \omega \nu$  into a negative form, over  $\kappa a \lambda \omega \nu$ ; which by the way is in Greek idiom even stronger.

οι γ' οὖνεκ' ἔργων οὐ καλῶν μισοῦσί σε.

Pol. Out of my kingdom am I driven by force.

Words. 'Kingdom': use θρόνοι, or βασιλικοὶ θρόνοι. 'Driven': ἐκπίπτω (the regular passive of ἐκβάλλω), or ἐξελαύνομαι. 'By force': βία, πρὸς βίαν, βιαίως.

Form. If we observe, as we should, that  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$  is a resolved cretic or a resolved bacchius, we need not be at a loss to write  $\theta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \omega \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$  first. We may now write  $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \hat{\iota} \pi \tau \omega \beta \hat{\iota} a$ , leaving a trochaic gap; or complete the line as in Type IX. with the five-syllable  $\hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \lambda a \hat{\omega} \nu \omega \mu a \iota$ .

θρόνων βασιλικών έξελαύνομαι βία.

Et. Out of the which thou camest me for to drive.

The Words we have already. As to Form, the line naturally begins  $\hat{\epsilon}\xi$   $\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\gamma\epsilon$ , 'yes....' 'To drive,' for which we must use the same word as in the preceding line, whatever it be, is  $\hat{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\lambda\hat{\omega}\nu$ , a cretic. 'Camest' is  $\hat{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon$ s, a trochee, which we place hefore  $\hat{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\lambda\hat{\omega}\nu$  in the Second Position. Use the long form for 'me,' and  $\hat{\epsilon}\mu\hat{\epsilon}$  may end the line. Then the vacant spondee can be found in a word which shall emphasise the subject,  $a\hat{\nu}\tau\hat{\sigma}$ s or  $\kappa a\hat{\nu}\tau\hat{\sigma}$ s,  $\kappa a\hat{\epsilon}$  meaning tu quoque:

έξ ων γε καὐτὸς ήλθες έξελων εμέ.

Pol. Punish, O Gods, this wicked tyrant here.

Words. 'Punish': τιμωρώ (acc.), δίκην λαμβάνω, etc.

'Tyrant': τύραννος; though alone the word is weaker than 'tyrant,' there is nothing else, and the epithet κακός will strengthen it.

Form. With  $\tau \acute{\nu} \rho \alpha \nu \nu \nu \nu$  in view, we need not doubt what to write in the first penthemimer:  $\kappa \alpha \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \acute{\nu} \rho \alpha \nu \nu \nu \nu$ . The verb gives us  $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\iota} \tau \epsilon$ . The VIIIth Type is barred by our beginning; but if we tack on  $\theta \epsilon o \acute{\iota}$  as a monosyllable, we can copy Type IX.:  $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\iota} \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon o \acute{\iota}$ . 'This,' as a trochee, is  $\tau \acute{\nu} \nu \delta \epsilon$ .

κακὸν τύραννον τόνδε τιμωρεῖτε, θεοί.

10 Et. Pray to the Gods in Greece and not in Thebes.

Words. 'In Greece': not literal, since Thebes is in Greece; but ἄλλη, ἀλλαχοῦ. 'In Thebes': ἐν Θήβαις, Θήβησιν. 'Pray': εὖχομαι.

Form. Be careful to use  $\mu\eta$  for 'not,' as the sentence is imperative. We first note that a cretic is impossible, or there will be no room for the latter half of the sentence. 'And not,'  $\mu\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ , is a trochee, and we settle upon  $\mathfrak{G}\eta\beta\eta\sigma\iota\nu$  to follow, because the other would cause hiatus.  $\theta\epsilon o\hat{s}$  is a natural ending.  $\epsilon\tilde{v}\chi\sigma\nu$  and  $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda\eta$  are both spondees, but either may be turned into the palimbacchius if we affix  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ . The more emphatic is  $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda\eta$ , so write

άλλη μέν εύχου, μηδέ Θήβησιν θεοίς.

Pol. No savage beast so cruell nor unjust.

Words. 'Savage, cruel': ωμός, ἄγριος (ā, with exceptions only in late Greek). 'Beast': θήρ, θηρίον. 'Unjust': ἄδικος, κακός.

Form. There is no room for a literal translation; nor indeed would it be good taste in Greek to give synonymous adjectives to the noun and its simile. Simplify the simile: that is the golden rule, and we get the best term by something of this sort—'What beast more savage than this man?' or carrying on the construction, '(being) cruel and unjust as not

even heasts are.' Either form can be made into a Greek line: τίς θηρῶν ἀγριώτερος needs only τοῦδε placed second and ποτε last; or we may write ὡς οὐδὲ ('not even') θῆρες or θηρία, then comes ἄγριον as cretic, with καὶ κακόν last: to the former add τε, and behold

ώς οὐδὲ θηρί ἄγριόν τε καὶ κακόν.

Et. Not cruell to my country like to thee.

The Words are the same.

Form. Begin with  $a\lambda\lambda'$  or; and if you will, carry on the construction ...  $\delta\nu\tau a \tau \hat{\eta} \pi\delta\lambda\epsilon\iota$ . Or, as this fails to fill the line, make a new sentence, which will give an excuse for using a pronoun,  $\epsilon\gamma\omega$ , with  $\epsilon\iota\mu\iota$ . We now see that neither  $\delta\gamma\rho\iota$  or  $\kappa\alpha\kappa\delta$  is of use; but though no synonym is allowable, the substitute  $\tau o\iota o\hat{\nu}\tau$  os may stand; and this just meets our need.

άλλ' οὐκ ἐγώ τοιοῦτός εἰμι τῆ πόλει.

Pol. Since from my right I am wyth wrong deprived.

Words. 'Deprive': ἀποστερῶ. 'My right': δίκη, or use χρεών, or τὸ ἴσον (τὰ ἴσα), 'my fair share.' Whatever be the word, let it be such that 'wrong' can form a pair to it. Thus with δίκη we should want οὐ δικαίως; with χρεών, its negative; with τὰ ἴσα, οὖκ ἐξ ἴσον.

The Form emerges from the last phrase; for as 'depriving' implies the genitive, 'right' becomes a cretic,  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \tilde{\iota} \sigma \omega \nu$  (the singular will not scan), and  $o \hat{\iota} \kappa \ \tilde{\epsilon} \xi \ \tilde{\iota} \sigma \sigma \nu$  completes a hephthemimer. 'Deprive' has only to be put in its proper form to finish the whole line:

αποστερούμαι των ίσων ούκ εξ ίσου.

(Note that ἀπεστέρημαι would do also, both in metre and in meaning.)

Et. Eke from thy life, if long thou tarry here.

Words. 'Life': βίος; a paraphrase cannot be used in this instance because it would spoil the effect. 'Tarry': μένω.

αποστερήσει καὶ πρός, ην μένης, βίου.

15 Pol. O father, heare what injuries I take.

Words. 'What injuries I take': οἷα κακά, οἷα πάσχω. Of course πάσχω means 'experience' or 'feel,' not 'suffer,' but the meaning 'suffer' is implied in οἷα. Or turn the expression, 'what he does to me.'

Form. Place 'hear' first, as is natural, and πάτερ provisionally last; ἄκουε (ἄκουσον)...πάτερ. Now of a may stand second, just before the caesura, which leads us to choose ἄκουσον. πάσχω can easily be placed, but no suitable cretic is forthcoming. What can we say for 'injuries'? Suppose κακά be paraphrased as οὐ δίκαια, which is as strong, or stronger, be it remembered; perhaps the student will then see that he has merely to add  $\delta \rho \hat{q}$ , and a five-syllable group emerges into view. The object  $\mu \epsilon$  will avoid hiatus:

ακουσον οξά μ' οὐ δίκαια δρά, πάτερ.

Et. As though thy divellish deeds were hid from him.

Words. 'As though': this cannot be literal; say 'do you think...?' ἢ γάρ, or γάρ alone; or other particles may do. 'Devilish deeds': κακουργία or some equally strong noun, a good long one if possible, a mouthful of sound. 'Hid': λανθάνω.

Form.  $\vec{\eta}\nu \ \vec{\sigma}\hat{\gamma}\nu \ \kappa \alpha \kappa \sigma \nu \rho \gamma (a\nu \ \text{needs only } \gamma \epsilon \ \text{to make a final hephthemimer}$ ; but is  $\gamma \epsilon$  allowable? Certainly; for it implies 'such villainy as yours' cannot be hid, though others' may. It is obvious that no cretic is now possible with  $\vec{o}(\epsilon \iota) \gamma \hat{a}\rho$ , or  $\vec{\eta} \gamma \hat{a}\rho$   $\vec{o}(\epsilon \iota)$ , so we write at once  $\lambda \alpha \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota}\nu \gamma \hat{a}\rho \ \vec{o}(\epsilon \iota)$ :

λαθείν γὰρ οἴει τήν γε σὴν κακουργίαν;

The student may now read the lines given to Polynices, and see that as in the English they run continuously, without break in the construction.

#### III.

### KING EDWARD IV .- LADY GREY.

- K. E. Now tell me, madam, do you love your children?
- L. G. Ay, full as dearly as I love myself.
- K. E. And would you not do much, to do them good?
- L. G. To do them good, I would sustain some harm.
- 5 K. E. Then get your husband's lands, to do them good.
  - L. G. Therefore I came unto your majesty.
  - K. E. I'll tell you, how these lands are to be got.
  - L. G. So shall you bind me to your highness' service.
  - K. E. What service wilt thou do me, if I give them?
- 10 L. G. What you command, that rests in me to do.
  - K. E. But you will take exceptions to my boon.
  - L. G. No, gracious Lord, except I cannot do it.
  - K. E. Ay, but thou canst do what I mean to ask.
  - L. G. Why, then I will do what your grace commands.

SHAKESPEARE.

## K. E. Now tell me, madam, do you love your children?

The Words need no remark, except 'madam': γύναι. If we translate the sentence literally it runs: ὅ γύναι, λέξον ἀρα φιλεῖς τὰ τέκνα (οι τοὺς παῖδας); Here are two iambic words (γύναι and φιλεῖς); two trochees (λέξον, ἄρα); and for the rest, a sufficient variety of combinations are possible. No cretic or bacchius appears. But there is a molossus, τοὺς παῖδας: and, as we have seen, half a line will be made if we can find a trochee and an iambus which will suit the sense. Now φιλεῖς is an iambus, and ἄρα a trochee: ἄρα τοὺς παῖδας φιλεῖς is therefore the latter hephthemimer. Now for the penthemimer. γύναι we place first, and the problem is to make λέξον a bacchius. This is easy, if we remember the polite idiom of command: λέγοις ἄν.

γύναι, λέγοις ἄν· ἄρα τοὺς παίδας φιλεῖς;

## L. G. Ay, full as dearly as I love myself.

Words. 'Ay': particle,  $\gamma \epsilon$  or  $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$ , according to the construction;  $\gamma \epsilon$  if we carry it on,  $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$  with a new verb. 'As dearly': οὐχ ἡσσον, οὐδὲν ἡσσον, a strong form of expression.

Form. A literal translation is unpromising: οὖχ ἦσσον ἢ ἐμαυτήν, which will probably tempt the novice to write ἤ γ ἐμά. But we shall clearly find it a help, if the pronoun can be changed to some other case, whether it be ἐμοί, ἐμοῦ, οτ ἐγώ. Follow up this hint, and see what it brings out: οὐδὲν ἦσσον ἐμοὶ φίλοι εἰσὶν ἢ ἐγώ (or αὖτή). Now the matter grows clearer; for if we add γάρ to ἐμοί we have a palimbacchius: ἐμοὶ γάρ. There are two trochees, each beginning with a vowel; place οὐδέν next, and ἦσσον will naturally follow it. φίλοι will stand last, and now we have only to block the hiatus in ἢ ἐγώ to complete our line. Remembering the emphatic καί, we may write ἢ κἀγώ, and the thing is done. ἐμοὶ γὰρ οὐδὲν ἦσσον ἢ κἀγώ φίλοι.

K. E. And would you not do much, to do them good?

Words. 'Do good':  $\epsilon \hat{v}$  δρ $\hat{a}\nu$  or  $\pi ο i \epsilon \hat{v}$  with accusative (the beginner is sure to think a dative is right); or  $\epsilon \hat{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \hat{e} \hat{v}$ . 'Them':  $\alpha \hat{v} \tau \hat{v}$ ,  $\sigma \phi \epsilon$ , or  $\tau \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \nu a$  repeated.

Form.  $\[ \tilde{a}\rho \]$  οὐκ  $\[ \tilde{a}\nu \]$  ποιοίης or ποιοίς πολλά is easily manipulated, if we recollect that ποι- may be short. If we use ποιοίης, we need only transpose  $\[ \tilde{a}\nu \]$  to stand after πολλά; or we may make an amphibrachys with ποιοίς  $\[ \tilde{a}\nu \]$ . 'To do them good' may be simply  $\[ \tilde{\omega}\sigma\tau' \]$  εὐεργετείν, or εὖ δράσουσα, leaving room for νιν. An improvement will be to place  $\[ \tilde{a}\nu \]$  nearer the beginning (such is the Greek idiom); so οὐκ  $\[ \tilde{a}\nu \]$  ποιοίης will be better than what was suggested. Now add  $\[ \gamma \epsilon \]$ , which is amply justified by the emphasis, and the line runs:—

οὖκ ἄν ποιοίης πολλά γ' εὖ δράσουσά νιν;

L. G. To do them good, I would sustain some harm.

Words. 'Suffer harm':  $\pi \acute{a}\sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \iota$ , a favourite meiosis in Greek, used in a bad sense, as we use 'if anything should happen.'

Let the Form recal the last line, and get in  $\epsilon \tilde{\upsilon}$  δράσουσα near the beginning. δράσουσα is a palimbacchius; if we place it first, a spondee (or trochee) must follow, and we have only a monosyllable  $\epsilon \tilde{\upsilon}$ . But if we add an object, such as  $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu a$ , or better  $\tau o \dot{\upsilon} \sigma \delta \epsilon$ , we can make a spondaic group:  $\tau o \dot{\upsilon} \sigma \delta \epsilon$   $\tilde{\upsilon}$ . We have now  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta o \iota \mu^{i} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota$  left to make half a line of.  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta o \iota \mu^{i} \dot{\tau} \iota$  may stand last, as the student must readily see; can we expand  $\dot{\alpha} \nu$  into a cretic? Will  $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$  help? Yes; for the emphatic  $\kappa \alpha \dot{\iota}$  is often used with  $\dot{\alpha} \nu$ , and  $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \nu$   $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$  is a cretic.

δράσουσα τούσδ' εὖ κᾶν ἐγὼ πάθοιμί τι.

έγώ, please observe, is not meaningless, but adds still more to the emphasis.

K. E. Then get your husband's lands, to do them good.

Words. 'Get': κέκτημαι, πέπαμαι. 'Husband's lands': perhaps κλήρος may help, or we may use γύαι; but ἀγροί does not seem to be used for 'estate' in dramatic poetry.

Form. 'To do them good' must be so translated as to recal or echo εὖ δράσουσά νιν; and there seems to be more than will easily fit in one verse. If after trying we find this to be so, there is a way to avoid a cramped or ugly line; namely, to divide the sense between two lines, and interpolate another spoken by the second person. The interpolated line is merely 'What do you say?' or 'Say on,' or 'What am I to do?' or some similar phrase. Examples of this curious practice may be seen above (p. 14). This speech may be divided as follows:

- K. E. 'To do them good-do I make my meaning clear?'
- L. G. 'What must I do? that is what I wish to hear.'
- K. E. 'You must get your husband's lands.'

# ως οὖν νιν εὖ δράσουσαν—ἢ σαφῶς λέγω;

For the lady's answer, the student must draw upon his own memory. Phrases ought to suggest themselves:  $\tau i \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon \delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu$  or  $\pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ ,  $\tau i \delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu$   $\pi \rho o \sigma \dot{\gamma} \kappa \epsilon \iota$ , will do for the first half of the verse;  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \kappa \lambda \dot{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \iota \nu$  for the end; and  $\tau o \hat{\imath} \tau \sigma \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$  is the cretic:

τί δραν προσήκει; τοῦτο γὰρ θέλω κλύειν.

The king now concludes his interrupted speech: δεῖ δ ἀνδρός will make a palimbacchius, and κέκτησθαι γύας may stand at the verse-end. A contrast of persons is implied,

which suggests  $\alpha \tilde{\nu} r \acute{\eta} \nu$  for the spondee; but a trochee does not appear. Paraphrase  $\kappa \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \theta a \iota$ , then, by adjective + some other verb; the cognate adjective  $\kappa \tau \acute{\eta} \sigma \iota o s$  will give a cretic, and  $\check{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$  will do for the verb.

δεί σ' ανδρός αὐτὴν κτησίους ἔχειν γύας.

L. G. Therefore I came unto your majesty.

Words. 'Your majesty' need not be translated, for the simpler Greek has σύ. If preferred, however, ὧναξ or the like may be added. 'Therefore' = 'for this': use ἔκατι, χάριν, etc., not οὖν; οr πρὸς ταῦτα.

Form. τούτων ἔκατι is a penthemimer already, so let it stand. προσῆλθον is of no use with ἔκατι, though we may say τούτων χάριν προσῆλθον (Type VII.). But πάρειμι is more idiomatic, and πάρειμι σοι may stand last. The cretic still lacks, but there is a quasi-auxiliary τυγχάνω which has the required scansion, and we may write: τυγχάνω παροῦσά σοι. Now the line is done, unless it is felt more satisfactory to get in 'your majesty'; in which case we will write

πρὸς ταῦτά γ', ὧναξ, τυγχάνω παροῦσά σοι.

K. E. I'll tell you, how these lands are to be got.

Words. 'Tell': remember the metrical variants of λέγειν, such as σαφηνίζειν (σαφηνίσαι), δηλοῦν, ἀναπτύσσειν.

Form. There are plenty of turns available:  $\delta\eta\lambda\omega\sigma\omega$  τὸ  $\pi\hat{a}\nu$ ,  $\pi\omega'\hat{r}$  ἀναπτύξω τάχα,  $\sigma\alpha\phi\eta\nu\iota\hat{\omega}$  τάδε. Which we choose will depend on the rest of the line. The natural word to use is  $\delta\pi\omega_s$ , and the verb is  $\lambda\dot{\eta}\psi\epsilon\iota$ , leaving just room for δέ (Type II.). We might also begin  $\beta$ ούλει δὲ λέξω 'do you wish me to say?' Now if we can find a cretic,  $\sigma\alpha\phi\eta\nu\iota\hat{\omega}$  may stand last; and the cretic is easy enough,  $\tau$ οῦτ ἐγώ, or  $\tau$ αῦτά  $\sigma$ οι, and so forth. (Observe that  $\delta\pi\omega_s$  can also be transformed into a cretic:  $\tau\hat{\psi}$  τρόπ $\psi$  or resolved τίνι τρόπ $\psi$ .)

όπως δὲ λήψει ταῦτ' ἐγὼ σαφηνιώ.

## L. G. So shall you bind me to your highness' service.

Words. 'Bind': ζεύγνυμι, συζεύγνυμι. 'Highness,' like 'your majesty,' might be left out, or turned into a vocative. 'Service': a paraphrase is necessary; either ὧστ' ὑπηρετεῖν, or a noun in apposition, as ὑπηρέτις, ὑπουργός, perhaps even ὑπηρέτημα, ὑπουργία.

Form. The necessary words are  $o\tilde{v}\tau\omega s$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\tilde{\epsilon}$  ( $\mu\epsilon$ ), and  $\zeta\epsilon\tilde{v}\xi\epsilon\iota s$  ( $\sigma v\zeta\epsilon\tilde{v}\xi\epsilon\iota s$ ). Clearly then the most convenient of those given for 'service' is  $\tilde{v}\pi\sigma\nu\rho\gamma\delta s$  (amphibrachys). The first penthemimer is already made, as the student doubtless perceives; and with  $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\tilde{\epsilon}$  last, the choice of simple or compound verb depends on what we can get for the space still empty.  $\tilde{v}\sigma\theta\iota$  suits the tone of the piece (we then change the verb to a participle); and on the whole it will be clearer if  $\sigma\iota\iota$  be added, and at the same time the construction will be made perfect.

οὖτως ὑπουργὸν ἴσθι σοι ζεύξων ἐμέ.

## K. E. What service wilt thou do me, if I give them?

The Form may either recal (8), as 'for what will you be  $\dot{v}\pi\sigma\nu\rho\gamma\dot{o}s$ ?' or it may be literal, the only change being  $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\nu\nu$  instead of 'do.' The Greek idiom is  $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\nu\nu$   $\tau\iota$  for 'to be able to furnish'; as  $\lambda\alpha\beta\dot{\gamma}\nu$   $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota$ , lit. 'he has a handle (or grip),' i.e. 'he gives me a handle (or grip), lets me get hold.' We shall therefore be right in translating  $\tau\dot{\nu}\nu$   $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota$ s  $\dot{\nu}\pi\nu\nu\rho\gamma\dot{\nu}\nu$ . Again, 'if I give' is best changed so as to give a participle agreeing with the subject, i.e.  $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\nu\nu\sigma$ a or  $\lambda\alpha\beta\nu\dot{\nu}\sigma$ a. Now  $\dot{\nu}\pi\nu\nu\rho\gamma\dot{\nu}\mu\nu$  places itself last at once, and  $\lambda\alpha\beta\nu\dot{\nu}\sigma$ a we will put provisionally in the bacchius position; its object,  $\tau\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau$ a, will with  $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota$ s make up a cretic, and we have only to find a spondee (or iambus) that will do duty for  $\tau\dot{\nu}\nu$ a. Such is  $\pi\nu\dot{\nu}$ a.

ποίαν λαβουσα ταυτ' έχεις υπουργίαν;

L. G. What you command, that rests in me to do.

Words. 'Command': κελεύω, τάσσω. 'Rests in me to do': either 'I will do,' or (better) something less commonplace, like the English—as ἔργον ἐμόν, or ἴσθι with participle, or ἀρκέσω τάδε (Soph. Aj. 439 οὐδ' ἔργα μείω...ἀρκέσας).

Form. Be careful to use the general relative,  $\delta s$   $\tilde{a}\nu$ , not  $\tilde{o}s$  simply. It will agree with  $\tilde{v}\pi\sigma\nu\rho\gamma(a\nu)$ , that is, it will be  $\tilde{\eta}\nu$   $\tilde{a}\nu$ . This must of course stand first, and  $\kappa\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\dot{\nu}\eta s$  is a bacchius, so we need go no further. Perhaps however  $\sigma\dot{v}$   $\tau\dot{a}\xi\eta s$  is better, as there is point in emphasising  $\sigma\dot{v}$ ; there is not much to choose between them.  $\dot{a}\rho\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\omega$  will give a cretic, and the sense is all there. But if  $\sigma\dot{v}$  be used, there is point in adding  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$  (it is possible to use it even without  $\sigma\dot{v}$ ), and  $\tau a\dot{v}\tau\eta\nu$  will add yet more to the emphasis.

ην αν συ ταξης, αρκέσω ταύτην έγω.

K. E. But you will take exceptions to my boon.

Words. 'Exceptions' = 'object,' 'deny': οἰκ αἰνῶ, φθονῶ, ἀπαρνοῦμαι, ἀποπτύω (strong, 'spit away'). 'Boon' = 'what I want': θέλω, χρήζω, αἰτῶ.

Form. This is better put conditionally, as the Greeks love to do, with ἄν or τάχ' ἄν. With the combination κἄν, and ἀποπτύω, we can make a hephthemimer of Type X.: κᾶν ἀποπτύσαις. A bacchius is easily made with 'What I want,' α χρήζω, but it can only stand if the speaker has a definite boon in mind. This seems really to be the meaning (see line 13), not 'you will refuse whatever I ask,' α ᾶν χρήζω. The line may be ended with χύναι:

τάχ' αν δ' α χρήζω καν αποπτύσαις, γύναι.

L. G. No, gracious Lord, except I cannot do it.

Words. 'Gracious lord': simply ὧναξ. 'No': ἤκιστα, or ἤκιστά γε. 'Except I cannot': a literal translation is awkward, but we may say 'if I can,' ἐὰν σθένω, ἢν σθένω, etc.

Form.  $\tilde{\eta}\kappa\iota\sigma\tau\alpha$  is a palimbacchius, and may stand first: as  $\tilde{\omega}\nu\alpha\dot{\xi}$  naturally comes second, we add the  $\gamma\epsilon$  often found in answers. But  $\tilde{\eta}\nu$   $\sigma\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\omega$  is not enough to complete the line. The student must therefore cast about in his mind, or look in the dictionary, for synonyms; and must be ready to paraphrase, using an adjective or noun containing the desired meaning. A poetical adjective for 'able' is  $\phi\epsilon\rho\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\gamma\nu\sigma$ , and a poetical verb meaning 'to be' is  $\tau\nu\gamma\chi\dot{\alpha}\nu\omega$  or  $\kappa\nu\rho\dot{\omega}$ . These give the line as follows:

ηκιστά γ', ὧναξ, ην φερέγγυος τύχω.

## K. E. Ay, but thou canst do what I mean to ask.

The Words are simple, but we must remember to echo the preceding line.  $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \gamma \gamma v \cos \epsilon l$  will therefore be the proper translation of 'thou canst,' and 'to do' may still be infinitive (consecutive), or  $\mathring{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$  may be used, or a paraphrase with  $\pi\rho\dot{o}s$ , as  $\pi\rho\dot{o}s$   $\tau \alpha \mathring{v}\theta'$   $\mathring{a}$   $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\xi\omega$ . By adding  $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$  or  $\gamma\dot{a}\rho$  we can make  $\phi\epsilon\rho\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\gamma v \cos\epsilon l$  scan, and these words are best placed at the beginning, because they are emphatic. A paraphrase must now be made of the remainder. We may use the noun cognate with  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\xi\omega$ , that is to say  $\chi\rho\dot{\epsilon}os$ , and write 'for my purpose,'  $\pi\rho\dot{o}s$   $\tau o\dot{v}\mu\dot{o}v$   $\chi\rho\dot{\epsilon}os$ .  $\sigma\dot{v}$  only is necessary to complete the line:

φερέγγυος γὰρ εἶ σύ, πρὸς τουμὸν χρέος.

## L. G. Why, then I will do what your grace commands.

The last line is easy enough. The idiom with  $i\sigma\theta_i$  is here convenient:  $i\sigma\theta'$  où or  $d\lambda\lambda'$   $i\sigma\theta_i$ , and we may complete the construction with  $\delta\rho\alpha'$ ou or  $d\rho\kappa'$ ou or  $d\rho\kappa'$ ou or words already used. 'What you command' is indefinite (not known) to the speaker, for which reason d  $\chi\rho\eta'$   $\xi_{i}$   $\xi_{i}$  would be wrong, and d  $\chi\rho\eta'$   $\xi_{i}$  is right. This is a molossus, and its place is invariable. Observe now, that the molossus wants a trochee

before it, and both the participles end in a trochee; we therefore follow Type VII. or VIII. ἀναξ may stand last for 'your grace'; and με inserted before ἀρκέσουσαν gives finally

άλλ' ἴσθι μ' ἀρκέσουσαν αν χρήζης, ἄναξ.

#### IV.

#### CREON-TIRESIAS.

Cre. Why wouldest thou that he should not be here?

Tir. I would not have him heare what I should say.

Cre. He is my son, ne will he it reveale.

Tir. And shall I then while he is present speake?

Cre. Yea, be thou sure that he no lesse than I doth wish ful well unto this commonweale.

Tir. Then, Creon, shalt thou know: the meane to save this citie, is that thou shalt slea thy sonne for his countrey.

Cre. Oh, oh, what hast thou said, thou cruell soothsayer?

Tir. Even that that Heaven hath ordered once and needs it must ensue.

Cre. How many evils hast thou knit up in one!

Tir. Though evil for thee, yet for thy countrey good.

Cre. And let my countrey perish, what care I?

Tir. Above all things we ought to hold it deare.

G. GASCOIGNE.

These lines are a free translation of a passage in Euripides beginning at *Phoenissae* 911, where the student may look for hints if he will.

This piece, it will be observed, is less regular than the last, for in the middle of it the speakers allow themselves more than one line each. We must be allowed the same liberty, only be careful to give each speaker the same number of lines (two or three as it may be) for each pair of speeches.

Cre. Why wouldest thou that he should not be here?

Words. 'Why': τί, τίνος χάριν, etc. 'Not be here': μὴ παρείναι, ἀπείναι, ἀποστατείν. 'Wouldest': θέλεις, χρήζεις.

Form. We see a bacchius at once,  $\partial \pi \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\imath} \nu a \iota$ ; and perhaps it will be noticed that  $\tau \hat{\iota} \nu o s$  needs only to be changed into its short form to give a cretic:  $\tau o \hat{\imath} \chi \hat{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu$ . 'He' may be expressed by  $\tau o \hat{\imath} \tau o \nu$ , which with  $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$  can be made to scan. There remain but two feet, and we have one all ready for us in  $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \hat{\zeta} \hat{\epsilon} \iota s$ ; the last may be a vocative,  $\gamma \hat{\epsilon} \rho o \nu$ .

τοῦτον δ' ἀπείναι τοῦ χάριν χρήζεις, γέρον;

Tir. I would not have him heare what I should say.

The Words are so simple that we may consider them with the Form.

This sentence may be put independently, with  $\gamma \acute{a} \rho$  as  $o \acute{v}$ γὰρ θέλοιμ² ἄν; but it is usual in stichoi to carry on the construction, hence we will make the line subordinate, and begin with μή. 'Hear' would then give a bacchius, ἀκούη, and with  $\pi\omega_s$  interpolated a penthemimer would appear. 'What I say' might be αν λέγω, but this would cause hiatus in the First Cretic Position. A simple paraphrase is τοὺs λόγους, also a cretic, or τους έμους λόγους. The line might end with Kpéov. But this would be a stupid line, and too much like the preceding; it would have the effect of flippancy for that reason. If then we cast about for some more poetical phrasing, we may think of ξυνίστωρ 'privy to'; and then after changing λόγους to the genitive, we should want only a verb.  $\vec{\eta}$  is too short,  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \tau a \iota$  is impossible, being a second bacchius; but  $a\pi \epsilon \hat{\nu} a \nu$  suggests  $\pi a \rho \hat{\eta}$ , and this leaves us with the following line:

μή πως ξυνίστωρ των έμων παρή λόγων.

Cre. He is my son, ne will he it reveale.

Words. 'My': ἐμός, ἄμός. 'Son': παῖς, τέκνον, γόνος, etc. 'Reveal': δηλοῦν, ἐκφαίνω, ἐκκαλύπτω, ἀναπτύσσω. Or negatively 'not reveal' is σιγᾶν, σιωπᾶν.

Form. This sentence will be linked with the last by  $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$ . It is possible to be quite literal thus.  $\grave{\epsilon}\mu\grave{o}s$   $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$  makes an amphibrachys, and  $\pi a \ifmmode{i}s$   $\grave{\epsilon}\sigma \tau$  can stand before it as a spondee; the sentence continues with  $o\mathring{v}\delta \acute{\epsilon}$ , leaving room for  $\delta \eta \lambda \acute{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota$  (molossus) with  $\tau \acute{o}\delta \epsilon$  in the last foot. But this version may be improved on. It may be made one sentence,  $\grave{\epsilon}\sigma \tau \iota$  becoming  $\check{\omega}\nu$ , and  $\grave{\epsilon}\mu\grave{o}s$   $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$  standing as the first word-group.  $\sigma \iota \gamma \acute{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$  is a strong word to end with, and the speech may be clinched by a contrast, 'even if he hears,'  $\kappa a \iota \kappa \lambda \acute{\nu} \omega \nu$  (cretic). So written, it will be idiomatic and forcible.

έμὸς γὰρ ὢν παῖς καὶ κλύων σιγήσεται.

Tir. And shall I then while he is present speake?

Words. 'Shall' is better rendered by a deliberative subjunctive, or by δεῖ, χρή, and the like, or βούλει. 'Speak':  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon i \nu$ ,  $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i \nu$ ,  $\sigma a \phi \eta \nu i \zeta \epsilon i \nu$ , δηλοῦν. 'Present':  $\pi a \rho \epsilon i \nu a i$ ,  $\pi a \rho a \sigma \tau a \tau \epsilon i \nu$ .

Form. βούλει τάδ εἶπω would give a penthemimer, but it is weak; the surprise, even indignation of the tone is better expressed by  $\mathring{\eta}$  γάρ or  $\mathring{\eta}$  καί. 'While he is present' literally translated is  $\pi a \rho \acute{o} \nu \tau o s$ , which may stand next (amphibrachys). The rest may be variously treated: as  $\delta \eta \lambda \acute{\omega} \sigma \omega \ \tau \acute{o} \ \pi \acute{a} \nu$ , or  $\tau a \acute{\nu} \tau a \acute{e} \iota \lambda \acute{e} \gamma \epsilon \nu$ , with  $\tau o \acute{\nu} \delta \epsilon$  added to complete. But  $\sigma a \phi \eta \nu \iota \iota \iota \iota$  is a prettier word, and with  $\delta \epsilon \iota$  can stand next following. The line may then finish with  $\tau \acute{a} \delta \epsilon$ . Or we may use  $\tau a \acute{\nu} \tau a$ , and make the verb aorist, thus:

ἢ καὶ παρόντος ταῦτα δεῖ σαφηνίσαι;

Cre. Yea, be thou sure that he no lesse than I doth wish ful well unto this commonweale,

Words. 'Be thou sure':  $\epsilon \tilde{v}$  ἴσθι, or κατίσθι. 'Wish well': use  $\epsilon \tilde{v}$ νους. 'Commonweal': simply πόλις, πτόλις. 'No less than I':  $\epsilon \tilde{v}$  ήσσον  $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \hat{v}$ .

Form. yáp will be the natural particle, as it implies 'yes.' If we prefix ¿μοῦ, we get an amphibrachys, which we place first; and no more is necessary than a change of ου to ουδέν, in order to make a phrase which can follow after. We then get οὐδέν before the caesura, and ήσσον afterwards, giving a line of Type V. Now εὐ κατίσθι will scan, but the or gives a tinge of contempt, or at least is dictatorial; we will therefore make the clause dependent, and say, 'that you may know : ώς εἰδης. An object τόδε, or better σαφώς, may complete the line. We next notice that 'to this commonweal, literally translated as τηθος τη πόλει, is metrical, and that  $\tau \hat{n} \pi \hat{o} \lambda \epsilon \iota$  forms a cretic. We can therefore make a line of Type V., or by using ταύτη and transposing it after the cretic, of Types I.—III. evvous may stand first or last in the earlier half of the line; and all we want now is a bacchius or amphibrach for the verb. Although ἐστιν is too short, πέφυκε will just do. γέρον may now be added at the end.

έμοῦ γὰρ οὐδὲν ἦσσον, ὡς εἰδῆς σαφῶς, εὖνους πέφυκε τῆδε τῆ πόλει, γέρον.

Tir. Then, Creon, shalt thou know: the meane to save this citie, is that thou shalt slea thy sonne for his countrey.

Words. 'Then': δή, νυν, or both; πρὸς ταῦτα, οὖν. 'Thou shalt know': ἴσθι, etc., ἄκους, ἄκουσον. 'The meane is': no noun is needed here, but use a participle as shown below. 'Slay': κτείνειν, κατακτείνειν, etc. 'For': ὑπέρ, ἔκατι, χάριν, ὁθούνεκα.

Form. We must be careful not to exceed two lines in this speech, as it must match the last. It is easy to make a

penthemimer out of the materials: ἄκουε and ἄκουσον are each an amphibrach, and δή νυν may stand after the first, πρὸς ταῦτα before either. The particle τοι should be used in the following sentence, as is the wont where the speaker dictates, or professes superior knowledge. The idiomatic form is 'thou shalt save this city by slaying thy son.' We get hence τήνδε τοι for a cretic (First Position), and a literal translation gives σώσεις πόλιν to follow. 'For his countrey' will be πόλεως χάριν οτ ἔκατι, the latter of which makes up a penthemimer with πόλεως. 'Thy son' is τὸν σὸν παῖδα; omit the article, which is not needed in verse, and transpose, and a cretic appears. We want now a four-syllable word, of the scansion  $\simeq - \circ$ , for 'slaying,' and this is the aorist of κατακτείνω:

ἄκουε δή νυν· τήνδε τοι σώσεις πόλιν πόλεως ἔκατι παίδα σὸν κατακτανών.

The student should note the antithesis in  $\pi \acute{o}\lambda \iota \nu \pi \acute{o}\lambda \epsilon \omega s$ , and the force of  $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha \nu \acute{\omega} \nu$  placed last. In these respects the English is less satisfactory.

Cre. Oh, oh, what hast thou said, thou cruell soothsayer?

Words. 'Oh': alaî (φεῦ is less strong, but would do). 'Cruel': βαρύς, etc. 'Soothsayer': μάντις.

Form. The vocative may be used, or (which is more idiomatic) a participial phrase: 'What is this thou hast said, being a cruel soothsayer?' 'Said' may be  $\epsilon \bar{l}\pi\epsilon s$  or  $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \xi as$ ; the latter, we need hardly now point out, suits our verse, for with  $\tau \ell \tau o \hat{v} \tau$  it makes up the first penthemimer. alaî may stand outside the metre, as exclamations frequently do. From the remainder we get at once  $\mu \acute{a}\nu \tau \iota s$   $\acute{a}\nu$ , a cretic;  $\beta a\rho \acute{\nu} s$  may stand last, and  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu o \acute{\epsilon}$  between. (Notice how flexible this phrase is: we might write  $\mathring{a}\nu \acute{\epsilon}\mu o \acute{\epsilon} \mid \mu \acute{a}\nu \tau \iota s \mid \beta a\rho \acute{\nu} s$ .)

aiaî

τί τοῦτ' ἔλεξας, μάντις ὧν ἐμοὶ βαρύς;

Tir. Even that that Heaven hath ordered once and needs it must ensue.

Words. 'Heaven':  $\theta$ εοί. 'Ordered': use ἄραρε, or ἔδοξε, the technical word for decrees of the Ecclesia. 'Ensue': say 'shall happen,'  $\gamma$ ενήσεται. 'Must': ἀνάγκη in some form; but see below.

Form. Be careful to get the English into one line. The construction is carried on (as in the English), by  $\vec{a}$  or  $\vec{a}\pi\epsilon\rho$ ,  $\hat{a}$   $\delta\eta$ ,  $\vec{a}$   $\tau\alpha$ . There is no room for  $\mu\epsilon\nu$  and  $\delta\epsilon$ , but we may again use the idiomatic participle: then 'once hath ordered' becomes  $\vec{a}\pi a\xi$   $\delta\delta\xi a\nu\tau a$ , with  $\theta\epsilon\alpha$ s in the dative. We notice that  $\delta\delta\xi a\nu\tau a$  is a palimbacchius; and by scanning  $\theta\epsilon\alpha$ s as a monosyllable we can make a line of Type IV.:  $\hat{a}$   $\theta\epsilon\alpha$ s  $\vec{a}\pi a\xi$  |  $\delta\delta\xi a\nu\tau a$  |. Five syllables remain, and  $\gamma\epsilon\nu\dot{\gamma}\sigma\epsilon\tau a\iota$  has but four;  $\vec{a}\nu\dot{\alpha}\gamma\kappa\eta$  is too long to come in; we add therefore the emphatic  $\kappa\alpha\iota$  to express 'must.'

## ά θεοίς ἄπαξ δόξαντα καὶ γενήσεται.

Cre. How many evils hast thou knit up in one?

Words. 'How many': ὄσα. 'Knit up': the corresponding metaphor of 'weaving' expresses rather 'making plans or plots'; but in Greek ζεύγνυμι or συζεύγνυμι is the equivalent. 'In one': εἰς ἔν, literal.

Form. This line is not so simple as the others have been.  $\xi vv \dot{\epsilon} \zeta \varepsilon v \dot{\xi} a s$ , preceded by a monosyllable, would stand just after the penthemimeral caesura (Type X.). No monosyllable, however, is forthcoming; for  $\dot{\epsilon} i s \ddot{\epsilon} v$  go together in rhythm, and form a trochee. Suppose we paraphrase  $\dot{\xi} vv \dot{\xi} a s$ , by finding another verb compounded with  $\dot{\xi} \dot{v} v$ , and adding (if we please)  $\dot{\xi} \varepsilon \dot{v} \dot{\xi} a s$  as a participle. Such a verb is  $\dot{\xi} v \mu \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota v$ ; and its aorist,  $\dot{\xi} vv \dot{\epsilon} \beta a \lambda \epsilon s$ , is a cretic with the first syllable resolved.  $\dot{\epsilon} i s \ddot{\epsilon} v$  will precede this word in the First Cretic Position, and  $\dot{\xi} \varepsilon v \dot{\xi} a s$  may follow it (but is not necessary). We now want three syllables to precede  $\dot{\epsilon} i s \ddot{\epsilon} v$ , and  $\ddot{\delta} \sigma a$  (which must be

elided) has but one. Suppose we write ώς πολλά, or, as that would make hiatus, ώς μυρία. In this phrase, observe that ώς is the exclamation, and goes with the verb, not the noun; it means 'how (true it is that)....' The line will end with κακά.

ώς μυρί' εἰς ἐν ξυνέβαλες ζεύξας κακά.

Tir. Though evil for thee, yet for thy countrey good.

Words and Form. We must certainly get in  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$  and  $\delta \acute{\epsilon}$ :  $\sigma \circ \wr \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu \ldots \pi \circ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ .  $\delta \acute{\epsilon}$ , or  $\tau \mathring{\eta}$   $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \ldots \pi \circ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ .  $\kappa \acute{\alpha} \kappa \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \acute{\nu} \nu$  would scan in the first clause, but is flat, as giving some prominence to an unimportant and unnecessary verb. Moreover, dissyllables like  $\kappa \alpha \kappa \acute{\alpha}$  are very rarely elided, except in set phrases, such as  $\tau \acute{\alpha} \chi \acute{\alpha} \nu$ ,  $\sigma \acute{\alpha} \varphi \acute{\nu} i \sigma \theta \iota$ . But a satisfactory paraphrase is  $\tau \circ \iota \alpha \imath \iota \tau \iota$  (scanned at pleasure  $\smile - \smile$ ), which is often used to avoid repeating an adjective. 'Thy' country is  $\sigma \mathring{\eta}$ , which we may place after  $\delta \acute{\epsilon}$ , and then we have only to add  $\kappa \alpha \lambda \acute{\alpha}$  last.

σοὶ μὲν τοιαῦτα, τἢ δὲ σἢ πόλει καλά.

Note that κακά may be understood, and we may write  $\sigma o i$   $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ,  $\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \iota$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \ldots$ 

Cre. And let my countrey perish, what care I?

Words. 'Let perish': ὅλοιτο, χαιρέτω 'farewell to it.' 'Care': μέλει.

Form. The line is quite easy. We may remember that  $\partial\lambda\acute{\epsilon}\sigma\theta a\iota$  often has  $\kappa a\kappa \hat{\omega}s$ , and then write  $\kappa a\kappa \hat{\omega}s$   $\partial\lambda o\iota\tau o$ . Some may be tempted to go on  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\pi\acute{o}\lambda\iota s$ , but no Greek would say  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\eta}$  in such a connexion; at most he would use  $\dot{\eta}$ . Even this with  $\gamma\epsilon$  would scan; but some particle is better, as  $\partial\dot{\eta}$ , or better  $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\nu$ . Observe that  $\mu\grave{\epsilon}\nu$   $\pi\acute{o}\lambda\iota s$  is no cretic, for  $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\nu$  goes in rhythm with the word before it. The line, in fact, has no real caesura, and differs from all our Types; but such lines are common enough, and quite permissible if the hobby is not ridden to death'. 'What care I' is literally  $\tau\acute{\epsilon}$   $\mu\iota\iota$   $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\iota$ ; which

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Introduction, p. 13.

just completes the line. The use of  $\mu \epsilon \nu$  without  $\delta \epsilon$  is here no objection; it often implies an obvious antithesis, such as 'what then?'

κακώς όλοιτο μέν πόλις τί μοι μέλει;

Tir. Above all things we ought to hold it deare.

Words. 'Ought': χρή, δεῖ, πρέπει. 'Hold': νομίζειν. 'Dear': φίλον, φίλτατον.

Form. νομίζειν as a bacchius finds its inevitable place; and χρή with a particle, γάρ surely, will precede it.  $\phi$ ίλτατον will follow, and 'it' may be πόλιν, placed last. We will add πάντων to strengthen  $\phi$ ίλτατον, and thus appears a simple line of the First Type.

χρη γαρ νομίζειν φίλτατον πάντων πόλιν.

### V.

## Young Mortimer.

Lords, Sith that we are by sufferance of heaven arrived, and armed in this prince's right, here for his country's cause swear we to him all homage fealty and forwardness;

- 5 and for the open wrongs and injuries Edward hath done to us, his queen, and land, we come in arms to wreak it with the sword; that England's queen in peace may repossess her dignities and honours; and withall
- 10 we may remove those flatterers from the king that havock England's wealth and treasury.

MARLOWE, Edward II., IV. 4. 18.

So far our task has been simplified, inasmuch as one line of English had to be got into one line of Greek. But that is of course not so as a rule. Iambic verse in Greek, as blank

verse in English, depends for much of its beauty on the Verse Paragraph; or in other words, the arrangement of the pauses. One of our aims must be to avoid too many stops at the end of a line, and to break the sense in different parts of successive verses. It is generally found, moreover, that a good Greek version contains rather more lines than the English. In translation then it is allowable to make about six lines to five of the English, or even five to four.

We shall no longer proceed by taking each line by itself, but we shall take a whole sentence, or a couple of thoughts closely connected, and in that manner proceed.

> Lords, Sith that we are by sufferance of heaven arrived, and armed in this prince's right.

Words. 'Lords': ὧ ἄνδρες simply (ὧνδρες by crasis); or use a more ornate phrase, such as τῆσδε κοίρανοι χθονός. 'Sufferance of heaven': ξὺν θεοῖς, ξὺν θεοῖ τινι, οὖκ ἄνευ θεῶν. 'Arrive': ἰκνέομαι (ἀφ-), ῆκω, πάρειμι. 'Arm': ὁπλίζω. 'In this prince's right': paraphrase 'on behalf of,' 'to help,' using ἀμύνω, ἀγωγός, ὑπέρ, or what not. Useful synonyms are ἀμύντωρ, τιμωρός, προστάτης. The idea of 'right' is got in by using δίκη; ξὺν δίκη, δικαίως, ὧς θέμις, etc.

Form. A whole line may be made of 'lords': ἄνδρες χθονὸς τῆσδ' εὖγενεῖς οἰκήτορες, where εὖγενεῖς gives the sense of high birth. A Greek dramatist might not improbably have begun the speech so; but it goes rather far from the English. It will be better to say simply ὧνδρες. 'Sith' is ἐπεί, and observe this only needs the addition of μέν (a natural particle here) to make an amphibrach. Place ἐπεὶ μέν before the trochee ὧνδρες. 'Arrived' might be ἀφικόμεσθα at the beginning of the line; but ἤκομεν (possible cretic) is more convenient for the last half. ξὺν θεοῖς is also a cretic, and two cretics together cannot stand. (Be careful not to write

ξὺν  $\theta$ εῷ τιν' ἤκομεν, for the dative -ι cannot be elided.) Which is easier: to add a syllable to the end of one of these, or to the beginning? To the end; for while there is no suitable compound of ἦκω,  $\theta$ εοῖς may be written  $\theta$ εοῖσιν.

# έπεὶ μὲν ὦνδρες ξὺν θεοῖσιν ἤκομεν—

'Armed' is ώπλισμένοι or ὁπλισθέντες, the former preferable because it implies an abiding state; or a more ornate expression, ἐστολισμένοι δορί. 'This prince' is οὖτος (not king Edward of course, and the pronoun is necessary): τούτφ followed by one of the nouns suggested. A bacchius is wanted, and such is ἀρωγοί. This involves hiatus; but this we easily avoid by putting in  $\tau \epsilon$  to be followed by καί (or a second  $\tau \epsilon$ ). A cretic (or with καί an iambus) is now needed; and ξὺν δίκη might do but for two reasons,—the phrase must end in a consonant, and we must not use a phrase so much like ξὺν θεοῖς. But a glance at the prepositional phrases given in the Introduction will suggest  $\pi \rho$ òς δίκης:

τούτω τ' άρωγοὶ πρὸς δίκης θ' ώπλισμένοι.

here for his country's cause swear we to him all homage fealty and forwardness.

Words. 'Swear': ὅμννμι, κατ-. (Remember that ὅρκον διδόναι means to 'administer' or 'suggest' an oath.) More poetical: ὁρκωμοτῶ. 'Country's cause': πόλεως, πάτρας, πατρίδος χάριν. 'Homage': πειθαρχία, σέβας, etc. 'Fealty': πίστις. 'Forwardness': τόλμα, προθυμία. Remember that we may have to use cognate adjectives or verbs: πείθαρχος, εὖπειθής, -πιστός, -εὖτολμος, πρόθυμος, and so forth.

Form. Either of the two words for 'swear' can be got in:  $\kappa \alpha \tau \sigma \mu \acute{o} \sigma \alpha \iota$  as a bacchius equivalent, with some governing word like  $\delta \epsilon \acute{\iota}$ , or  $\delta \rho \kappa \omega \mu \sigma \tau \circ \mathring{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu$  filling the first penthemimer. To either  $\theta \epsilon \circ \acute{o}$  may be added, 'by the gods' (for the second

see Soph. Ant. 265); and it will be seen that the article  $\tau$ oմs will combine with this word into a cretic. Better however is  $\delta \dot{\eta}$ , 'as you see,' which will do to translate 'here.' The space left vacant is exactly filled by  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho as \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu$ :

δρκωμοτούμεν δη θεούς πάτρας χάριν.

Observe that this line has only a quasi-caesura, since  $\delta \eta'$  goes before it. (For this caesura, which is common enough, see Introduction, p. 11.)

It is not possible to add 'homage' and the rest as direct objects, like the English sentence; but the proper way to go on is to use the recognised formula  $\hat{\eta}$   $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ , followed by an infinitive. This phrase must of course stand first. We must now look for some phrase suggesting faith that may be worked in; such as  $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$   $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\hat{\eta}$   $\phi\rho\epsilon\nu a$ , for the simpler  $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\nu a\iota$   $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\epsilon$  is impracticable.  $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$  may come in the second foot according to Type VII.; and if we adopt this type we want a word scanning  $\simeq - \circ$  to follow.  $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\tau o\lambda\mu\sigma$ s is of the kind we want; and with this the next line is complete:

η μην έχειν εὖτολμον εὖπειθη φρένα.

The two compounds of  $\epsilon \hat{v}$  in succession are effective, but  $\hat{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$  is weak. A more expressive word is  $\tau\rho\hat{\epsilon}\phi\epsilon\iota\nu$  'to cherish,' let this be substituted. One idea still remains, 'fealty,' and we might express this by  $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\hat{\eta}\nu$   $\tau\epsilon$ ; but it will be neater to vary the expression somewhat, and use one of the other phrases for promising faith.  $\delta\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}\alpha\iota$   $\pi\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$  is common in such a connexion, so that the next line may begin

πίστιν διδόντας.

and for the open wrongs and injuries Edward hath done to us, his queen, and land, we come in arms to wreak it with the sword.

There is little in the Words to call for remark, and they

are best considered along with the Form; for the idioms of the two languages differ here. We must observe first that the English is of a formal cast, and almost like a legal indictment with its repetition. The same effect may be got by a formality in the Greek, though not necessarily the same formality as the English. 'Wrongs' and 'injuries,' I mean, need not be two words, but it may be better to use the device of antithesis, of which examples have been given (Introduction, p. 50). As this is not a commonplace in Greek, as it is in Latin, the greater is its effect when used. An opportunity is given by the words 'his queen.' If we insert the implied 'king,' and write ἄναξ ἄνασσαν, we have done what the English does by different means. The second point to note is, that 'wrongs' is best translated as a verb, with a neuter relative as object. In other words, the sentence in plain prose would be, ανθ' ων ηδίκησεν αναξ ανασσαν. Next we must decide on the main verb. This should be some compound of άντι-, such as άντιτίσασθαι or άντιτιμωρεῖσθαι (middle, because the speakers are interested). Thirdly, we may Grecise the name, as a Greek poet would have done: not picking out Xerxes or Philip at random from the astonished pages of history, but rather making a name as much like Edward as possible, and yet not unpleasant to Greek ears. simplest plan here is to omit the w, and write Έδάρδιος.

leaving a cretic to fill. The line may be completed by paraphrasing ἢδίκησεν as ἢδικημένην ἔχει: thus

ων δε τήνδ' Έδαρδιος ἄναξ ἄνασσαν ηδικημένην έχει.

The other objects, 'us' and 'land,' must follow. 'Land' may be  $\pi\delta\lambda\nu$  (certainly not  $\gamma\hat{\eta}\nu$ ), or it may be  $\pi\delta\lambdai\tau$ as; and the last word, a bacchius, suggests  $\kappa a i \tau o i s \pi o \lambda i \tau a s$  for the penthemimer. 'And us' is  $\kappa a i \eta \mu a s$ , or by crasis  $\chi \eta \mu a s$ , which can only go in the fifth foot. This leaves space for 'open,' which must in our version be an adverb, and a cretic:  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\phi a\nu a s$ . Finally we add  $\tau\rho\dot{\epsilon}\tau o v s$  (as in Soph. Aj. 1174  $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\mu a s s k a i \tau \eta \sigma \delta \epsilon \kappa a i \sigma a v \tau o i \tau \rho\dot{\epsilon}\tau o v$ ): this is another term of formality, like the never-forgotten  $\delta\dot{\epsilon}\kappa a \tau s \sigma v \tau \dot{\sigma} s$ :

καὶ τοὺς πολίτας ἐμφανῶς, χήμᾶς τρίτους.

'We come' must not be ἤκομεν again, but suppose this time we say πάρεσμεν. Now observe that a whole final hephthemimer is made by the participle of one of the verbs suggested above, ἀντιτιμωρούμενοι: a fine mouthful, which must add to the impressiveness of the passage. But can any word, not mere padding, be found to complete the line? There is one, if we remember that the correlative of ὧν may well be exprest:

# τούτων πάρεσμεν αντιτιμωρούμενοι.

There remain two phrases, 'in arms' and 'with the sword.' But the word  $\dot{\omega}\pi\lambda\iota\sigma\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\omega\iota$  has been used already, and the vigorous determination exprest by them is fully brought out by the strong word we wrote last. We may, however, begin a new sentence here, by saying: 'nor will we cease,' κου λήξο- $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  ποτ $\epsilon$ , or 'we will fight with the sword, until...'

that England's queen in peace may repossess her dignities and honours.

Words. 'England's' will be omitted; a Greek would say simply 'the queen,' if he owned one. 'Dignities and honours': formality again, but  $\tau\iota\mu\eta$  and  $\gamma\epsilon\rho\alpha$ s are exact translations. 'Her': the article, or oi  $\pi\rho\iota\nu$ , ώs τὸ  $\pi\rho\iota\nu$ , etc.; or again, oi  $\pi\rho\rho\sigma\eta\kappa\rho\nu\tau\epsilon$ s. 'In peace':  $\epsilon\iota\rho\eta\nu\eta$ , or look at the prepositional phrases (Introd. p. 62), and follow the type;  $\delta\iota'$   $\epsilon\iota\rho\eta\nu\eta$ s. 'Repossess':  $\epsilon\iota\nu$   $\pi\lambda\iota\nu$ ,  $\alpha\iota$ 

Form. Beginning with the phrase already suggested, and placing  $\epsilon i \rho \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$  in the molossus position, we may complete it with 'until,'  $\check{\epsilon} \sigma \tau$ '  $\mathring{a} \nu$ , and  $\pi \acute{a} \lambda \iota \nu$ :

κου λήξομέν ποτ', ἔστ' αν ειρήνη πάλιν....

The next line is practically made already. For we at once mark a spondee  $\tau\iota\mu\dot{\alpha}s$ , an amphibrachys  $\tilde{a}\nu a\sigma\sigma a$ , a five-syllable group  $\tau\dot{\alpha}s$   $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\eta\kappa\sigma\dot{\nu}\sigma as$ , and an iambus  $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\eta$ :

τιμάς ἄνασσα τὰς προσηκούσας ἔχη.

There remains only  $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \rho \alpha$ , which with a conjunction will begin the next line:

γέρα τε.

and withall we may remove those flatterers from the king that havock England's wealth and treasury.

Words. 'Withal':  $\pi\rho\delta$ s,  $\pi\rho\delta$ s τούτοιs. It is however only another formal phrase, and we may leave it out if we will, for the formality has been reproduced well enough. 'Remove':  $\epsilon\kappa\beta$ άλλω may be used, or a more expressive word, perhaps a metaphor,  $\theta\eta\rho\epsilon$ ώω. 'Flatterers':  $\kappa\delta\lambda$ ακες, οἱ  $\theta\omega$ πεύοντες, even  $\theta\omega$ πεύματα (see Introd. p. 75). Be careful not to write  $\theta\omega$ πεύοντες alone, for the article is needed to make it serve as a noun. 'Havoc': use a word meaning 'rob by

violence, συλώ or ἀρπάζω. 'Wealth and treasury': πλοῦτος, θησαυρός.

Form. Let us first see what words will most easily combine with  $\kappa \alpha i$ . We may it is true begin  $\kappa \mathring{\alpha} \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda o \widehat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ , and to follow it the phrase  $\mathring{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \acute{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$  irresistibly suggests itself. But how is the king to be got in? When we try what can be done with 'king,' taking the commonest word  $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \acute{\omega} s$ , we find that  $\kappa \mathring{\alpha} \pi \mathring{\alpha} \delta \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \omega s$  is just the group we want: trochee and cretic, which fit neatly after  $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon$ . Then taking the second word suggested for 'remove' (since  $\mathring{\epsilon} \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda o \mathring{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu$  will not suit this construction), we see with relief that  $\theta \eta \rho \epsilon \acute{\nu} \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$  fills the rest of the line:

## υ - υκάπὸ βασιλέως θηρεύσομεν....

'Flatterers' should come next, but  $\kappa \delta \lambda a \kappa as$  gives little help.  $\tau o \delta s$   $\theta \omega \pi \epsilon \acute{v}o \nu \tau as$ , again, is impossible without something between the two words. And nothing can stand between, except a word that can act as an adjective. No real adjective is authorised by the English; but we may insert such a word as  $\check{a}\rho \tau \iota$ , 'who have been flattering, and still do so.' of will naturally follow; and we may strengthen the verb by adding the phrase 'like pirates':

τούς άρτι θωπεύοντας, οἱ ληστῶν δίκην....

The last line may be worked out in more than one way.  $\sigma \nu \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$  may stand first, as in Type II.; or  $i\rho\pi\dot{\alpha}\zeta \nu \sigma \sigma \nu$  in the middle, as in Type VIII. If we take the latter alternative,  $\theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \rho \dot{\sigma} \nu$  may go first,  $\kappa \alpha \dot{\iota} \pi \lambda \hat{\sigma} \dot{\nu} \sigma \nu$  in the molossus position, and  $\pi \dot{\sigma} \dot{\lambda} \epsilon \omega s$  last. Or a somewhat prettier turn may be got, by using an adjective in place of  $\pi \lambda \hat{\sigma} \dot{\nu} \tau \nu$ , such as  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi \rho \nu \sigma \sigma \nu$ :

θησαυρον άρπάζουσι πάγχρυσον πόλεως.

#### VI.

### KING HENRY IV .- DUKE OF CLARENCE HIS SON.

What would my lord and father? K. H. Nothing but well to thee, Thomas of Clarence. How chance thou art not with the prince thy brother? He loves thee, and thou dost neglect him, Thomas; 5 thou hast a better place in his affection than all thy brothers: cherish it, my boy; and noble offices thou may'st effect of mediation, after I am dead, between his greatness and thy other brethren:-10 therefore omit him not; blunt not his love: nor lose the good advantage of his grace by seeming cold or careless of his will: for he is gracious, if he be observed; he hath a tear for pity, and a hand 15 open as day for melting charity: vet notwithstanding, being incensed, he's flint; as humorous as winter, and as sudden

SHAKESPEARE: Henry IV., part 2, IV. 4.

## Cla. What would my lord and father?

as flaws congealed in the spring of day.

Words. Beware of using δεσπότης for 'lord': for this word the Greeks had the same rooted objection as the Romans for rex; it signifies a 'slave-master,' and as such is used with scornful emphasis of a king like Xerxes (Aesch. Pers. 666, etc.). ἄναξ is best (νος. ἄναξ, not ἄνα as sometimes in epic). 'What would' is simply τί θέλεις, or in metrical phrase τί βούλει (hardly respectful enough), τί χρήζεις;

Form. If, as is most convenient, we place the vocative

first,  $\tilde{\omega}\nu a\xi \pi \acute{a}\tau \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon$ , the phrase  $\tau \acute{\iota} \chi \rho \acute{\eta} \acute{\xi} \epsilon \iota s$  being a bacchius can come in nowhere. It must therefore be altered: moreover, it must be expanded in order not to break the line. Something like  $\tau \acute{\iota} \chi \rho \acute{\eta} \acute{\xi} \epsilon \iota s$   $\pi a \rho \acute{\omega} \nu$ ,  $\tau \acute{\iota} \chi \rho \acute{\eta} \acute{\xi} \omega \nu$   $\pi \acute{a} \rho \epsilon \iota$  would do, but these also contain each a bacchius. If the father sent for the son, as here,  $\tau \acute{\iota} \acute{\xi} \eta \tau \epsilon \acute{\iota} s \acute{\epsilon} \mu \acute{\epsilon}$ ;

The rhythm wanted for a final hephthemimer is either cretic  $- \cup - \mid = - \cup -$  or trochaic  $- \cup \mid - = - \cup -$ . Let  $\tau i$  be changed to  $\pi \rho \delta s$   $\tau i$   $- \cup$  and we have the latter. The syllable still lacking may be some suitable word such as  $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ,  $\delta \eta$ ,  $\mu \omega$ : and we have the line complete:

ὧναξ πάτερ τε, πρὸς τί νῦν ζητεῖς ἐμέ;

## K. H. Nothing but well to thee, Thomas of Clarence.

Words. The name need offer no difficulty: it can be Grecised, as Κλαρούντιος, or a Greek name chosen, or better, we may use τέκνον simply. 'Nothing but' may be οὐδὲν ἄλλο  $\gamma$ '  $\mathring{\eta}$ , which is metrical, or the form may be altered.

τὸ σὸν μὲν ώφέλημα, φίλτατον τέκος.

How chance thou art not with the prince thy brother?

Words. 'Not with' is δίχα, ἄνευ, ἄτερ: or, according to the context, οὖκ ἄγων, οὖ waiting upon. 'The prince' is best omitted. For 'brother,' besides ἀδελφός, we have κασίγνητος, which if used finds its place in the verse inevitably (see Types IV. and V.), or κάσις (defective).

Form. 'Why have you come without your brother?'  $\tau i \delta' - \sqrt{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon_S \tau o \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \sigma i \gamma \nu \dot{\eta} \tau o v \delta i \chi \alpha$ ; to which we may add a  $\dot{v} \tau \dot{v} \dot{v} \dot{v} \dot{v} \dot{v}$ ; echoing the idea. The translation is literal, and needs no further comment:

τί δ' αὐτὸς ἢλθες τοῦ κασιγνήτου δίχα;

He loves thee, and thou dost neglect him, Thomas.

Words. Contrasted pronouns must be used, as always where there is a contrast of persons:  $\kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu o s$  (not  $\alpha \hat{\imath} \tau \acute{o} s$ , which means 'by or of himself'),  $\acute{o}$   $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \times \sigma \grave{\nu}$   $\delta \acute{\epsilon}$ . 'Love' is always a difficult word. Do not use  $\grave{\epsilon} \rho \hat{a} \nu$  ('to be in love') or  $\pi o \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$  ('to long for, desiderare'), but  $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$  or  $\sigma \tau \acute{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$  (rather weak). 'Neglect': perhaps  $\mathring{a} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$  may come in useful; or  $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \phi \rho \rho \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ , though this is stronger than 'neglect.'

Form.  $\Phi_{\ell}\lambda\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\iota}$   $\sigma\epsilon$   $\kappa\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}os$  makes the penthemimer: note that  $\kappa\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\iota}\nu os$  is strong enough to do without  $\mu\hat{\epsilon}\nu$ , if convenient. (Be careful not to write  $\kappa\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\iota}\nu \acute{os}$   $\sigma\epsilon$   $\sigma\tau\acute{e}\rho\gamma\epsilon\iota$ , with  $\sigma\epsilon$  in position before  $\sigma\tau$ : a common mistake of beginners.)  $\sigma\hat{\nu}$   $\delta\hat{\epsilon}$   $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\phi\rho\rho\nu\epsilon\hat{\iota}s$  completes the sense, and we may stop here, if we can see our way to the next phrase. But remember that a final iambus, if stopt off from the rest of the line, should contain a conjunction. Thus a phrase like  $\sigma\hat{\nu}$   $\delta\hat{\epsilon}$ ,  $\delta$   $\delta\hat{\epsilon}$  may end a line; but an  $\hat{\epsilon}$  ambic word without conjunction, such as  $\hat{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota s$ , is impossible in this place of the verse. Suppose then we expand the verb by some participial idiom; we then have

φιλεί σε κείνος, σὺ δὲ καταφρονείς ἔχων.

(The line might also end with a vocative, τέκνον, which of course forms part of the preceding sentence, and therefore the objection just stated does not apply.)

thou hast a better place in his affection than all thy brothers: cherish it, my boy.

So far the Greek lines have corresponded to the English. It is as a rule bad to stop many successive lines at the end, since the rhythm of iambics, as of English blank verse, depends more on the verse-paragraph than on the line. But there is sometimes reason to do this very thing, and if only done for a reason it has a definite effect. In translating a first-rate writer of blank verse, such as Shakespeare or Milton, we need never be afraid to copy his pauses exactly, though this of course is not compulsory; but with any other writer the principle must be carefully borne in mind, that a succession of end-stopt lines is to be avoided.

Words. 'Affection':  $\sigma \tau o \rho \gamma \dot{\eta}$  is prosaic, though  $\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega$  is not;  $\dot{\varphi} \iota \lambda \dot{\alpha}$  is too weak,  $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega$ s and  $\pi \dot{\sigma} \dot{\theta} \sigma$ s imply the same as  $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu$  and  $\pi \dot{\sigma} \dot{\theta} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \nu$ . Thus almost the only word that we can use is  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \nu \sigma \iota \alpha$ , as no word in Greek exactly answers to 'affection.' For 'place' we had best substitute 'share,'  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \sigma s$  or  $\mu \dot{\sigma} \dot{\rho} \alpha$ . 'Better' will be  $\pi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\sigma} \tau \sigma s$ , not  $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$ , to suit the noun. The phrase may be strengthened with  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\iota} \tau \iota s$  ( $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \dot{\iota}$ )  $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\sigma} s$ . The plain prose of it is  $\pi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\tau} \sigma \nu \sigma \dot{\nu} \dot{\tau} \dot{\sigma} \dot{\tau} \dot{\sigma} \nu \dot{\sigma} \dot{\sigma} s$ , and subordinate the verb to it,  $\dot{\iota} \sigma \dot{\theta} \iota \ldots \lambda \dot{\alpha} \chi \dot{\omega} \nu$ . The proper particles are  $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \ldots \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$  'but in fact,' to which  $\tau \sigma \iota$  may be added (didactic).

Form. εὐνοίας, a molossus, places itself (Introd. p. 9), and the trochee before it, πλεῖστον. We have then

άλλ' ἴσθι γὰρ - πλεῖστον εὖνοίας μέρος πάντων ἀδελφῶν -  $\bigcirc$  - λαχών.

The gap may be filled by a dative of person judging, and

it will be convenient to use a name:  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$   $\gamma \epsilon \Gamma \epsilon \nu \delta \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\varphi}$ . There is here a jingle of  $\gamma \epsilon \Gamma \epsilon \nu$ , which we may avoid by some prepositional term, as  $\tau \hat{\alpha} \pi \hat{\delta} \Gamma \epsilon \nu \delta \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\sigma} \hat{v}$ :

άλλ' ἴσθι γάρ τοι πλείστον εὐνοίας μέρος πάντων ἀδελφῶν τάπὸ Γενδρικοῦ λαχών.

Note that λαγχάνω takes gen. of the whole, thus—εὐνοίας λαχεῖν, but the idea of 'part' is understood, and if 'part' is exprest by a word, that word will be accusative.

and noble offices thou may'st effect of mediation, after I am dead, between his greatness and thy other brethren.

Words. 'Cherish' is  $\tau \rho \epsilon \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ . 'It' may be  $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon$  (sc.  $\epsilon \ddot{\nu} \nu o \iota a \nu$ ), or repeat the idea, e.g. by using  $\pi \rho o \theta \nu \mu \dot{\iota} a \nu$ . 'Noble offices,' etc.: this may be paraphrased personally, 'you may reconcile him and your brothers when quarrelling,  $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \nu \tau a s \ldots \ddot{\epsilon} \rho \iota \nu$ '; or 'you may make a reconciliation of anger,  $\delta \iota a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$  ( $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \lambda \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ )  $\chi \dot{\alpha} \lambda o \nu \theta \epsilon \dot{\iota} \eta s \ddot{\alpha} \nu$ ' (not  $\pi o \iota o \dot{\iota} \eta s$ , which means to 'manufacture,' nor  $\pi o \iota o \dot{\iota} o$  'become reconciled'). 'Noble' is important enough to be made a statement in Greek, not merely an allusion. This can best be done by the Accusative in Apposition to the sentence:  $\kappa a \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \dot{\iota} a \iota \tau a \nu$ ,  $\dot{\omega} \rho a \dot{\iota} o \nu \tau \sigma \dot{\nu} o \nu$ , or the like. The dative will follow. 'When I am dead':  $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o \dot{\nu} \theta a \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau o s$ , which may begin a line, or  $\dot{\sigma} \tau a \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ , the same + a necessary conjunction filling the same space as the last. 'His greatness' may be  $\kappa \epsilon \dot{\iota} \nu o s \sin p l \nu$ , or better 'the king.'

Form.  $\tau \rho \epsilon \phi \epsilon$  comes naturally as the last word in a line; but for the beginning the polite imperative is better:  $\tau \rho \epsilon \phi o s$  a. We get then as a skeleton:

τρέφοις ἄν  $\stackrel{}{\sim} \stackrel{}{\sim} τήνδε \stackrel{}{\cdot} \stackrel{}{\sim} δταν θάνω$  ἐν τοῖς ἀδελφοῖς (and to the king) θείης διαλλαγήν τιν' (or ἄν),

with an appositional phrase to wind up. To fill the first line  $\dot{a}\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}$  will do, with  $\kappa\dot{a}\tau a$  for link: in the second  $\chi\dot{o}\lambda o\nu$  or  $\dot{o}\rho\gamma\dot{\eta}s$  should stand, else there will be no room for the appositional phrase. Thus the lines run:

τρέφοις αν ἀεὶ τήνδε· καθ' ὅταν θάνω ἐν τοῖς ἀδελφοῖς τῷ τε κοιράνῳ χόλου θείης διαλλαγὴν ἄν, ώραῖον πόνον.

therefore omit him not; blunt not his love: nor lose the good advantage of his grace by seeming cold or careless of his will.

Words. 'Omit' means 'neglect,' and may be turned by  $\vec{a}\pi\omega\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$  (rather stronger). 'Blunt' is literal,  $\vec{a}\mu\beta\lambda\dot{\nu}\omega$ : or by periphrasis,  $\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu\alpha\iota$   $\vec{a}\mu\beta\lambda\epsilon\hat{\iota}\alpha\nu$  ( $\tau\hat{\eta}\nu$   $\pi\rho\sigma\theta\nu\mu\dot{\iota}\alpha\nu$ ). (Note that  $\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu\alpha\iota$  in this phrase means 'make,'  $\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$  would mean 'exhibit,' like  $\pi\sigma\iota\hat{\iota}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ .) 'Lose,'  $\vec{a}\pi\sigma\lambda\lambda\hat{\nu}\nu\alpha\iota$ , or some compound. 'Cold' can hardly be  $\psi\nu\chi\rho\delta$ s, though if the emphasis were strong enough a phrase like  $\psi\hat{\nu}\chi\rho\delta$ s, though if the emphasis were strong enough a phrase like  $\psi\hat{\nu}\chi\rho\delta$ s,  $\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\omega\nu$  might be worked in. The sense is reluctance and lack of sympathy; for which  $\hat{\delta}\kappa\nu\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$  is the simplest translation. 'Careless' can be turned with  $\hat{\iota}\mu\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$ , followed by a genitive: or  $\hat{\iota}\sigma\rho\nu\nu\tau\iota$ s adj. 'His will' goes most naturally into a conditional clause,  $\hat{\eta}\nu$   $\tau\iota$   $\sigma\pi\epsilon\iota\delta\eta$ , or a genitive participle.

Form. The first line runs off without difficulty:

μήτ' οὖν ἀπώθει, μήτε τὴν προθυμίαν ἀμβλῦνον·

and 'nor lose' can be neatly linked to this by ωστε, instead of having a new coordinate sentence: ωστ' εῦνοιαν - ο ὁλλύναι, which we easily complete with the compound ἐξαπολλύναι. 'By seeming' will be a participle, and this gives ὀκνεῖν δοκῶν ἢ κἀμελεῖν: note how convenient is the emphatic καί for metrical purposes; the device should be sparingly used, but

is quite legitimate when the sense admits of emphasis for any reason. We are now brought to a standstill, as none of the turns of phrase suggested will scan;  $\sigma\pi\epsilon\iota\acute{\delta}o\nu\tau\sigma$ s, the construction most suitable, leaves a syllable to seek. We must therefore cast about for a four-syllable participle of the required scansion =-. Such an one is the Aeschylean  $\lambda\epsilon\lambda\iota\mu\mu\acute{\epsilon}v\sigma$ s ( $\lambda\acute{\epsilon}\pi\tau\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$ ), which meets the case. Proceeding from the last stop, we have:

ωστ' εὖνοιαν ἐξαπολλύναι ὀκνεῖν δοκῶν ἢ καμελεῖν λελιμμένου.

for he is gracious, if he be observed; he hath a tear for pity, and a hand open as day for melting charity.

Words. 'Gracious' is εὖμενής, εὖφρων, etc. 'Observed' of course means treated with respect, and for this idea we have many verbs, the best being θεραπεύειν: others are τιμάν. τιμαλφείν, σέβειν, or, in a bad sense,  $\theta \omega \pi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon i \nu$  ('flatter'). 'A tear for pity' has quite a Greek look, and we may use the phrase πρὸς οἶκτον 'pity-wards'; though of course an exact analysis of the English shows that 'pity' is almost a personification: δακρύει...πρὸς οἶκτον, or the more picturesque δακρυρροεί. What follows must be paraphrased, for 'open as day' is impossible to translate literally. It will be necessary to use a fully formed metaphor, and say, 'His hand is generous, so that if one lacks [this comes out of the context, and is implied in 'charity'] he is soon softened (μαλάσσειν, μαλθακίζειν: this translates the sense of 'melting'), like the sun which warms all the world.' The last phrase is necessary to explain the use of the metaphor, and 'warms' echoes 'melting' in the description. 'Generous': ἄφθονος. 'Lead': σπανίζω. 'Warm':  $\theta \dot{a} \lambda \pi \omega$  or  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \gamma \omega$  'charm'; or we may use Aesch. Ag. 619  $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ τοῦ τρέφοντος ήλίου γαίας φύσιν.

Form.  $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon i$ s is a molossus, with the first syllable resolved: hence its place is fixt. This bars  $\epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\gamma}$ s from all the three cretic positions; but if we add  $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$  to it we alter its rhythm, and can then place it

# - ευμενής γάρ - · θεραπευθείς · -.

κάρτ' εὐμενὴς γάρ ἐστι θεραπείας τυχών δακρυρροεῖ πρὸς οἶκτον, ἄφθονος δὲ χεὶρ ὧστ' ἢν σπανίζη τις μαλάσσεται κέαρ γαίας φύσιν τρέφοντος ἡλίου δίκην.

The learner will observe that the third of these lines has no real caesura, since  $\tau is$  goes in speech-rhythm with the preceding word. See Introduction, p. 11.

yet notwithstanding, being incensed, he's flint; as humorous as winter, and as sudden as flaws congealed in the spring of day.

Words. 'Yet notwithstanding': ὅμως, αὖθις. 'Flinty' is in Greek σιδηρόφρων, ἐκ πέτρας πεπλασμένος, or the like. 'Humorous' is of course used in the old sense of 'capricious,'

full of 'one-sided feeling'; the old medical theory being that in such minds one of the 'four humours' overbalanced all the rest. This would be in Greek literally περισσόφρων × ἀρτίφρων, 'with a well-balanced mind.' But the word 'winter' suggests 'stormy' as a better translation of the poet's thought; for περισσόφρων refers rather to the intellect than to the passions. For this we have δύσχιμος, δυσχείμερος: to which should be added θυμόν, the better to define the metaphorical sense of the word. 'Flaw' (or 'floe') is 'ice,' κρύσταλλος; and 'to freeze' is πήγνυναι (trans.). 'As sudden as flaws' is best rendered by 'cracks like ice'; and we may use the gnomic aorist. 'Ice' is κρύσταλλος. 'Incense' is χολόω, χαλεπαίνω, or a phrase with δι' ὀργῆς. 'Spring of day' may be simply εως.

Form.  $\chi$ ολωθείς takes the sole bacchius place, and  $\sigma$ ιδηρόφρων will be put last; ἔσθ' ὅμως make a good cretic; and αδθις completes the line. δυσχείμερος τε θυμόν is already metrical. A slight difficulty offers at the end of this line; as a vowel must follow, καί cannot stand; the rarer ἢδέ will prove useful, and we must find a compound instead of ἐρράγη. In the concluding line, ἐξ ἕω παγείς goes naturally at the end, and will be naturally preceded by κρύσταλλος; we may echo the idea of ἔως by an adjective, such as ὅρθριος. There is now no room for ὧσπερ, but ὧστε is often used with the same meaning: e.g. Medea 523, σὺ δ' ὧστε ναὸς κεδνὸς οἰακοστρόφος. The lines then take shape as follows:

αὖθις χολωθεὶς ἔσθ' ὅμως σιδηρόφρων δυσχείμερός τε θυμόν, ἢδ' ἐξερράγη ὥστ' ὄρθριος κρύσταλλος ἐξ ἔω παγείς.

### VII.

	Edward.	What, are you moved that Gaveston sits here?
		It is our pleasure, and we will have it so.
	Lancaster.	Your Grace doth well to place him by your
		side,
		for nowhere else the new earl is so safe.
5	Elder Mortimer.	What man of noble birth can brook this sight?
		See what a scornful look the peasant casts!
	Pembroke.	Can kingly lions fawn on creeping ants?
	Warwick.	Ignoble vassal, that like Phaeton
		Aspir'st unto the guidance of the sun!
10	Young Mortimer.	Their downfall is at hand, their forces down:
		we will not thus be faced and over-peered.
	Edward.	Lay hands on that traitor Mortimer!
	Elder Mortimer.	Lay hands on that traitor Gaveston!
	Kent.	Is this the duty that you owe your king?
15	Warwick.	We know our duties—let him know his peers.
	Edward.	Whither will ye bear him? Stay, or ye shall die!

Edward. What, are you moved that Gaveston sits here?

Elder Mortimer. We are no traitors; therefore threaten not.

MARLOWE: Edward II. I. 4. 8-24.

Words. 'Moved': κινώ is not used so freely as Lat. moveo, and a more exact word is necessary, such as δυσφορεῖν, δυσχεραίνειν, κνίζεσθαι. 'Sit': ἡμαι, κάθημαι, or compounds; ζζω, etc.

Form. Several different lines might be made to render this sentence; for we have only to put each of the above words in its proper form to see metrical phrases.  $\kappa\nu'\zeta\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$  might stand first,  $\delta\nu\sigma\phi\rho\rho\epsilon\hat{\iota}\tau\epsilon$  completes a penthemimer after  $\hat{\eta}$ ,  $\delta\nu\sigma\chi\epsilon\rho\alpha'\nu\epsilon\tau\epsilon$  is a final penthemimer. The noun will be in the genitive absolute:  $\tauo\hat{\iota}\delta\epsilon$   $\hat{\epsilon}\nu\theta\hat{\alpha}\delta$   $\hat{\eta}\mu\acute{\epsilon}\nu\sigma\nu$  needs only a very natural  $\gamma\epsilon$  to make it scan,  $\kappa\alpha\theta\eta\mu\acute{\epsilon}\nu\sigma\nu$  may stand first or last,

and so forth. Or a participle may be introduced, say  $\delta\rho\hat{\omega}\nu\tau\epsilon$ s. On the whole,  $\hat{\eta}$   $\delta\nu\sigma\phi\rho\rho\epsilon\hat{\iota}\tau\epsilon$  makes the best beginning, because the simplest; and a more impressive ending will be got by using the compound  $\sigma\nu\gamma\kappa\dot{\alpha}\theta\eta\mu\alpha\iota$  ('sit with me'), which the context shows to be the meaning.

η δυσφορείτε τούδε συγκαθημένου;

It is our pleasure, and we will have it so.

Words. 'Pleasure,' as a technical term, is δοκε $\hat{\iota}\nu$ ; or still stronger, ἄραρε. 'Will': use χρή, χρεών, ἀνάγκη or the like: or perhaps γενήσεται.

Form. οὖτω δέδοκται is a literal rendering, and it forms a half-line. Another half-line is ώς ταῦτ ἄραρε. The completion is easy. καὶ γενήσεται is a five-syllable group, and with τάδε last we get a line of Type IX. But after all, this has happened, and the future is out of place. Then it is equally easy to make a half-line with χρεών (ἀνάγκη is barred, being a bacchius). καὶ χρεών is a cretic, τάδε comes last, and εἶναι between:

ούτω δέδοκται, καὶ χρεὼν εἶναι τάδε.

Lancaster. Your Grace doth well to place him by your side, for nowhere else the new earl is so safe.

Words. 'By your side': πέλας σου. 'New earl': νέος ταγός, δυνάστωρ, or perhaps ὁ νεωστὶ κοίρανος, or ταγός. 'Safe': σῶς, ἀσφαλής, or of places βέβαιος, ἐχέγγυος, ἀσύλητος. 'Elsewhere': ἀλλαχοῦ, ἄλλος τόπος or θᾶκος. 'Your Grace': ὧναξ.

The **Form** of the first sentence will be in Greek 'Well you place him beside you,'  $\vec{a}\lambda\lambda$ '  $\vec{\epsilon v}$   $\mu \hat{\epsilon v}$   $\vec{\epsilon}\chi \hat{\epsilon v}$   $\pi \hat{\epsilon}\lambda as$   $\sigma ov$ . This gives the beginnings of two lines:  $\vec{a}\lambda\lambda$ '  $\vec{\epsilon v}$   $\mu \hat{\epsilon v}$ , palimbacchius, and  $\pi \hat{\epsilon}\lambda as$   $\sigma ov$  bacchius. These can also stand together if we omit either  $\mu \hat{\epsilon v}$  or  $\vec{a}\lambda\lambda \hat{a}$ :  $\vec{\epsilon v}$   $\mu \hat{\epsilon v}$   $\pi \hat{\epsilon}\lambda as$   $\sigma ov$ .... But though the second line could be got into the remaining space, 'your

Grace' must then be left out. Here the phrase has some point, its formal respect giving emphasis to the veiled insolence of the rest. We will keep it then, and begin the line: άλλ'  $\epsilon \tilde{v}$  μέν,  $\tilde{w}$ ναξ... with  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ χεις πέλας σου in the next. The space between them may serve for 'the new earl,' which can be taken out of the succeeding sentence, and will just complete the first line: τὸν νέον δυνάστορα. Our business now is to expand: the next sentence may accordingly begin with καί γάρ. 'Nowhere else' would give a rather awkward phrase, unless we wrote οὖκ ἀλλαχοῦ simply. The paraphrase with  $\theta \hat{a} \kappa o s$  is more convenient, 'no other seat is so safe'; and this, it will be seen, is capable of expansion. Translated simply into prose the thought would be exprest thus: οὖκ ἄλλος θακος ούτως ἀσφαλής ἐστιν. Here are trochees and spondees: what we want is an iambus. Change ἔστιν to δοκεῖ, and there Now we can utilize our trochees by making the line of a trochaic rhythm, that is to say, by following Type VI. Let ού δοκεί be the final cretic, and place άλλος before it. Next. if we take the word for 'safe' which scans as an amphibrachys. ούτω βέβαιος will give an initial half-line. This completes the translation, but we must finish the line; and it is easy to expand by completing the construction of allos. 'No other seat is so safe as that, η κείνος, to which again μόνος may be superadded. The piece then is completed as follows:

άλλ' εὖ μέν, ὧναξ, τὸν νεωστὶ κοίρανον ἔχεις πέλας σου καὶ γὰρ ἄλλος οὖ δοκεῦ οὖτω βέβαιος θᾶκος ἢ κεῖνος μόνος.

These lines are slightly inflated, it is true; yet a terse translation would have to sacrifice something.

5 Elder Mortimer. What man of noble birth can brook this sight?

Words. 'Noble birth': γενναῖος, εὖγενής. 'Brook': τλῆναι.

The Form of the line is practically settled by τλαίη ἄν.

These words reversed make up a molossus, and εὖγενής becomes

impossible. But  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a \hat{\imath}_0$ s may stand immediately before them, as in Type IV.  $\tau$ 's must begin the line; and 'this sight' will be in our sentence  $\tau a \hat{\imath} \tau' i \hat{\delta} \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$  or the like. The adjective however cannot stand thus alone. We must add an indefinite  $\tau \iota s$ , which would be poor in style; or  $\mathring{a} \nu$ , not much better; or  $\mathring{a} \nu \gamma' \rho$ , which is exactly the right word. But  $\mathring{a} \nu \gamma' \rho$  cannot stand last; place it then before  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \nu a \hat{\imath} c s$ , which is exactly its right place, and find a verb meaning 'to see' which shall begin with a consonant. It is easy to think of  $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu \nu$ , and the line runs

τίς ταθτ' ἀνηρ γενναίος ἄν τλαίη βλέπειν;

See what a scornful look the peasant casts!

Words. 'Peasant': ἀγρότης, ἀγρώστης, etc. 'Scornful look': use an idiomatic paraphrase, e.g. ὕβριν βλέπει, σεμνύνεται.

Form. With these words a good line may be made. 'The peasant' will be åγρότης (= ὁ ἀγρότης), or ἀγρότης πρὶν ὧν, and βλέπει will then complete a final hephthemimer. ὡς ὕβριν is a palimbacchius, and οὖτος completes the line. The jingle of βλέπειν and βλέπει can be paralleled (e.g. Soph. O. T. 777, 778); but it is to be avoided if possible. We will try another way. Contemptuous expressions are often made with neuter nouns, and 'peasant' might be θρέμμα δυσγενές. Here we have a possible cretic; and if we place σεμνύνεται after it, the line is as good as made. ὡς τοῦτο may begin it; or better, ὡς θρέμμα, which gives a true balance to the words:

ώς θρέμμα τοῦτο δυσγενές σεμνύνεται.

The unemphatic τοῦτο between two strong words throws up each into stronger relief.

Pembroke. Can kingly lions fawn on creeping ants?

Words. 'Kingly': βασιλικός. 'Ant': μύρμηξ. 'Creeping': there is no suitable adjective to translate this, but the

tone of contempt may be reproduced by  $\phi a \hat{v} \lambda o s$  or some such word. 'Fawn':  $\sigma a \hat{v} \omega$ .

Form. The line is very simple, and there is little choice of words. All we need premise is that the singular must be used, because there would be no room for the plural. For the same reason, 'can' must be omitted.  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \acute{\sigma} \acute{\sigma} i \dot{\sigma}$  a cretic equivalent, and  $\sigma a \acute{\iota} \iota \iota \iota \iota \dot{\sigma} \iota \dot{\sigma}$  the four-syllable group which we are familiar with.  $\mu \acute{\iota} \rho \mu \eta \kappa a$  is a palimbacchius, and  $\phi a \acute{\iota} \lambda \delta \iota \nu \iota$  trochee.

μύρμηκα φαθλον βασιλικός σαίνει λέων;

Warwick. Ignoble vassal, that like Phaeton aspir'st unto the guidance of the sun!

Words. 'Ignoble': δυσγενής, ἀγεννής. 'Vassal': δοῦλος, δμώς, τρίδουλος; or by a favourite idiom, δούλευμα. 'Like': ώς, ὧσπερ, δίκην. 'Aspire': ἀξιοῦν. 'Guidance': use ἐλαύνευ, διφρηλατεῖν or a similar word.

Form. The beginning of the line admits of various arrangement. δούλευμα may stand first, and be followed by ἀγεννές; or we may write ὁ χρημ' ἀγεννές. Again, by Type IV., we may place δούλευμα after a four-syllable group, e.g. ὁ δυσγενές. (Observe however that δυσγενής has just been used.) Φαέθοντος is a molossus equivalent, the first syllable being resolved: this with δίκην will naturally form the final penthemimer. A relative to connect the two clauses is  $\delta$ s, which by adding  $\gamma$ ε (allowable, like  $\pi$ ερ, with almost any emphatic relative) will make up the line

δούλευμ' αγεννές, ος γε Φαέθοντος δίκην....

In the next line we have the latter half ready for us, a  $\xi \iota o i s$  being a cretic, and  $\delta \iota \phi \rho \eta \lambda a \tau \epsilon i v$  the proper end-word of four syllables.  $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o v$  cannot be used, because there is no room for a second cretic, and the article cannot be prefix to it without hiatus. A slightly longer phrase is necessary, and the

context suggests that 'chariot' be inserted:  $\mathring{a}\rho\mu a$  is of no use, but the sun drove a four-in-hand— $\tau \acute{\epsilon}\theta\rho\iota\pi\pi\sigma\nu$ . Add  $\Phi o\acute{\epsilon}\beta\sigma\nu$ , and write

Φοίβου τέθριππον άξιοις διφρηλατείν.

Young Mortimer. Their downfall is at hand, their forces down.

The Words can hardly be discussed separately; most of them are simple, but there is a metaphor, 'forces down,' which cannot be reproduced. A Greek might say 'they are down,' χάμαι, or 'their power is gone,' φροῦδος οἴχεται. This will give us an excellent ending: φροῦδον οἴχεται κράτος. The first part is best exprest by a verb: 'they are falling,' πίπτουσι. A spondee or trochee remains. Why not repeat this verb, transposing φροῦδον and οἴχεται? The asyndeton will greatly strengthen the line:

πίπτουσι, πίπτουσ', οἴχεται φροῦδον κράτος.

we will not thus be faced and over-peered.

Words. 'Faced': ἐναντίον βλέπειν, or ὀρθοῖς ὅμμασιν, is used of bare-faced impertinence. 'Over-peered,' i.e. overhung or overshadowed, tyrannised over, put in the shade: some paraphrase is necessary, perhaps one that suggests the being 'puffed up with pride.' κόμπος may be useful, or ὄγκος, or its verb ἐξ-ογκοῦσθαι.

Form. We can see from the first words that this line cannot be compressed into one. For 'we will not,' if it is to be properly rendered, must be rendered by some phrase like  $o\hat{v}\kappa$   $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\theta'$   $\tilde{\delta}\pi\omega_s$ , or a string of negatives; and here is half the line filled already. The phrases given above are also long:  $\hat{\epsilon}\nu\alpha\nu\tau$ iov  $\beta\lambda$ i $\epsilon\pi$ i $\epsilon$ i $\epsilon$ i half a line exactly,  $\hat{\epsilon}\xi\omega\gamma\kappa\omega\mu$ i $\epsilon$ i $\epsilon$ i a final penthemimer. We must therefore make two lines of it. Now we can choose with more freedom; and the sense suggests  $o\hat{v}\kappa$   $\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\tau'$   $\hat{a}\nu\epsilon\kappa\tau$ o $\hat{v}$  for a beginning, or more idiomatically,  $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\hat{i}$   $o\hat{v}\kappa$ 

ἀνεκτόν (ἐπεὶ οὐκ form an iambus by synizesis). ἐξωγκωμένους we place last, with ἄνδρας before it (τινάς would also do, but will not scan here). ἐναντίον βλέπειν becomes a hephthemimer when we prefix ὧδε 'thus'; now add the object ήμᾶς, and there is just room left for κόμπος in the dative plural (palimbacchius):

ἐπεὶ οὖκ ἀνεκτὸν ἄνδρας ἐξωγκωμένους κόμποισιν, ἡμᾶς ὧδ ἐναντίον βλέπειν.

Edward. Lay hands on that traitor Mortimer!

Words. 'Lay hands on':  $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ . 'Traitor,' προδότης, προδοῦναι. 'Mortimer': Grecise the name, e.g. Μόρσιμος; or use a pronoun.

Form. A paraphrase will be the best means of translating this line. We may take a hint from Soph. Oed. Tyr. 1154: οὐχ ὡς τάχος τις τοῦδ᾽ ἀποστρέψει χέρας; This suggests οὐ λήψεται τις; or we may begin with the anapaest λαβέτω. προδότης is not a convenient word, especially if λαβέτω be used; two trisyllabic feet in a line would be a great rarity. Put in participial form the idea is more idiomatically expressed: this gives τὸν προδόντα, two trochees, looking forward to a final cretic. The object to προδόντα is easily supplied, πόλιν, with the article a cretic, τὴν πόλιν. Taking the first alternative for 'seize' we get a good line enough; but 'that' is omitted. We can get the word in by writing 'who will lead off...?'

τίς τόνδ' ἀπάξει τὸν προδόντα τὴν πόλιν;

Elder Mortimer. Lay hands on that traitor Gaveston!

This line repeats line 12, save only that the name is corrected. In Greek such exact repetitions are not usual; but the correction is implied by particles,  $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$  ov. The line should then begin with the name, or  $\kappa \acute{\epsilon} \iota \nu \nu \nu$   $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$  ov. If the

line is to go on from this beginning, we want a monosyllable, a palimbacchius, or an amphibrachys. No further particle would be appropriate, and  $\tau \delta \nu \pi \rho o \delta \delta \nu \tau a$  or  $\omega s \pi \rho o \delta \delta \nu \tau a$  is trochaic, the wrong kind of rhythm therefore. But a new sentence may begin with  $\pi \rho o \tilde{\nu} \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \gamma a \rho \kappa \epsilon \tilde{\nu} v o s$ , which contains the required form. Or we may continue 'who will take Gaveston?' which with an ethical  $\mu o \iota$  gives:

κείνον μεν ουν τίς λήψεται Γαύστωνά μοι;

Kent. Is this the duty that you owe your king?

Words. 'Duty...owe': a paraphrase should be made with  $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ ,  $\pi \epsilon \iota \theta a \rho \chi i a$ ,  $\sigma \epsilon \beta a s$ , and  $\delta \phi \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ ; or the sarcastic idiom with  $\kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ —'nicely you obey your king!' A proper particle is  $\tilde{a} \rho a$ , 'as it seems.'

Form. 'Your king' may be literal, but it is a common idiom in Greek to use the indefinite plural: 'you know how to obey kings, it seems!' This will give  $\tau \nu \rho \acute{a}\nu \nu o \iota s$  (a bacchius) for the second place, and  $\kappa a \lambda \acute{\omega} s$  we will put first. 'Obey' gives us a molossus,  $\pi \epsilon \iota \theta a \rho \chi \epsilon \acute{\nu} \nu$ , and  $\~{\iota} \sigma \tau \epsilon$  will stand before it,  $\~{a}\rho a$  coming last (the particle might go almost anywhere):

καλώς τυράννοις ίστε πειθαρχείν άρα.

15 Warwick. We know our duties—let him know his peers.

Words. 'Peers': oi iooi.

Form. A  $\mu\acute{e}\nu$  and  $\delta\acute{e}$  sentence is clearly called for. We commence with  $\mathring{\eta}\mu\acute{e}\mathring{\iota}s$   $\mu\acute{e}\nu$ , palimbacchius; the pronoun is necessary to make a balance to 'him,'  $\mathring{o}\delta\acute{e}$ ,  $\mathring{o}\mathring{v}\tau os$ .  $\mathring{\iota}\sigma\mu\acute{e}\nu$  follows as trochee (Type II.). 'Him' is Gaveston; the second clause will take this shape—'but this man (knows) not his equals.'  $\tau o\grave{\iota}s$   $\mathring{o}$   $\mathring{\iota}\sigma\sigma\iota s$  falls into the third place, as a cretic, and  $o\mathring{\iota}\kappa$   $o\mathring{\iota}s$   $\mathring{o}\acute{e}s$  may stand last, as usual. A more forcible line may yet be made of it, if we get the negative last. Leave out the verb  $o\mathring{\iota}\delta\acute{e}s$ , and let the last foot be  $\mathring{o}\delta\acute{e}s$   $o\mathring{\iota}s$ 

(accented, by rule, in this position); then move the cretic forward to the Second Position (Type V.), and insert ταῦτα for the trochee:

ήμεις μεν ισμεν ταυτα· τους δ' ισους όδ' ου.

Edward. Whither will ye bear him? Stay, or ye shall die!

Words. 'Bear': ἄγειν, φέρειν. 'Stay': παύεσθαι, or better ἐπισχεῖν. 'Shall': some word like χρή, χρεών will do instead of a future, if so it prove convenient.

Form. The first phrase turns out a palimbacchius without alteration: ποῦ δ' ἄξετε, with elision. Either παύεσθε or ἐπίσχετε (elided) can stand before the caesura, according to Type VIII., if a monosyllabic particle can be found to precede it. ἀλλά is often used in excited commands; so we write ἀλλ' ἐπίσχετε. The remainder is no less easy: ἡ θανεῦν comes next as a cretic (Second Position), and χρεών may end the verse:

ποι δ' ἄξετ'; ἀλλ' ἐπίσχετ', ή θανείν χρεών.

Elder Mortimer. We are no traitors; therefore threaten not.

Words. 'Threaten': ἀπειλείν, c. dat.

Form. A literal translation does not fall into metrical shape. It is better therefore to combine the two clauses thus: 'Do not threaten us as traitors,'  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\pi\rho o\delta o\hat{v}\sigma \iota v$ , and  $\delta \dot{\eta}$  may be added.  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon \dot{\iota}\lambda\epsilon\iota$  is a bacchius, and  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\delta \dot{\eta}\tau a$  may precede it;  $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\iota}\nu$  will stand first, and  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\pi\rho o\delta o\hat{v}\sigma\iota \nu$  last. Now  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon \dot{\iota}\lambda\epsilon\iota$  can be turned into the infinitive by using  $o\dot{\nu}$   $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$ ,  $o\dot{\nu}$   $\chi\rho\epsilon\dot{\omega}\nu$ ; which will not inappropriately recal the  $\chi\rho\epsilon\dot{\omega}\nu$  of the last line: place this cretic group last, and insert  $\sigma\epsilon$ , and we have finally

ημίν σ' ἀπειλείν ώς προδοῦσιν οὐ χρεών.

#### VIII.

PHILIP VAN ARTEVELDE BIDS FAREWELL TO GHENT.

Then fare ye well, ye citizens of Ghent.

This is the last time you will see me here, unless God prosper me past human hope.

I thank you for the dutiful demeanour

which never—no not once—in any of you have I found wanting, though severely tried when discipline might seem without reward.

Fortune has not been kind to me, good friends; but let not that deprive me of your loves.

10 or of your good report. Be this the word: My rule was brief, calamitous, but just.

Then fare ye well, ye citizens of Ghent.

Words. 'Fare ye well': χαίρετε, ὧ χαίρετε, χαίροιτε, χαίροιτ' ἄν, χαίρειν λέγω. 'Citizens': ὧ ἄνδρες, ὧνδρες. 'Of Ghent' is easily Grecised as Γαντικός.

Form. We have among the above words a cretic  $\Gamma \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa o i$ ; and as  $\delta \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$  naturally takes place before it, the line should be of Type II. Either  $\chi \alpha i \rho \iota \iota \iota^* \delta \nu$  or  $\delta \chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon$  would just do for the beginning, as either forms a palimbacchius. But the effect is better if we take a whole line to the address, as the English does. We must try what can be done to that end. Observe that  $\chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \iota \iota \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$  is a four-syllable group suitable to follow the cretic. If we use this, the construction must be completed with  $\delta \mu a s$ , and a natural particle to add is  $\delta \rho a$ , 'as it seems.'  $\delta \mu a s$   $\delta \rho a$  in elision is also a palimbacchius, which is what we want.

ύμας ἄρ', ὧνδρες Γαντικοί, χαίρειν λέγω.

This, however, gives a forced prominence to the pronoun; and it will be better to repeat  $\chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ; or as that will not scan, replace it by  $\chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon \epsilon \mu \omega$ :

ω χαίρετ' ἄνδρες Γαντικοί, χαίροιτέ μοι.

This is the last time you will see me here.

Words. 'Last time': ὕστατον, πανύστατον. 'See': ὁρᾶν, βλέπειν, &c. 'Here': ἐνθάδε, ἐνταῦθα.

The Form of expression is a familiar catch. In English, if it is desired to emphasize a word, the word has to be put in a separate sentence: 'it is I who speak,' 'it was this I wanted.' But in Greek, emphasis is made by putting the word out of its natural place; at the beginning, if possible, or else at the end of a sentence. 'Last time' will therefore come first. Another mistake beginners will probably make in this sentence is to translate 'will see' literally. The right way is to render it 'For the last time you now see me': ὖστατόν με νῦν ὁρᾶτε. A link is necessary, and yáp is the best. It will now be noticed that υστατον γάρ needs only one syllable before it to complete a penthemimer. A longer word is to hand in the compound πανύστατον, which suits our present purpose. Again: ορατέ με may fill the last two feet, leaving a cretic gap. Is there any word meaning 'here' which has the required scansion? There is none, and  $\partial \theta \partial \theta$  (in elision) falls short of it by a syllable. But it is easy to turn the verb into a compound, and then we have

πανύστατον γὰρ ἐνθάδ' εἰσορᾶτέ με....

unless God prosper me past human hope.

Words. 'God':  $\theta$ εοί,  $\theta$ εός τις,  $\theta$ εῶν τις. 'Prosper':  $\beta$ οη $\theta$ εῖν, or subst.  $\beta$ οή $\theta$ εια. 'Past': i.e. beyond,  $\pi$ έρα; or in paraphrase, 'greater help than...,'  $\mu$ είζων ἢ κατά.... 'Human hope': ἐλπὶς  $\beta$ ροτῶν οr  $\beta$ ροτεία, ἀνθρώπων, etc.

Form.  $\epsilon i \mu \eta'$  of course should begin the line. A future indicative may follow  $(\beta o \eta \theta \eta' \sigma \epsilon \iota)$ , or an optative  $(\beta o \eta \theta o i)$ , Type I., or  $\beta o \eta \theta o i \eta$ , the more usual form). The two longer words need only the addition of  $\tau \iota s$  to make up a hephthemimer of Type XI. The student, it is to be hoped, will not fail to see

that  $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\pi\hat{\iota}\delta_{0s}$   $\pi\hat{\epsilon}\rho a$  gives a cretic and iambus which will complete the line. The next should begin with  $\theta\epsilon\hat{o}s$ , and a bacchius to follow is  $\beta\rho\sigma\tau\epsilon\hat{\iota}as$ :

εἰ μὴ βοηθοίη τις ἐλπίδος πέρα θεὸς βροτείας.

Note the additional force of these two words in antithesis.

I thank you for the dutiful demeanour 5 which never—no not once—in any of you have I found wanting.

Words. 'Thank': οἶδα χάριν. The construction should be ὅτι or some equivalent, with dependent clause. 'Dutiful demeanour': i.e. 'that you have behaved dutifully,' or 'that you have shown (used) obedience':  $\pi \epsilon \iota \theta a \rho \chi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$  may be used, or  $\pi \epsilon \iota \theta a \rho \chi \hat{\iota} \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ,  $\pi \epsilon \iota \theta a \rho \chi c \hat{\iota} \nu$  student should always think of all possible parts of speech which may express a meaning, so as to vary the construction if necessary. 'Wanting': use  $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ,  $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \iota \iota \pi \hat{\eta} s$ .

Form. We begin after the caesura; and note first that olda may follow at once (Type V.), with a suitable conjunction, such as δέ. χάριν may come last, and it is allowable to add such an adjective as is found in thanksgiving. πολλήν occurs first to the mind, but this will not scan; however, the same idea will scan if put negatively, οὐ σμικράν. This line finished, we pass on to the next; and the beginner will probably elide the final of ore. But this is never done in iambic verse, either tragic or comic. We may if we please substitute ἐπεί, but there are more exact synonyms which will do, ουνεκα or όθούνεκα. If we add ἀεί to the latter, a penthemimer is ready made.  $\pi \epsilon i \theta a \rho \chi i a$  may now end the line, the verb  $\epsilon \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ being kept for the next. A careful composer will not fail to observe that there is alliteration in the English, 'dutiful demeanour'; and if an alliterative adjective can be found for πειθαρχία, such as will suit the context, it will be justified.  $\pi a \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta}$ s will do for the purpose, and this we accordingly use for the cretic. 'To me' remains, and this is quite simply translated by  $\pi \rho \dot{o}$ s  $\mu \epsilon$ , which may follow  $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ . The sentence may now be comprest by substituting a genitive for the relative clause, and we now get as the result of our labours:

οΐδα δ' οὐ σμικρὰν χάριν ἦs πρόs μ' ἐχρῆσθε παντελοῦς πειθαρχίας.

Having already used a relative, it would be clumsy to translate 'which' by another; but this part is easily introduced by a participle,  $o\mathring{v}\kappa \ \grave{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\iota\pi\acute{o}\nu\tau\epsilon_{5}$ . 'Never, no not once' can easily be rendered by accumulating negatives in the Greek manner:  $o\mathring{v}\acute{\delta}\grave{\epsilon}\nu$   $o\mathring{v}\acute{\delta}\epsilon\pi\acute{\omega}\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon$ , for example,  $o\mathring{v}\acute{\delta}\acute{\epsilon}\nu$  meaning 'in no respect.' These words form a final hephthemimer as they are, and we get as the complete line

οὖκ ἐλλιπόντες οὖδὲν οὖδεπώποτε.

though severely tried when discipline might seem without reward.

Words. 'Tried': γυμνάζειν, πειρᾶν, ἐλέγχειν (or ἐξ-); or use ἀγών, ἔλεγχος. 'Severely': more simple in Greek, πολύ, πολλά, δεινά; or use βαρύς. 'Discipline':  $\pi ειθαρχία$ , but a variant is better; say εὐταξία. 'Without reward': ἀμισθος.

Form. The construction may be participial;  $\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\omega\mu\epsilon\nuo\iota$   $\pi\epsilon\rho$   $\pio\lambda\lambda\acute{a}$  or  $\delta\epsilon\iota\nu\acute{a}$  would make a beginning. But the sentence begins better with oidé, which expresses 'even' in a negative sentence. The participles do not look promising; and we may paraphrase (say)  $\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\gamma\chi\acute{a}\mu\epsilon\nuo\iota$  by  $\epsilon\acute{a}s$   $\epsilon\dot{a}\lambda\epsilon\gamma\chio\nu$   $\epsilon\lambda\theta\acute{a}\nu\tau\epsilon$ , or  $\muo\lambda\acute{a}\nu\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\sigma\tau\acute{a}\nu\tau\epsilon$ . If now we use the first phrase, treating  $\epsilon\lambda\theta\acute{a}\nu\tau\epsilon$  as a molossus, and placing  $\beta\alpha\rho\acute{a}\nu$  last, we have a line done all but one trochee; which may fairly be filled with some word meaning 'often' or 'at times':  $\epsilon\sigma\theta$ '  $\delta\tau\epsilon$ . This gives the following:

οὐδ' εἰς ἔλεγχον ἔσθ' ὅτ' ἐλθόντες βαρύν.

For the next line we have an amphibrachys ( $\mathring{a}\mu\iota\sigma\theta\sigma$ s), and a four-syllable word ( $\epsilon\mathring{v}\tau a\xi(a)$ ); so that we can see our way clear to a simple line if there be found but a cretic. What shall the verb be? is the question. 'Might seem' is of course a past tense, and in prose would be  $\mathring{\epsilon}\delta\circ\xi\epsilon\nu$   $\mathring{a}\nu$ ; can this be worked into a cretic by any means? By using the emphatic  $\kappa a\ell$  this is easy; for  $\kappa a\ell$  and  $\mathring{a}\nu$  coalesce into  $\kappa \mathring{a}\nu$ , and  $\kappa \mathring{a}\nu$   $\mathring{\epsilon}\delta\circ\xi\epsilon$  becomes a cretic by elision. All we now want is a word to precede  $\mathring{a}\mu\iota\sigma\theta$ os, and meaning 'when'; for example  $\mathring{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\ell$ , or  $\mathring{\delta}\pi$ ov  $\gamma\epsilon$ :

οπου γ' ἄμισθος κᾶν ἔδοξ' εὐταξία.

(Some may prefer a conditional sentence. If so, be careful to use the optative, which expresses indefinite frequency in past time; not  $\hat{\epsilon} d\nu$ , which would refer to the future.)

Fortune has not been kind to me, good friends.

Words. 'Fortune': τύχη, δαίμων. 'Kind': ἴλεως. A particle should be added: τοι is rather didactic, δή ('as you see') more sympathetic.

Form. The Greek idiom requires  $\chi\rho\bar{\eta}\sigma\theta a\iota$  in this sentence: 'I have not found Fortune kind,' où κέχρημαι τύχη ἴλεφ. οὐ γὰρ κέχρημαι would do well enough to begin with, but it will be difficult to go on without  $\gamma\epsilon$  ex machina. On the other hand, a slightly different arrangement gives another kind of penthemimer, οὐχ ἴλεφ δή, predicative. The article added to τύχη makes a cretic; and the verb may be used in the plural, a frequent idiom with speakers:

ούχ ίλεφ δή τή τύχη κεχρήμεθα.

'Friends' is unnecessary; but it may easily be brought in later.

but let not that deprive me of your loves, or of your good report.

Words. 'Deprive': ἀπο-στερεῖν. 'Love': difficult to turn by a noun, but στέργηθρον may do, or κήδευμα, or προθυμία. Do not suppose these all have the same meaning; the verb must be chosen to suit them. 'Good report': καλὴ βάξις lit., or use κλύειν εὖ.

Form. As  $\kappa\lambda\dot{\nu}\epsilon\iota\nu$   $\epsilon\dot{\nu}$  is so good a phrase, it will be more convenient to reverse the order of the clauses: 'May I be spoken well of as before, and not be deprived of your love.'  $\kappa\lambda\dot{\nu}o\iota\mu$ '  $\epsilon\dot{\nu}$  makes a bacchius, and a strong 'but' is  $\ddot{o}\mu\omega$ s, which we place before it.  $\dot{\omega}$ s  $\tau\dot{o}$   $\pi\rho\dot{\iota}\nu$  might follow, but for the hiatus. Turn this negatively, and you have 'no less than before,'  $\mu\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\dot{\eta}\sigma\sigma\sigma\nu$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\tau\dot{o}$   $\pi\rho\dot{\iota}\nu$ , which scans without more ado:

ομως κλύοιμ' εὖ μηδὲν ἦσσον ἢ τὸ πρίν....

'And may I not be deprived' also scans,  $\mu\eta\delta \delta$   $\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho o\ell\mu\eta\nu$ .  $\tau\eta s$   $\pi\rho o\theta v\mu las$  may stand next (Type X.). 'Your' cannot be got in; but the vocative  $\phi l\lambda ol$  may be added, and in this connection the meaning must be 'your,' unless there were a stronger reason to the contrary:

μηδε στεροίμην της προθυμίας, φίλοι....

Be this the word: My rule was brief, calamitous, but just.

Words. 'Rule': τυραννίς; ἀρχή better, as less invidious. 'Brief': βραχύς. 'Calamitous': οἰκτρός, δύστηνος, δυσ-άθλιος, etc. 'Just': δίκαιος, ἔνδικος.

Form. 'Be this the word' cannot be translated literally. We shall use as a model καί ποτέ τις εἴπησιν (Homer), or similar phrases. Connect this with the rest of the sentence by τοτε or τίς: τίνα, or τίς καν τιν' εἰπεῖν, a penthemimer. The idiom also requires ἀρχή to come into this part of the

sentence, 'about my rule,' περὶ τῆς ἐμῆς ἀρχῆς. Here is a cretic, τῆς ἐμῆς, and by placing περί last we get the line ώς κἄν τιν' εἰπεῖν τῆς ἐμῆς ἀρχῆς πέρι....

In the remainder one point must not be missed. 'Calamitous' and 'just' are in strong contrast, and 'brief' stands on a different footing. In prose it would be οἰκτρῶς μέν, δικαίως δὲ ἢρχεν, and 'brief' would be put in a different form, say δλίγον χρόνον, οὖ συχνὸν χρόνον. Now οἰκτρῶς μέν begins the line well enough, but leaves no room for the bacchius δικαίως. However, ἐνδίκως may replace it in the First Cretic Position. ἢρχεν may follow either; but as δλίγον can only fall after οἰκτρῶς μέν, we place ἢρχεν after ἐνδίκως δέ. Then χρόνον takes the last place, and we have finally

οἰκτρῶς μὲν ὀλίγον ἐνδίκως δ' ἦρχεν χρόνον.
This sentence is in direct speech, and in prose ὅτι would introduce it; but that is not indispensable in verse.

### IX.

### COURAGE IN DIFFICULTIES.

Great lords, wise men ne'er sit and wail their loss, but cheerly seek how to redress their harms. What though the mast be now blown overboard, the cable broke, the holding-anchor lost, and half our sailors swallowed in the flood? Yet lives our pilot still: is't meet that he should leave the helm, and, like a fearful lad, with tearful eyes add water to the sea, and give more strength to that which hath too much; whiles, in his moan, the ship splits on the rock, which industry and courage might have saved? Ah, what a shame! ah, what a fault were this!

SHAKESPEARE.

Great lords, wise men ne'er sit and wail their loss,

Words. 'Great lords': ἄνδρες φέριστοι, etc. 'Sit': literal, or use ἐντὸς μένειν, 'to be a stay-at-home.' 'Wail':  $\theta \rho \eta \nu \hat{\omega}$ , aἰάζω, οἰμώζω, etc. 'Loss': ζημία, or paraphrase such as τὸ μὴ κατορθοῦν; δυστύχημα.

Form. ἄνδρες φέριστοι, which is already a penthemimer, naturally stands first. 'Wise men' may be οἱ σοφοί, or more idiomatically, σοφός τις; and, taking the conditional form of sentence which comes unprompted into the mind, we get our αν σοφός τις, another penthemimer. If we follow up this hint, it will be necessary to put 'wail their loss,' or part of it, into the first line. ζημίαν drops easily into the First Cretic Position, but οἰμώζοι, a molossus, can find no place in the same line as a cretic. But  $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$  is called for by the context, as looking forward to δέ in the second clause. Place this after ζημίαν, and the group becomes a double cretic. In this type of verse (Type VI.) the student will remember that a final cretic is necessary. The words of the English furnish no other; but one is readily evolved. To a noun used indefinitely  $\tau wa$  may be added; and a paraphrase for τινα is εἰ τύχοι, 'should there be one.' The second line is already half done; and οἰμώζοι falls into its place, leaving the last foot for μένων. A trochee is now needed to complete the line, and evros is a trochee,

> ανδρες φέριστοι, ζημίαν μέν, εἰ τύχοι, οὐκ αν σοφός τις ἐντὸς οἰμώζοι μένων.

but cheerly seek how to redress their harms.

Words. 'Cheerly': εὖθυμος. 'Seek': ζητεῖν, σπεύδειν. 'Redress': use metaphor ἰᾶσθαι; or, less picturesque, ὀρθοῦν. 'Harm': νόσος, κακόν, βλάβη.

Form. Here we perceive another molossus:  $i \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ . As for  $\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ , in its usual form  $\zeta \eta \tau c i \eta$  it is impossible in iambic verse: the  $\zeta$  would lengthen any preceding vowel, and hence

a trochee could never precede it. We must therefore use the shorter and rarer form  $\zeta\eta\tau\sigma\hat{i}$ . Now this, with the necessary  $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ , and  $\tilde{a}\nu$  following, makes a palimbacchius:  $\zeta\eta\tau\sigma\hat{i}$   $\delta'$   $\tilde{a}\nu$ . We now need a long word of four syllables (as in Type VIII.), or a double trochee, to come next this first group.  $\epsilon\dot{\nu}\theta\nu\mu\sigma$  is too short by a syllable; but put the same thought negatively,  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\kappa$   $\tilde{a}\theta\nu\mu\sigma$ , and you have it.  $\kappa\alpha\kappa\dot{\alpha}$  will now stand last, and the line runs thus:

ζητοί δ' αν οὐκ ἄθυμος ἰᾶσθαι κακά.

What though the mast be now blown overboard, the cable broke, the holding-anchor lost, and half our sailors swallowed in the flood?

Words. 'What though':  $\epsilon \hat{i}$ , the sentence put as a simple conditional. 'Mast':  $i\sigma r \delta s$ . 'Blown overboard': use  $\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ , adding 'into the sea' if you will, with some instrumental phrase,  $\pi \nu o a \hat{i} s$  or the like. 'Cable':  $\kappa a \lambda \omega s$ . 'Broke':  $a \pi o \rho \rho a \gamma \hat{\eta} - \nu a \iota$ , or adj.  $\delta \iota \chi o \rho \rho a \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ . 'Anchor':  $a \gamma \kappa \bar{\nu} \rho a$ , or  $a \sigma \lambda c \gamma s$ , which is literally the 'holding-anchor,' Soph. Frag. 669. 'Sailors':  $\nu a \nu \tau \iota \lambda c \iota$ ,  $\nu a \nu \tau a \iota$ ,  $\nu a \nu \beta a \tau a \iota$  ( $a \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$ ). 'Swallowed,' etc.:  $\pi o \nu \tau \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$ .

Form. Sea metaphors are as familiar in Greek as in English, and the sentence may be translated literally. On the other hand, it is just as neat and rather more convenient to introduce it by a simile: 'What if we are like sailors in a storm, whose mast,' etc. This gives  $\epsilon i \, \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \, \gamma \hat{\alpha} \rho$  as a beginning (palimbacchius), and  $\mathring{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$  or  $\mathring{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$  to follow. There is more than one cretic available:  $\nu \alpha \nu \beta \acute{\alpha} \tau \alpha \iota$ ,  $\nu \alpha \nu \tau \acute{\alpha} \lambda c$ . 'To be in storm' is  $\sigma \alpha \lambda \epsilon \acute{\nu} \epsilon \nu \nu$ , which gives the desired four-syllable group for the verse-end. We thus get a line of Type II.:

εὶ μὲν γάρ, ὧσπερ ναυβάται, σαλεύομεν....

of will of course begin the next line, and if we place ioro's next, the result is another palimbacchius, but of different

internal rhythm. ἔπεσε may stand next as trochee-equivalent. As the mast has not merely fallen, but been 'blown' down, it is necessary to add the winds in one shape or another:  $\tau a \hat{i} \hat{s}$  πνοα $\hat{i} \hat{s}$  as an instrumental, or ἐκ πνο $\hat{i} \hat{s}$ , to which we may add a 'stormy' epithet, δυσχείμερος for example, or δυσπέμφελος:

οίς ίστος έπεσεν έκ πνοής δυσπεμφέλου....

The next phrase may be a similar sentence, or a genitive absolute. The line may begin with  $\delta\iota\chi o\rho\rho a\gamma\acute{\eta}s$ , but this helps no further. On the other hand,  $\mathring{a}\pi o\rho\rho a\gamma\acute{\epsilon}\nu\tau\sigma s$  suggests Type XI., and before it we may place  $\kappa\acute{a}\lambda\omega$  with a conjunction  $\delta\acute{\epsilon}$  (if a finite verb is to come), or  $\tau\epsilon$  (if another genitive). The latter suits our line better; for  $\mathring{\iota}\sigma\chi\acute{a}\delta\sigma s$   $\mathring{\tau}$   $\mathring{a}\pi\sigma s$  will just complete it:

κάλω τ' ἀπορραγέντος ἰσχάδος τ' ἄπο....

'The ship being loosed' gives at once νεώς λυθείσης for the first penthemimer of the next line. It were now better to use another finite verb; else these genitives pall. The sense we have to translate is 'Half the sailors have been lost in the flood.' 'Half' must not be translated literally. A Greek would probably say 'the best of the sailors,' ἀκμή, or 'many,' πολλοί, οἱ μέν, if the sentence admitted. A poetical paraphrase would be ναυτίλων ἀνδρών ἀκμή, or stronger still ναυκράτωρ ἀνδρῶν ἀκμή; either of which will complete this verse. The verb is ἐποντίσθησαν, again suggesting Type XI.; 'lost' is ολωλότες, which in its compound with έξ- completes the line onward. One foot now remains, and no necessary word to fill But we may add the proper instrumental to the verb. 'in the flood,' if some noun can be found which will scan. κύμασιν will do, since a dactyl is admissible in the first foot:

...νεως λυθείσης, ναυτίλων ανδρών ακμή κύμασιν εποντίσθησαν εξολωλότες.

Yet lives our pilot still.

Words. 'Pilot': οἰακοστρόφος, κυβερνήτης.

Form. 'Yet' is  $\delta\mu\omega$ s, 'lives' is  $\zeta\hat{\eta}$ ; and if we add 'still,'  $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\iota$ , we get an iambus and a bacchius ( $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\iota$   $\zeta\hat{\eta}$ , with  $\iota$  lengthened by the double letter). The beginner, if he gets thus far, will probably go on to write  $\nu\hat{\nu}\nu$   $\kappa\nu\beta\epsilon\rho\nu\hat{\eta}\tau\eta$ s (Type X.); which is passable. But  $\nu\hat{\nu}\nu$  has an intrusive air;  $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\iota$  gives the same sense in a more natural way. But the other word,  $o\hat{\iota}a\kappaο\sigma\tau\rho\hat{\sigma}\phi$ os, should recal to the student that passage where it is used (Medea 523); and he should remember the epithet  $\kappa\epsilon\delta\nu\hat{\sigma}s$  there used with it, which is equally suitable here. He will then write:

όμως έτι ζή κεδνός οιακοστρόφος.

is't meet that he should leave the helm, and, like a fearful lad, with tearful eyes add water to the sea...

Words. 'Meet':  $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota$ . 'Helm': οἴαξ. 'Like': δίκην. 'Fearful':  $\mu \alpha \lambda \theta \alpha \kappa \delta s$ . The rest must be paraphrased; see below.

Form. The English gives  $\delta\rho a$ , or with a natural particle  $\delta\rho$  ov.  $\pi\rho\epsilon'\pi\epsilon\iota$  avov is impossible. If the pronoun be omitted, we must seek some other word to settle the Type of verse; but replace it by  $\nu\iota\nu$ , and you have an amphibrach. 'Leave the helm' gives a palimbacchius o $\delta a\kappa a$ , and a spondee  $\lambda\epsilon(\pi\epsilon\iota\nu)$ , which may go in the next verse; this therefore is the place for the simile. 'Like a fearful lad' is metrical without sophisticating:  $\mu a\lambda\theta a\kappa o\hat{\nu}$  (cretic)  $\pi a\iota\delta\delta$ s  $\delta(\kappa\eta\nu)$  (four-syllable group):

άρ' οὖν πρέπει νιν, μαλθακοῦ παιδὸς δίκην, οἴακα λείπειν....

What remains is somewhat affected, and it is neither meet nor possible to translate it literally. The student must draw

<sup>1</sup> προδοῦναι might be used.

on his memory to find something that will do, and beat out a phrase such as this: 'increase the sea with a flood of tears,' or 'by pouring tears out of his eyes.' Now 'flood of tears' is a worn-out metaphor in English, but in Greek it is strong. Yet it is actually used: Eur. Alc. 183 πᾶν δὲ δέμνιον ὀφθαλμοτέγκτφ δεύεται πλημμυρίδι. This is exactly the turn of phrase we are looking for. Place δακρύων instead of δεύεται, and you have the very thing. To finish the line we left half done, we have to bring in a conjunction, verb, and the word 'sea'; αὔξειν or αὖξάνειν θάλασσαν. Now here we have the materials for a hephthemimer. Place καὶ before θάλασσαν, there is a double trochee (Type VI.); and αὖξάνειν may stand as final cretic:

...καὶ θάλασσαν αὐξάνειν ὀφθαλμοτέγκτω δακρύων πλημμυρίδι.

and give more strength to that which hath too much.

Words. 'Strength': κράτος. 'Too much': λἴαν, ἄγαν.

This line is equally affected, and it is to be feared that it will never look well in Greek. Such an exaggeration of sentiment would have been impossible to Sophocles, and we may say then that he never could have written such a line. But there it is, and it has to be translated; our business must be to so translate it that a Greek could have understood what was meant. This is not difficult. Soûvai is 'to give,' and κράτος with the conjunction τε may precede it as an amphibrach. It will be rather neater to express the idea by a participle: κράτος δίδοντα. But 'that which has too much' must not be translated τῷ λίαν ἔχοντι, or anything of the sort. The noun understood is 'sea'; no Greek would have been likely to take  $\tau \hat{\omega}$  exort as referring to the sea, nor indeed would he have used hiav exert together, we may be sure, when an adjective is really wanted. A Greek would put the thought into the verb, using κρατύνω or a synonym. This we will also do.  $τ\hat{\eta}$  κρατυνούσ $\eta$  is a five-syllable group suited to Type X., and  $\lambda$ ίαν may stand last:

κράτος διδόντα τῆ κρατυνούση λίαν.

whiles, in his moan, the ship splits on the rock, which industry and courage might have saved?

Words. 'Whiles': ἐν ῷ. 'In his moan': στένοντος οτ γοῶντος αὐτοῦ (τοῦδε). 'Split': συντρίβεσθαι, οτ use κατέαγεν, ἐάγη. 'Ship': ναῦς, πλοῖον. 'Rock': πέτρα, ἔρμα, χοιράς, &c. 'Save': σῷζω. 'Industry': no convenient noun, but ἄοκνος may be useful. 'Courage': θάρσος, ἀνδρεία, &c.

Form. A literal translation gives  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\dot{\phi}$  for the first group, and  $\tau \delta \pi \lambda o i o \nu$  (amphibrach) for the second;  $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \rho i \beta \epsilon \tau a \iota$  will stand last. 'On the rocks' is in Greek idiom  $\pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \epsilon \tau \rho a \iota s$ , the thing which is pierced being the centre of thought, not that which pierces. ( $\pi \rho \delta s \pi \epsilon \tau \rho a \iota s$  might also stand, or  $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho a \iota s$  alone, besides other constructions.) Now  $\pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \epsilon \tau \rho a \iota s$  is equivalent to a cretic with the first syllable resolved; and we thus have a complete line:

# έν ῷ τὸ πλοίον περὶ πέτραις συντρίβεται....

στένοντος αὐτοῦ may begin the next line; but it had better be left out for more than one reason. The chief reason is that the phrase might imply he was groaning at the catastrophe, and might be retranslated 'to his dismay.' This is not the meaning at all, and it would spoil the picture of unconsciousness which is drawn by the original. Another reason is, that it ought to come directly after ἐν ῷ if it comes at all; and lastly, it will mean in the end another whole line of Greek. The sense is equally clear without it; be it therefore omitted. The succeeding sentence should be made personal in Greek, the same subject being kept: 'which he, had he been ἄοκνος and ἀνδρεῖος, might have saved,' ἔσωσεν ἄν. 'Had he been' is simply ὧν, the ἄν giving a conditional cast to the whole

sentence. Thus we have a number of metrical possibilities: four-syllable groups ἔσωσεν ἄν, ἄοκνος ὧν, ἀνδρεῖος ὧν; amphibrachys ἄοκνος, palimbacchius ἀνδρεῖος. The emphatic καὶ may be added; this will give ὁ κᾶν for the first group, and ἔσωσε may follow. This is a line of Type I., but no cretic appears. However, we have only to elide the final of ἔσωσε, placing after it either of the adjectives, to get a hephthemimer of Type IV. The other adjective with ὧν makes a four-syllable final, if a conjunction can be found to stand between. This conjunction must be, or become, a monosyllable, and must begin with a vowel. Hence καὶ will not do; but just such a word as we want is ἢδέ:

... ο καν έσωσ' ανδρείος ήδ' ασκνος ών.

Ah, what a shame! ah, what a fault were this!

Words. 'Shame': ὄνειδος. 'Fault': ἀμάρτημα, κακόν, κακουργία.

πως οὐκ ὄνειδος τοῦτο; πως οὐχὶ βλάβη;

### X.

## QUEEN CATHERINE'S SPEECH.

Sir, I desire you do me right and justice, and to bestow your pity on me: for I am a most poor woman, and a stranger, born out of your dominions; having here
5 no judge indifferent, nor no more assurance of equal friendship and proceeding. Alas, Sir, in what have I offended you? what cause hath my behaviour given to your displeasure, that thus you should proceed to put me off,
10 and take your good grace from me? Heaven witness, I have been to you a true and humble wife, at all times to your will conformable: ever in fear to kindle your dislike, yea, subject to your countenance,—glad, or sorry.

SHAKESPEARE.

Sir, I desire you do me right and justice.

Words. 'Sir': ἀναξ, ἀναξ, φέριστε. 'Right and justice': δίκη, γέρας, θέμις, or use εὖ καὶ δικαίως, ἃ χρή, ώς χρεών. 'Do': δρᾶν (acc., not dat.), or κρίνειν τἀμά. 'Desire': αἰτῶ, ἀξιῶ, not λίσσομαι or the like which would mean 'I beseech.' The tone is confident and dignified.

Form. With the introductory  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$  it is easy to put together the first penthemimer:  $a i \tau \widetilde{\omega} \quad \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$  is a palimbacchius, and  $\widetilde{\omega} \nu a \acute{\epsilon}$  a spondee. A cretic also appears among the phrases suggested:  $\widetilde{\omega} s \quad \chi \rho \epsilon \omega \nu$ . 'Do me' will be  $\nu \acute{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \mu o \iota$ ;  $\sigma \epsilon$  should be added, and we may then arrange the words so as to scan:

αἰτῶ μέν, ὧναξ, ὡς χρεών σ' ἐμοὶ νέμειν.

The 'right and justice' may be rendered γέρας δίκαιον.

and to bestow your pity on me: for I am a most poor woman, and a stranger, born out of your dominions.

Words. 'Pity': οἰκτίρειν, κατ-, ἐπ-; or a longer paraphrase like the English, ἔχειν δι' οἴκτου, οἶκτου ἔχειν; αἰδεῖσθαι. Adjectives are οἰκτίρμων, μηδ' ἀνοικτίρμων γενοῦ, ἀc. 'Poor': δύστηνος, δυστυχής, τλήμων, ἀc. 'Stranger, born, ἀc.': ξένος, ἔπηλυς, ἀλλόθρους; ἀπὸ (ἐκ) βαρβάρου χθονός, οὐκ ἔντοπος γεγώσα.

Form. No cretic seems to be forthcoming, but a line of Type X. may be made with καὶ κατοικτίρειν, followed by ἐπεί, 'for.' The two parts 'poor woman' and 'stranger' may be introduced by πρῶτον μὲν and ἔπειτα δέ, which suit the occasion—a pleading in court. πρῶτον μέν εἰμι begins the line naturally enough; and the last two adjectives suggested for 'poor' make a five-syllable word in the superlative convenient for Type IX. or X.: τλημονεστάτη, δυστυχεστάτη. The line will end with γυνή. Be careful not to translate 'out of your dominions' literally; for ἐκ τῆς σῆς χθονός would mean 'sprung from,' which is just the opposite. The first group may be a palimbacchius, ξένη τε; ἔντοπος will stand for a cretic in the First Position before γεγώσα, while the phrase may have τῆδε before it and γŷ after. There is now just space left for καὶ οὖ in crasis. The lines therefore run:

...καὶ κατοικτίρειν, ἐπεὶ πρῶτον μέν εἰμι τλημονεστάτη γυνή, ξένη τε κοὐ τῆδ ἔντοπος γεγῶσα γῆ.

having here 5 no judge indifferent, nor no more assurance of equal friendship and proceeding.

Words. 'Indifferent,' i.e. 'impartial': ἴσος, κοινός. 'Assurance': πίστις. 'Friendship': εὖνοια. 'Proceeding': δίκη, κρίσις, ψῆφος. 'Here': ἐνθάδε, ἐνταῦθα. 'More': ἄλλος.

Form.  $\xi_{\pi} \epsilon_{\iota \iota \iota \tau} \alpha \delta \epsilon$  will be the first words, leaving room for the spondee or trochee of Type II. The word must begin with a vowel; and either of those suggested for 'here' will do. 'I have not' is a cretic at once,  $oi\kappa \xi_{\chi\omega}$  or  $oi\iota^* \xi_{\chi\omega}$ , and a literal rendering of the object gives  $\kappa \rho_{\iota \iota \tau} \dot{\gamma}_{\nu} \iota^* \sigma_{\sigma\nu}$ , which completes the line:

ἔπειτα δ' ἐνθάδ' οὖτ' ἔχω κριτὴν ἴσον....

When we set down the significant words of the next sentence,  $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$   $\epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu o i \alpha s$ , we see a trochee and molossus, and no one should now be at a loss where to put these (Type IV.).  $\mathring{a}\lambda\lambda\eta\nu$  may precede these words, and the line will begin with  $o \check{\nu} \tau \epsilon$ . To follow  $o \check{\nu} \tau \epsilon$  a word is needed with a vowel initial; and the particle  $a \check{v}$  'again,' so frequent in double negatives, will do for that place. One short syllable remains to find, and  $\tau \iota \nu a$  suits the sense. There is no room for the rest of the sentence in this line; so we may add an infinitive 'to get,' such as  $\tau \iota \nu \chi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ , which will then govern  $\epsilon \dot{\iota} \nu o i a s$ . The remaining phrase has only to be written down in Greek to show how easy it is to deal with.  $\kappa \alpha \dot{\iota} \kappa \rho i \sigma \epsilon \omega s$  (or  $\psi \dot{\eta} \dot{\phi} o \nu$ )  $\kappa o \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{s}$  will scan at once, when  $\kappa \alpha \dot{\iota}$  is replaced by  $\tau \epsilon$ :

οὖτ' αὖ τιν' ἄλλην πίστιν εὖνοίας τυχεῖν ψήφου τε κοινῆς.

Alas, Sir,

in what have I offended you? what cause hath my behaviour given to your displeasure, that thus you should proceed to put me off, 10 and take your good grace from me?

Words. 'Alas':  $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$ , etc.; but it is better turned by an adjective, or an adjective may be used as well as  $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$ . As  $\hat{\omega}$  πανάθλιε means 'I am sorry for you,' so  $\hat{\eta}$  παναθλία, in apposition to the subject, fairly reproduces 'alas.' 'Offend': άδικ $\hat{\omega}$ . 'Cause': αἰτία, if a noun be used. 'Behaviour,' etc.: para-

phrase with ὀφλισκάνειν or κεκτήσθαι; 'how have I earned thy anger?' 'Put off': ἀπωθείν. 'Grace': εὔνοια, εὖμένεια.

Form. 'In what have I offended' is literally  $\tau i \eta \delta i \kappa \eta \sigma a$   $\sigma \epsilon$ ; we change  $\tau i$  to  $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ , and the words scan as a penthemimer. This phrase we then reserve for the beginning of the next line. But it may be asked, how can  $\pi \hat{\omega} s$  go there, when it is wanted to begin the sentence? The answer is, by repeating it; and our half line will begin  $\pi \hat{\omega} s \gamma a \rho$ , and  $\eta \pi a \nu a \theta \lambda i a$  follows according to Type IX. The next question may be introduced by  $\eta$ , as is often done; and this is convenient here, because  $\sigma \epsilon$  must be elided. 'For what cause' may be variously rendered with  $\delta \iota a \lambda$ ,  $\epsilon \xi$ ,  $\delta \pi o \lambda$ .  $\delta \iota' a i \tau \iota \nu a$  completes the line just begun, and we now have

πως γὰρ ή παναθλία, πως ἠδίκησά σ'; ἢ δι' αἰτίαν τίνα....

The sentence should take an idiomatic form, such as this: 'How having earned thy displeasure dost thou reject me?' The speaker thus falls into the accusative case. The proper tense being acrist, we get  $\delta\phi\lambda\alpha\hat{\nu}\sigma\alpha\nu$  for 'earned,' an amphibrach; and 'displeasure' will be  $\delta\rho\gamma\dot{\eta}\nu$ , which can stand either before or after it. 'Put off' will be  $\delta\theta\eta\sigma\alpha$ s or  $d\pi\omega\theta\eta\sigma\alpha$ s; the latter, with the addition of  $\sigma\dot{\eta}\nu$ , may form a five-syllable group of Type IX. The last word may be  $\epsilon\mu\dot{\epsilon}$  if we prefix  $\tau\epsilon$ , as another verb is to follow,

όφλοῦσαν όργην σην ἀπώθησάς τ' ἐμέ....

'Take your good grace' is  $\hat{a}\phi\epsilon\hat{i}\lambda ov$  or  $\hat{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\hat{i}\lambda ov$   $\hat{r}\eta\nu$   $\hat{\epsilon}\tilde{v}\nu oia\nu$  or  $\hat{\epsilon}v\mu\hat{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon ia\nu$ . The verb, it will be seen, is a molossus; consequently we shall follow Type V. or XI. or some modification of them. Either noun may stand before this verb; but the longer is here better, because we want to fill out the line.  $\kappa a\hat{i}$  will stand first, and a trochee now remains to be found. If we add  $\pi\rho\hat{i}\nu$  to the article, we get our trochee; choosing a longer verb we have

καὶ τὴν πρὶν εὐμένειαν έξαποστερεῖς;

Heaven witness,

I have been to you a true and humble wife, at all times to your will conformable.

Words. 'Heaven witness':  $\theta$ εοὶ ξυνίστορες,  $\theta$ εοὺς μαρτύρομαι; perhaps πρὸς  $\theta$ εῶν, though that is better suited to a request. 'True':  $\pi$ ιστός. 'Humble':  $\epsilon$ ὑπειθής,  $\epsilon$ ὑπιθής; perhaps  $\tau$ απεινός, but this is less respectful. 'To thy will conformable': the same words will do, or  $\epsilon$ μμελής, ξύμμετρος, for 'conformable,'  $\beta$ ούλευμα or  $\beta$ ουλή 'will.' A metaphorical phrase may be useful, e.g.  $\nu$ ερτέρα προσήμενος κώπη, 'sitting at the lower oar,' 'playing second fiddle.' 'Wife':  $\gamma$ ννή, δάμαρ.

Form. 'For' may introduce the sentence; but it is better to use the idiomatic  $\eta_{\tau is}$  or  $\eta_{\tau is}$   $\gamma \epsilon$ , the latter of which as a palimbacchius may stand before  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta$  (Type II.). Now observe that  $\theta \epsilon \sigma v$   $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho \rho \mu a \iota$  will serve to complete the line, if an article be added; the phrase may go in as a parenthesis:

ήτις γε πιστή—τοὺς θεοὺς μαρτύρομαι—....

'I have been a humble wife' is the thought which has to be translated in the next line. The words suggested include a cretic ( $\epsilon i \pi \iota \theta \dot{\eta} s$ ) and a holossus ( $\epsilon i \pi \iota \iota \theta \dot{\eta} s$ ), while  $\delta \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho$  may stand last. The verb may be  $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \phi \nu \kappa \epsilon$ , or, if the construction prefer a participle,  $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha$ ; and  $\dot{a} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon}$  will stand as the first foot. A foot still remains unfilled: trochee or iambus according to the word we choose for 'humble.'  $\kappa a \dot{\epsilon}$  is natural, as another adjective  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta}$  has already been applied to the subject; and an emphatic  $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$  makes up the remaining syllable:

άεὶ γεγώσα, καὶ μάλ' εὐπειθης δάμαρ.

The next line is simple; for  $\xi \acute{\nu}\mu\mu\epsilon\tau\rho\sigma$ s and  $\acute{\epsilon}\mu\mu\epsilon\acute{\nu}\acute{\eta}$ s are both cretics, and 'will' in the proper case,  $\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\epsilon\acute{\nu}\mu\alpha\tau\iota$  or  $\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\epsilon\acute{\nu}\mu\alpha\sigma\iota$ , suits the verse-end. The verse may begin with  $\acute{\epsilon}\epsilon\acute{\iota}$  'at all times,' followed by  $\delta\acute{\epsilon}$ , as the word has already been used; and the necessary possessive  $\sigma\acute{\phi}$  or  $\sigma\sigma\acute{\iota}$ s with the article gives a spondee to follow it:

αεὶ δε τοις σοις ξύμμετρος βουλεύμασι....

ever in fear to kindle your dislike, yea, subject to your countenance,—glad, or sorry.

Words. 'Fear':  $\phi o \beta \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$ ,  $\tau \rho \epsilon i \nu$ ,  $\tau a \rho \beta \epsilon i \nu$ ; with the nouns cognate, which here are not likely to be needed. 'Kindle': the corresponding metaphor would be too strong; we must simply say 'earn,'  $\delta \phi \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ , etc., or 'arouse,'  $\kappa \iota \nu \epsilon i \nu$ , etc. 'Dislike':  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \iota a$ ; or use  $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi \theta o \nu o s$ ,  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ . 'Subject, etc.': use  $\pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$   $\sigma \tau \dot{\sigma} \mu a$ , with  $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ , 'according to.'

Form.  $\tau a \rho \beta \hat{\omega}$   $\gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$  forms a penthemimer; and  $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta a \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma a \dot{\epsilon}$  stand last, with the cretic  $\tau o \hat{\iota} s \tau \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma a \dot{\epsilon}$  between; this may be helped out by adding  $\delta \iota \chi o \sigma \tau a \tau o \hat{\iota} \sigma a \dot{\epsilon}$  if I should quarrel':

ταρβώ γενέσθαι τοις τρόποις επίφθονος διχοστατούσα....

πλάσσω στόμα may end the line just commenced; and a simple expedient for the cretic is to use some phrase meaning always: διὰ τέλους. The last line will be 'glad or sorry according to thine.' Observe that Greek does not disjoin but conjoin in phrases like this: εὖφρόν τε καὶ μή, not ή. The remaining words must be paraphrased more at length. The simple prose translation is κατὰ σέ or κατὰ (τὸ) σόν; perhaps the reader will think of the common Greek metaphor of weights and scales, and use  $\hat{\rho}$ οπὴ φρενός. κατά may precede  $\hat{\rho}$ οπή, for the  $\hat{\rho}$ - has the power of lengthening a short vowel; and σῆς completes the line:

...διὰ τέλους πλάσσω στόμα εὖφρόν τε καὶ μὴ σῆς κατὰ ῥοπὴν φρενός.

### XI.

Lady, good cheer and great; the boar is slain.

Herald.

Chorus. Praised be all gods that look toward Calydon.

Althæa. Good news and brief; but by whose happier hand?

Herald. A maiden's and a prophet's and thy son's.

5 Althæa. Well fare the spear that severed him and life.

Herald. Thine own, and not an alien, hast thou blest.

Althæa. Twice be thou too for my sake blest and his.

Herald. At the king's word I rode afoam for thine.

Althera. Thou sayest he tarrieth till they bring the spoil?

10 Herald. Hard by the quarry, where they breathe, O queen.

Althera. Speak thou their change; but some bring flowers and

Althaa. Speak thou their chance; but some bring flowers and crown

these gods and all the lintel, and shed wine, fetch sacrifice and slay; for heaven is good.

SWINBURNE: Atalanta in Calydon.

This piece, like most of Swinburne's line-for-line dialogue, contains more than is easily got into the same number of Greek lines. The translator's skill will be taxed if he is to do this piece without dropping something.

Herald. Lady, good cheer and great; the boar is slain.

Words. 'Good cheer and great': χαῖρε πολλά, or a paraphrase such as εὖάγγελος μὲν ἦλθον. 'Boar': σῦς. 'Lady': γυνή, or in this case, ἄνασσα.

Form.  $\mathring{\omega}$  χαίρε naturally begins the sentence. To follow it we need a trochee or spondee, and πολλά would do. Or we may put ἄνασσα in this place, with πολλά or πολλά γε next. The boar is dead,'  $\mathring{\delta}$  σῦς τέθνηκε, might stand first as far as scansion goes, but the form of the sentence requires the address to precede it. By a little rearrangement we get τέθνηχ  $\mathring{\delta}$  σῦς, a four-syllable group suited to the last place. One syllable remains to find. The beginner may be tempted to use νῦν or

some other obvious pad; but a moment's thought should convince him of the flatness and fatuity of such a word. ως however may be used, as a conjunction:

ὧ χαιρ', ἄνασσα, πολλά γ', ώς τέθνηχ' ὁ σῦς.

Chorus. Praised be all gods that look toward Calydon.

Words. 'Praised': use χάριs in some form, either alone, or with ἔστω, or with οΐδα. 'Calydon' need not be rendered by any such adjective; ἐγχώριος or ἔμπολις is sufficient.

Form. The first words give  $\chi \acute{a}\rho \iota s$   $\theta \epsilon o i s$ , which only needs the longer form of the dative,  $\theta \epsilon o i \sigma \iota$ , to make a full penthemimer.  $\tau o i s$   $\epsilon \gamma \chi \omega \rho i o \iota s$  translates the remainder, but needs a trochee to complete the line. However,  $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \gamma \hat{\eta} s$  may be added, and then if we omit  $\tau o i s$ , the line will run

χάρις θεοίσι τησδε γης έγχωρίοις.

Althaa. Good news and brief; but by whose happier hand?

Words. 'Good news': εὐάγγελος may be used, or εὖ εἶπας, or εὖ ταῦτα. 'Brief': βραχύς, σύντομος. 'Happier': εὐτυχής, or the verb εὐτυχεῖν.

Form. A  $\mu\acute{e}\nu$  and  $\delta\acute{e}$  sentence is clearly called for. The beginning may be made in more than one way. We may place  $\epsilon \mathring{v}$   $\tau a \mathring{v} \tau a$  first as palimbacchius, and  $\sigma v \nu \tau \acute{o} \mu \omega s$   $\delta\acute{e}$  next as double trochee (Type VI.). The  $\mu\acute{e}\nu$  would not be indispensable. Or again we may use  $\beta \rho a \chi \acute{e}\omega s$   $\mu\acute{e}\nu$  for the first group (palimbacchius equivalent,  $\beta \rho a \chi \acute{e}\omega s$  pronounced as two syllables), and place  $\epsilon \mathring{v}$   $\delta\acute{e}$  next. Or  $\epsilon \mathring{v} \pi a s$  may complete the penthemimer, and  $\epsilon \mathring{v}$   $\delta\acute{e}$  may follow. This second position of  $\beta \rho a \chi \acute{e}\omega s$  is more natural. The two ideas that remain cannot be got into the space, though we may say either 'by whose hand?'  $\pi \rho \acute{o}s \tau \acute{t}\nu o s$   $\chi \epsilon \rho \acute{o}s$ ; or 'who was so lucky?'  $\tau \acute{e}s$   $\delta\acute{e}$   $\delta\acute{e}$   $\gamma v \acute{v} \tau \acute{e}s$ ; The question is, which can most easily be understood without saying? Obviously the 'hand.'

βραχέως μεν είπας, εὖ δέ· τίς δ' ἄρ' ηὖτύχει;

Herald. A maiden's and a prophet's and thy son's.

Words. 'Maiden': κόρη, παρθένος. 'Prophet': μάντις. 'Son': νίός, παῖς, τέκνον are the simple words, but there are many periphrases.

Form. The construction is settled by the previous line; these nouns must be in the nominative. 'Son' should be kept to the last, or its emphasis will be lost; the other two do not so much matter, which is lucky, for καὶ μάντις cannot stand second. κόρη τε, however, can (as an amphibrach), and μάντις falls naturally into the first place. (We might write κόρη τε μάντις τ', if elision were used.) The next words shape themselves into a cretic: καὶ τέκνον, with τὸ σόν next, or καὶ τὸ σόν followed by τέκνον. The balance of emphasis is better in καὶ τέκνον τὸ σόν, as we get the three nouns thrown up strongly against the rest of the sentence. The remaining iambus may be suggested by such a line as Soph. Oed. Col. 7—8 ai πάθαι... χώ χρόνος...καὶ τὸ γενναῖον τρίτον. We may use either τρίτος in agreement with the sense (in which case it will be best to place it before the neuter noun, καὶ τρίτος...), or τρίτον in grammatical agreement, which may come last.

μάντις κόρη τε καὶ τρίτος τὸ σὸν τέκνον.

Althwa. Well fare the spear that severed him and life.

Words. 'Well fare': εἶτυχεῖν, or perhaps τρισόλβιος may be useful. 'Spear': δόρν. 'Sever him and life': use βίου νοσφίζειν. Of course κτείνειν alone would give the sense at its simplest; but the form should also be kept, if possible.

replace the relative clause, and this turns out to be a possible cretic,  $\nu o \sigma \phi i \sigma a \nu$ . Thus exactly enough is left, and not too much; for  $\beta i o \nu$  dó $\rho \nu$ , thus arranged, make the fourth group in lines of Type I. or II.

άλλ' εὐτυχοίη νοσφίσαν βίου δόρυ.

Herald. Thine own, and not an alien, hast thou blest.

Words. 'Bless': εὐλογεῖν, or some word that recals εὐ-τυχεῖν by its sound.

Form. The meaning is 'thine own kinsman,' as 'alien' shows; not 'thine own spear,' as the careless observer might imagine. 'His' in the next line bears out the same interpretation. Obviously then the beginning will be τὸν σὸν μέν (palimbacchius), οτ τὸν σόν γε. 'Not an alien' recals a common type of sentence in Greek: κοὐδεὶς ἄλλος, οὐκ ἄλλος τις, etc. Either of these will suit our line in the accusative, making it conform to Type VIII. εὐλογεῖς then falls into the Second Cretic Position; and the line may be completed by some word meaning 'thus,' e.g. the adverbial accusative τάδε.

τὸν σὸν μέν, οὐδέν ἄλλον, εὐλογεῖς τάδε.

Althea. Twice be thou too for my sake blest and his.

Words. 'Twice': δίς. 'Sake': χάριν, ἔκατι.

Form. Either of the words already used may be repeated;  $\delta i \hat{s} = \hat{v} \lambda \delta \gamma \hat{\omega} \sigma \hat{\epsilon}$ , or  $\delta i \hat{s} = \hat{v} \nu \chi \delta i \eta \hat{s}$ . The former allows of a literal translation:  $\hat{\epsilon} \mu \sigma \hat{v} \chi \delta \rho \nu \kappa \epsilon i \nu \sigma v \tau \hat{\epsilon}$  forming a hephthemimer; but the latter gives a more telling line. We shall follow up the verb with  $\kappa a \hat{i} \sigma \hat{v}$ , the pronoun being indispensable; and couple the two persons into  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \sigma \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \delta \rho \nu \nu$ . Now  $\kappa a \hat{i} \sigma \hat{v}$  will be very strong from its position, while  $\delta i \hat{s}$  and  $\delta \iota \sigma \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$  will reinforce one another.

δὶς δ' εὐτυχοίης καὶ σύ, τῶν δισσῶν χάριν.

Herald. At the king's word I rode afoam for thine.

Words. 'King': βασιλεύς, τύραννος, ἄναξ. 'Word': κέλευσμα, ἐφετμή, ἐπιστολή; or by participle κελευσθείς. 'Afoam': use ἱδρώς explained by  $\tilde{\imath}\pi\pi$ ου or the like, else it must refer to the speaker. (ἱδρόω is only Epic.)

Form. The first thing to settle is the meaning of 'thine'; is it 'thy word' or 'thy sake'? Clearly the latter, since he asks no directions from the lady; we must therefore translate σοῦ χάριν. Now as 'sake' cannot be omitted, and there is no short phrase for 'afoam,' it becomes necessary to leave out 'afoam,' or rather to express it by some less exact word such as τάχος, σὺν τάχει, ἐν τάχει, ώς τάχιστα. The idea may be exprest by a verb, as ηπειγόμην or ταχύνομεν, either of which might stand last in the line. If the idea be altogether omitted, πάρειμ' έγω might take this position. The only alternative is to interpolate a line of this sort-'What is it you would say? Speak on.' There would then be room enough for 'afoam.' But it would be a fault in taste so to do, since there is only one really important idea in this verse, and it cannot well be divided. We put therefore έφετμαῖς or κελευσθείς second, as a bacchius, and σοῦ γάριν in the First Cretic Position, completing the line with βασιλέως (anapaest) and ταχύνομεν:

βασιλέως έφετμαις σου χάριν ταχύνομεν.

Althea. Thou sayest he tarrieth till they bring the spoil?

Words. 'Tarry': μένειν. 'Bring': ἐσφέρειν, or φέρειν with defining adverb, such as οἴκαδε. 'Spoil': ἔλωρ, ἄγρα, or 'boar' again. 'Till': ἔως, ἔστ' ἄν.

Form. 'He' must be exprest, and will be some such word as  $\kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu o s$ ,  $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu o s$ . 'Tarries' is the significant word of the sentence, and we will therefore begin with  $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\epsilon}$ : the pronoun may follow. Next will come the conjunction, be it

τως as a monosyllable, or the trochee τστ' τν. We take the latter by preference, because it is likely to help in constructing the verse more than τως. The verb in its proper form is  $\epsilon \sigma \phi \epsilon \rho \omega \sigma \iota$ , and if we place  $\epsilon \lambda \omega \rho$  last (eliding the  $\epsilon \iota$ ) the line is finished:

μένει δ' ἐκείνος ἔστ' ἄν ἐσφέρωσ' ἔλωρ;

The essentials are all here; but if it be desired to give expression to 'thou sayest,' that is easily done. Then we must get a shorter word for 'he':  $\nu\iota\nu$  or  $\sigma\phi\epsilon$ .  $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\iota s$   $\nu\iota\nu$  is an amphibrach, which may follow  $\mu\epsilon\nu\epsilon\iota\nu$ ; and  $\phi\eta$ s  $\nu\iota\nu$  allows our keeping  $\delta\epsilon$ :

μένειν δε φής νιν έστ' αν εσφέρωσ' ελωρ;

Herald. Hard by the quarry, where they breathe, O queen.

Words. 'Quarry': see 'spoil,' 9. 'Hard by': πέλας, πλησίον, or ἐπί with αὐτός, 'right over the quarry.' 'Breathe': use ἀμπνεῖν, ἀμπνοή (= ἀναπνοή).

**Form.**  $\tilde{a}_{\gamma\rho\alpha}$   $\tilde{a}_{\gamma\rho\alpha}$  would do as a translation of 'hard by the quarry,' but is faulty, because a particle (commonly ve) is required in the answer to a question. Moreover, the phrase is of no help structurally. We have a cretic ἀμπνοή, and this implies that Type I. will be possible. For the same reason, πλησίον is not likely to prove useful. On the other hand, ἐπ' ἄγρα is a bacchius, and this should be chosen without hesitation. avri ye can now be placed first in the line. Paraphrasing 'breathe' as ἀμπνοὴν ἔχουσι we get in our cretic. If δή be added to ἔχουσι, a final group of the proper form is ready, and the particle has point: 'they are now resting.' It remains only to bar the hiatus, which is done by prefixing καί. This word may be justified either as a conjunction or as an adverb. If καί is a conjunction, μένει is understood; if an adverb, it emphasises the thought of the second clause.

αὐτῆ γ' ἐπ' ἄγρα, καμπνοὴν ἔχουσι δή.

Althæa. Speak thou their chance;

Words. 'Speak': λέγειν, synonym, or compound, e.g. κατειπεῖν. 'Chance': τύχη, or 'how they are situated,' πω̂ς ἔχουσιν.

Form. Of the words suggested, κατειπεῖν alone furnishes a form which will be useful in the verse-construction. κάτειπε being an amphibrach we may place second, and σὶ μέν will do to begin with. Next may stand either τὴν τύχην or πῶs ἔχουσι. The latter is more idiomatic, and is also a better translation, since it includes 'their': we therefore write

σὺ μὲν κάτειπε πῶς ἔχουσι....

but some bring flowers and crown these gods and all the lintel, and shed wine, fetch sacrifice and slay; for heaven is good.

Words. 'Flowers': ἄνθος. 'Crown': στεφανοῦν, or a phrase with στέφανος. 'Lintel': ὑπέρθυρον. 'Shed': ἐκχεῦν. 'Sacrifice': ἱερόν, ἱρόν. 'Slay': θύω, κτείνω, or use σφαγή. 'Heaven': οἱ θεοί. 'Good': use a word customary in prayers or religious phraseology, such as ἔλεως. The words are simple for the most part, and there is not much choice.

Form. 'Some' and 'others' would ordinarily be οἱ μέν—οἱ δέ, but here they follow mention of another person, σὺ μέν. It will be necessary therefore, either to prefix καί, or to use οἱ δέ for both classes. The latter is most convenient; and if we insert εὐθέως (which is natural enough) we get a four-syllable group suited to the last place in οἱ δ᾽ εὐθέως. The rest of the clause literally translated is φερόντων ἄνθη, which if transposed becomes a penthemimer of Type I. But it is impossible to go on literally, because the imperative of στεφανοῦν is not practicable in iambic verse at all. στεφανοῦν can however be used in the infinitive or subjunctive, and the construction may be varied so as to admit of this: ὧστε

στεφανοῦν, for example, or ώς or ὅπως στεφανῶμεν, ώς παρ $\hat{\eta}$  στεφανοῦν 'that it may be possible to crown.' None of these words is of any use structurally, and we turn to the object. The prospect improves at once when we observe that 'these gods' becomes τούσδε τοὺς θεούς (trochee and cretic). ὅπως might end this line, and the next might begin στεφανῶμεν. 'And all the lintel' becomes πᾶν θ' ὑπέρθυρον, to which we may add τόδε; thus leaving a trochee or spondee to find. Nothing suggests itself but εὐθύς, which would fall flat after εὐθέως. It remains to paraphrase; and we may say 'to honour (or adorn) with garlands,' στεφάνοις ἀγάλλειν, replacing ὅπως by παρ $\hat{\eta}$  in the line above. The sentence now lacks a conjunction; but observe that ώς θεοὺς combine into a cretic group, while τούσδε may become τούτους, and we write

...οί δ' εὐθέως ἄνθη φερόντων, ώς θεοὺς τούτους παρῆ στεφάνοις ἀγάλλειν πᾶν θ' ὑπέρθυρον τόδε.

οί δ' ἐκχεόντων οἶνον, οἱ δ' ἱρὸν τάχα σφαγῆ κτανόντων ' ἴλεω γὰρ οἱ θεοί.

# XII.

Beneath your leafy gloom, ye waving boughs of this old, shady, consecrated grove, as in the goddess' silent sanctuary, with the same shuddering feeling forth I step, 5 as when I trod it first, nor ever here doth my unquiet spirit feel at home.

Long as the mighty will, to which I bow, hath kept me here conceal'd, still, as at first, I feel myself a stranger. For the sea 10 doth sever me, alas! from those I love, and day by day upon the shore I stand, my soul still seeking for the land of Greece. But to my sighs, the hollow-sounding waves bring, save their own hoarse murmurs, no reply.

A. SWANWICK: from Goethe.

Beneath your leafy gloom, ye waving boughs of this old, shady, consecrated grove, as in the goddess' silent sanctuary,

Words. These are the only words that need mention. 'Leafy gloom': εὖφυλλος σκιά or the like; or we may express the idea by 'gloomy leaves,' using σκιερός, δάσκιος, κατάσκιος, ὑπόσκιος, εὖσκίαστος, perhaps εὖσκιος (Pind., Theoc. etc.). Another way is to use the idiom by which the meaning of the noun is repeated in the adjective, thus—μελάμφυλλος σκότος. The idea is capable of many poetic expressions, and a good phrase is ἡλιοστερὴς κόμη. For 'boughs' we have πτόρθος, κλών, κλάδος (κλῆμα in prose). A 'consecrated grove' is ἄλσος, without need of any epithet.

Notice that the third line means 'I walk this grove, feeling it to be a sanctuary of the goddess,' and does not allude to any temple. The proper word to use here is therefore ἄδυτον,

which should be put in apposition with the 'grove.' 'Old':  $\pi a \lambda a \iota \acute{o}s$ ,  $\mathring{a} \rho \chi a \mathring{\iota}os$ ,  $\delta \eta \nu a \iota \acute{o}s$ ; for 'silent' we may use  $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \eta \lambda \acute{o}s$ , as the implication is that people may not speak there, or  $\mathring{a} \phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \kappa \tau o \nu \nu \acute{a} \pi o s$  (Soph.).

Form. We should begin with the invocation, and make the thing addrest singular, i.e. 'grove' rather than 'boughs': 'O shady grove, whose boughs wave in the wind their shady leaves, old and silent sanctuary of the goddess, beneath your leafy gloom I step forth, etc.' ω σκιερον άλσος serves for the first phrase. In the next line will come ήλιοστερή κόμην, with some words for boughs and the verb πάλλουσι, or πάλλουσι κλώνες or κόμην κλάδοι πάλλουσιν; line 1 will be completed by the link-relative of, and a suitable phrase for 'winds': say αὖραι ὑπήνεμοι (Soph.), 'gentle breezes,' for the boughs 'wave' only, not toss about. Troai will take the place of avoai, to get a cretic, οῦ πνοαῖς. If in (3) we use σιωπηλός, this must have kal or some similar monosyllable before it, and come after the penthemimer: i.e. we have as one skeleton δηναιον -καὶ σιωπηλὸν  $\sim$  -. The obvious final is θεᾶs, and ἄδυτον will serve as resolved spondee. Or we may place ἄδυτον first, followed by  $\theta \in \hat{a}s$  and the epithet, and use the phrase of Sophocles quoted above. In point of taste it is a gain to get rid of the καὶ. Thus we get the following version:

ῶ σκιερον ἄλσος, οὖ πνοαῖς ὑπηνέμοις κλάδοι κόμην πάλλουσιν ἡλιοστερῆ, ἄδυτον θεᾶς δηναιόν, ἄφθεγκτον νάπος.

with the same shuddering feeling forth I step, 5 as when I trod it first,

Words. It will be necessary to deal warily with 'feeling,' and the best way is to paraphrase: 'stepping forth I shudder,' πέφρικα, φρίσσω. (Distinguish τρέμω 'I tremble,' ριγώ 'I shiver with cold,' φρίσσω 'my hair stands on end.') For 'step'

there are hosts of phrases: ἔρπειν (not to creep, cp. Eurip. Medea 333), στείχειν, αἴρειν πόδα, βαίνειν πόδα, διώκειν πόδα, ἀνειλίσσειν πόδα, πορθμεύειν πόδα (Eurip., to go delicately, on tiptoe), and some phrases with όδόν or κέλευθον. 'Same' will be οὐδὲν ἦσσον ἢ πάλαι, which is a final hephthemimer.

Form. We must begin with 'beneath your leafy gloom,' which has not yet been translated. Instead of using a preposition for 'beneath,' it is more poetical to use some adjective such as 'shaded by'; and thus κατάσκιος μèν will open the line, with σκότφ at the end, and one of the adjectives suggested. This adjective should scan  $\smile - = -$  because it must have  $\tau \hat{\omega}$  or  $\sigma\hat{\varphi}$  to complete the sense; we will choose  $\mu\epsilon\lambda\acute{a}\mu\phi\nu\lambda\lambda$ os. The next line will be easy to make out of our materials: πέφρικα βαίνουσα (a lady speaks, please observe), followed by the hephthemimer already made. πάλαι however is not precise enough for 'when first I trod it,' and we can go on, without hesitation, ἐπεὶ with τὸ πρῶτον for amphibrach. There is no need to repeat the word βαίνω, but we may choose any convenient phrase for 'came hither,' δεῦρ' ἀφικόμην. line must be finished, because a final iambic break, without conjunction, is not to be thought of; this we can do by adding ἐγώ; which completes the piece.

> κατάσκιος μεν σῷ μελαμφύλλῷ σκότῷ πέφρικα βαίνουσ', οὐδεν ἦσσον ἢ πάλαι ἐπεὶ τὸ πρῶτον δεῦρ' ἀφικόμην ἐγώ.

nor ever here doth my unquiet spirit feel at home.

Words. Any attempt to be literal here will result in something absurd. We cannot for example say: θυμὸς οὐ κατὰ δόμον ἐστὶν ἐνθάδε, nor use any word like δόμος at all. δόμος carries no sentiment with it; and the rendering we want must carry sentiment. This can often be conveyed by

έστία, or Ζεὺς έστιαῖος: but only where a dwelling is spoken of; this phrase is yet more abstract and metaphorical. We may get the idea we want by putting it in another way, and saying: 'Here, unquiet at heart, I always feel a stranger.' This suggests ἐπτοημένη κέαρ, and ξένος.

Form.  $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\tau\sigma\eta\mu\acute{\epsilon}\nu\eta$   $\kappa\acute{\epsilon}a\rho$  forms a final hephthemimer, and the line may begin  $\hat{a}\epsilon\grave{i}$   $\gamma\grave{a}\rho$  (giving reason for the terror)  $\hat{\epsilon}\nu\theta\acute{a}\delta$ . The following line will run 'I grieve, continuing in a strange place';  $\hat{a}\lambda\gamma\hat{\omega}$   $\chi\rho\sigma\nu\acute{\iota}\zeta\sigma\sigma$ '  $\hat{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\acute{o}\pi\omega$   $\xi\acute{\epsilon}\nu\omega$ . Now by the vivid Greek idiom, add  $\xi\acute{\epsilon}\nu\eta$  in agreement with the subject, and in contrast with  $\xi\acute{\epsilon}\nu\omega$ , and the line is done.

ἀεὶ γὰρ ἐνθάδ' ἐπτοημένη κέαρ ἀλγῶ χρονίζουσ' ἐν τόπω-ξένη ξένω.

(By transposing  $\xi \acute{\epsilon} \nu \psi$  and  $\xi \acute{\epsilon} \nu \eta$  a stronger emphasis is got, and a close union suggested betwixt the thoughts.)

Long as the mighty will, to which I bow, hath kept me here conceal'd, still, as at first, I feel myself a stranger.

Words. Here again we must beware of a literal translation. It is not usual in Greek to personify such abstractions as the divine will, but the practice is modern and due to a half-affected reverence. We should boldly use  $\theta\epsilon\delta$ s, or  $\delta\alpha\ell\mu\omega\nu$ , and any epithet like  $\pi\alpha\gamma\kappa\rho\alpha\tau\eta$ s will go with it. 'To which I bow' also contains a metaphor which is not natural in Greek; for a Greek poet would say something like 'keeps me in willing obedience, not against my will, or willing subject':  $\sigma\ell\kappa$  ακουσαν, or (using the pretty device of neuter nouns in apposition)<sup>1</sup>,  $\chi\epsilon\ell\rho\omega\mu\alpha$   $\epsilon\ell\chi\epsilon\rho\epsilon$ s. 'As at first': ώs  $\pi\alpha\rho$ os, ώs  $\tau\delta$ 

<sup>1</sup> Such are πέσημα=πεπτωκώς τις, δήλημα 'bane,' τἄνδον οἰκουρήματα 'stay-at-homes,' συγκοίμημα 'bedfellow,' ὑπηρέτημα 'servant.' The pupil may collect them with advantage. See Introd. p. 75.

 $\pi\rho i\nu$ , ws  $\tau\hat{\varphi}$   $\pi\rho i\nu$   $\chi\rho\delta\nu\hat{\varphi}$ , etc. As  $\xi\epsilon\nu$  has already been taken, we translate 'stranger' by 'I feel myself in a barbarian land,' or the like.

Form. Instead of the logical construction, 'although... yet,' we find it advantageous to employ parataxis: (1) 'Long has he kept me, (2) but still I feel strange.' μακρον then will stand first; παγκρατής δαίμων finds a natural place, the first word being a cretic. For 'kept concealed' we fall back upon the answering idiom ἔχει κρύψας, which with με between will begin the next line. This will be followed by the cretic εὐχερές, and χείρωμα with addition of αεί or δη completes this line. Turning back to the preceding, we find two gaps, a bacchius and a final iambus. As any alteration involves recasting both lines, we try first whether this line can be legitimately expanded. This is easy, for μακρον may be emphasised by repeating it with a suitable particle (μέν or τοι), and χρόνον added at the end. We proceed to the next line of the English, which yields at once an amphibrachys ouws of and the end of the line makes itself from our material, ώσπερ ἐν τῷ πρὶν χρόνῳ: to add by way of contrast καὶ νῦν is now simple. Passing on, 'I feel myself' gives a bacchius, δοκώ μοι, and 'a strange land' will be βάρβαρον χθόνα, or βαρβάρου χθονὸς μυχόν, with οἰκεῖν to complete the construction.

> μακρόν, μακρόν τοι παγκρατής δαίμων χρόνον ἔχει με κρύψας εὐχερὲς χείρωμα δή. ὅμως δὲ καὶ νῦν ὧσπερ ἐν τῷ πρὶν χρόνῷ οἰκεῖν δοκῶ μοι βαρβάρου χθονὸς μυχόν.

For the sea 10 doth sever me, alas! from those I love,

Words. Besides θάλασσα, there are more ornamental phrases, such as κλύδων θαλάσσιος. For 'sever' εἴργω (or compound) is a natural word, and 'those I love' will surely

be τὰ φίλτατα. We may if we please here use the Greek idiom, by which μέσοs or the like is coupled with one only of the pair of genitives: ἐν μεταιχμίφ σκότον (Aesch. Cho. 60) 'the time betwixt light and dark,' ἐν μέσφ δήπουθεν ἀήρ ἐστι γῆς (Arist. Birds 187) 'between earth and heaven'; thus ἐν μέσφ τῶν φιλτάτων, without anything more, may mean 'between me and my dearest.'

Form. θαλάσσιος with γὰρ added gives a penthemimer, and the line will be completed by ἐν μέσφ τῶν φιλτάτων; κλύδων comes in the next line, and the sense is now complete; but if we add κατείργει no harm will be done, and the rhythm improved (for an initial iambic break is not pleasing).

θαλάσσιος γὰρ ἐν μέσῳ τῶν φιλτάτων κλύδων κατείργει.

and day by day upon the shore I stand, my soul still seeking for the land of Greece.

Words. 'Day by day' is  $\kappa \alpha \theta$ ' ἡμέραν. 'Shore' is ἀκτή or ἀκταί, and it will be better to add an epithet showing that the seashore is meant, such as  $\theta \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \iota \sigma$  or ἀλίρρυτος. 'My soul seeking' must be paraphrased: say, 'feeding my soul with longing for Greece,'  $\theta \nu \mu \dot{\rho} \nu \beta \dot{\sigma} \kappa \sigma \nu \sigma \alpha \pi \dot{\sigma} \theta \psi$  Έλλάδος.

Form. By adding καὶ and ἐγὼ we complete the line. ἐπ' ἀκτῆ is a bacchius, and ἀλιρρύτφ will come last; if the phrase be made plural, ἀεὶ at the end will complete the line. βόσκουσα as antibacchius will best stand first, and θυμόν will follow it; Ἑλλάδος is a cretic, and can be placed next, with πόθψ following, or if an epithet be added, at the end; and we have

καὶ καθ' ἡμέραν ἐγὼ ἔστηκ' ἐπ' ἀκταῖς ταῖσδ' ἀλιρρύτοις ἀεί, βόσκουσα θυμὸν Ἑλλάδος φίλης πόθω.

But to my sighs, the hollow-sounding waves bring, save their own hoarse murmurs, no reply.

Words. 'Sighs': στένειν, στόνος. 'Hollow-sounding' will be βαρύστονος, βαρύβρομος. (Do not use κοιλός which means no more than 'hollow-shaped.') 'Wave': κλύδων (used already), κῦμα, τρικυμία. 'Reply': ἀμείβειν, ἀνταμείβειν, ἀμοιβή. 'Hoarse murmur': βρόμος, φλοῖσβος, μὕκημα.

Form. The Greek idiom is 'to me sighing,' στενούση, and the line may end βαρύστονος κλύδων or βαρύβρομος τρικυμία (if the former, γὰρ should be added to στενούση, but observe that in this case there is no true caesura, since γὰρ goes in rhythm with the word preceding).  $d\mu o\iota β ην$  takes the bacchius position, and  $\phi \epsilon ρ \epsilon \iota$  may end the line. 'No other' is  $ο i δ \epsilon \mu i α ν$  δ λλην, which is not pretty in verse: we use  $ο i ν \iota ν$  with elision, or trochee, and these words are easy to arrange for all who understand the structure of an iambic line. Line 13 can be completed by  $ο i μ ο \iota$ , a natural exclamation; then, adding to the 14th line β ρ ο i μ ο ν if the first alternative be used, or φ λο i σ β ο ν if the second, we get finally

οίμοι· στενούση βαρύβρομος τρικυμία ἄλλην ἀμοιβὴν οὖτιν' ἢ φλοῖσβον φέρει.

#### XIII.

Oedipus. Suspend your thoughts, and flatter not too soon.

Just in the place you named, where three ways met, and near that time, five persons I encountered; one was too like (Heaven grant it prove not him!)

5 whom you describe for Laius; insolent, and fierce they were, as men who live on spoil.

I judged them robbers, and by force repelled the force they used. In short, four men I slew; the fifth upon his knees demanding life

10 my mercy gave it.—Bring me comfort now: if I slew Laius, what can be more wretched? From Thebes, and you, my curse has banished me: from Corinth, Fate.

Iocasta. Perplex not thus your mind.

My husband fell by multitudes opprest;

15 so Phorbas said; this band you chanc'd to meet, and murdered not my Laius, but avenged him.

Oedipus. There's all my hope: let Phorbas tell me this, and I shall live again.

To you, good gods, I make my last appeal;

20 or clear my virtue, or my crime reveal.

If wandering in the maze of fate I run,
and backward trod the paths I sought to shun,
impute my errors to your own decree;
my hands are guilty, but my heart is free.

DRYDEN, Oedipus.

For this piece, some hints may be got from the *Oedipus Tyrannus*, e.g. 742—753, 800—813.

Oedipus. Suspend your thoughts, and flatter not too soon.

Words. 'Suspend,' i.e. 'restrain': ἐπέχειν, ἐπισχεῖν. 'Thoughts': φροντίς, or in this context (but not so good) ἐλπίς. 'Flatter': θωπεύειν means rather adulation; the sense here is 'do not lull your fears to sleep,' or 'don't rejoice too soon.' See below. 'Too soon': θασσον.

Form. When a negative and a positive come together, the tendency is in Greek to put the negative first; though this is not necessary. Here, however, it is convenient; for 'do not go on too fast' gives a penthemimer at once:  $\mu \dot{\eta} \ \delta \hat{\eta} \tau a$  (palimbacchius)  $\theta \hat{a} \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu$  (trochee). It is difficult to turn 'flatter' so as to convey the same idea as in English; and as these words as they stand, without a verb, are strong and effective, we may leave them alone. The positive command should begin with  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{a}$ , and we now want a cretic or trochaic

word. It needs only the addition of  $\kappa a i$  emphatic to make a cretic of  $\epsilon \pi i \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ , and if we would keep the infinitive, some such word as  $\lambda i \gamma \omega$  if bid you' must be added.  $\tau i \gamma \nu$   $\phi \rho \rho \nu \tau i \delta a$  with elision may begin the next line, being a palimbacchius, and we then have:

μη δήτα θασσον, άλλα κάπέχειν λέγω την Φροντίδ....

Just in the place you named, where three ways met, and near that time, five persons I encountered;

Words. 'In the place': οὖπερ, ῷ ἐν τόπῳ. 'Three ways': τριπλῆ ὁδός or κέλευθος, and the junction may be exprest by ἄρθρον or σνμβολῆ, εἰς εν ἐλθεῖν, or any similar phrase. 'Near that time': σχεδὸν τότε might do, but see below. 'Encounter': ἀπαντᾶν, ἐπιτυχεῖν, ἐντυχεῖν (τυχεῖν alone is rather 'to get'), ἐγκῦρειν, ξυναντᾶν, ξυναντιάζειν.

Form. We begin this line at the second group, which is to be spondee or trochee. The narrative will be best introduced by γάρ, and this gives the required group at once: οῦ γάρ, or ω γὰρ followed by the cretic ἐν τόπω. The verb will be λέγεις, or (as this word was used in the first verse) σὺ φής, with τάδε to round off the line: 'Where three ways met' is easy to translate with our materials. We have an iambus  $\tau \rho \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta}$ , an amphibrachys κέλευθος, and a cretic  $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \circ \lambda \hat{\eta}$ : τριπλ $\hat{\eta}$ ς κελεύθου συμβολ $\hat{\eta}$  will do well enough. Or using the other word for 'juncture,' we may write πρὸς ἄρθρον before a hephthemimeral caesura (Type VII.), shortening the word for road (δδοῦ). Which to choose depends on what is to come. σχεδον τότε might end the line if we choose the former rendering; but it is a commonplace phrase. The construction may be pleasantly varied by making this phrase negative: 'nor have you missed the time.' ἀποτυχεῖν is the prose word for 'miss,' and a poetical equivalent may be got from Ajax 448 φρένες γνώμης ἀπῆξαν. This will give οἰδὲ τοῦ χρόνου as a final penthemimer, and ἀπῆξας will stand first or second in the next line. From the context we get εἰποῦσα, which may precede it. 'Persons' must be translated, and the word is ἄνδρες or ὁδοίποροι. A combination of two, πένθ ὁδοιπόροις, makes a five-syllable group according to Type X. ἐγὰ suffices to end the line. Lastly, we choose that verb for 'met' which is most convenient for the beginning of a line, ξυνηντίαζον, and the section as finished stands thus:

... ψ γὰρ ἐν τόπῳ σὰ φἢς τάδε, τριπλῆς δδοῦ πρὸς ἄρθρον, οὐδὲ τοῦ χρόνου εἰποῦσ' ἀπῆξας, πένθ' δδοιπόροις ἐγὼ ξυνηντίαζον.

one was too like (Heaven grant it prove not him!) 5 whom you describe for Laius;

Words. 'Heaven,' etc.:  $\mathring{o}$  μὴ γένοιτο, with  $\mathring{\omega}$  θεοὶ, Ζεῦ,  $\mathring{\omega}$  Ζεῦ, or the like. 'Τοο': ἆγαν, λίαν. 'Like': προσφερής, έμφερής, προσεμφερής. 'Whom you describe for Laius,' i.e. like Laius according to (κατὰ) your description (λόγους, etc.).

Form. Some care is necessary in arranging this sentence. In the English, 'like' comes first and 'Laius' after, because the language admits of no other arrangement. But it is far more forcible to reverse the order, which can be done in Greek. The prayer is then thrown in as a parenthesis; the first part of the sentence tells nothing, and the parenthesis gives the impression of suspense, which was the feeling of Oedipus. Compare these two English sentences, and you will at once see which is the more telling:

- (1) 'He is like (O that it were not so!) Laius.'
- (2) 'He and Laius (O that it were not so!) are alike.' How clearly the second of these expresses that the speaker shrinks from uttering the word 'like.'

We now turn to the translation.  $\epsilon i \hat{s} \hat{\eta} \nu$  becomes a cretic when we insert the proper particle  $\mu \acute{e}\nu$ , and  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$   $\Lambda a i \hat{\varphi}$  finishes the line. The next line begins with  $\hat{\sigma}$   $\mu \hat{\eta}$  (iambus)  $\gamma \acute{e}\nu \omega \tau \sigma$  (amphibrachys); and  $\kappa a \tau \hat{\alpha}$   $\tau o \hat{\nu} \hat{s}$   $\sigma o \hat{\nu} \hat{s}$   $\lambda \acute{o}\gamma o \nu \hat{s}$  requires little adjusting. Write  $\tau o \hat{\nu} \hat{s}$   $\gamma \epsilon \sigma o \hat{\nu} \hat{s}$ , and there is a cretic, while  $\lambda \acute{o}\gamma o \nu \hat{s}$   $\kappa \acute{a}\tau a$  is a four-syllable group of the proper form for Types I. to III. The sentence concludes at the beginning of the next line, so that neither of the cretics will be of use. But if we add  $\tau \hat{s}$  to  $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \mu \phi \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} \hat{s}$ , we get a five-syllable group as in Type XI.; prefix  $\lambda \acute{a}\nu$ , and the thing is done.

...εις μεν ἢν τῷ Λαίῷ (ὁ μὴ γένοιτο) τούς γε σοὺς λόγους κάτα λίαν προσεμφερής τις.

insolent, and fierce they were, as men who live on spoil.

Words. 'Insolent':  $\dot{\nu}\beta\rho\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}s$ , though somewhat stronger than the original. 'Fierce':  $\dot{\omega}\mu\dot{o}s$ ,  $\ddot{a}\gamma\rho\iota\sigma s$ . 'Live by spoil':  $\lambda\dot{\eta}\zeta o\mu\alpha\iota$  or  $\lambda\eta\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}s$ , plain words.  $\sigma\nu\lambda\hat{a}\nu$ ,  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\lambda\eta$  are rather more dignified, and suggest business on a large scale: these are used of reprisals in war.  $\lambda\epsilon\eta\lambda\alpha\tau\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$ . 'As':  $\dot{\omega}s$ ,  $\dot{\sigma}a$ .

Form. The first words present no difficulty. After a hephthemimer, the molossus  $i\beta\rho\iota\sigma\tau\alpha'$  finds its only possible place: add a conjunction  $\delta\epsilon$ , and (say)  $\pi\omega$ s to wind up with.  $\dot{\omega}\mu\omega'$   $\tau\epsilon$  may begin the next line; the verb cannot be  $\dot{\eta}\sigma\alpha\nu$ , but  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\alpha\dot{\nu}\nu\tau$  will serve, if its final can be elided. 'To live on spoil' should contain the noun  $\beta$ ios or  $\beta$ io $\tau$ os, and in prose we might make some such phrase as  $\beta$ io $\nu$   $\tau$ iolais  $\kappa\epsilon\kappa\tau\eta\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\iota$ . A poet may combine  $\sigma\nu\lambda\alpha$ is  $\kappa\epsilon\kappa\tau\eta\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\iota$  into  $\sigma\nu\lambda\dot{\omega}\nu\tau$  (molossus). Prefix  $\delta$ ia (trochee), and place  $\beta$ io $\nu$  last, and you have

... υβρισταὶ δέ πως ωμοί τ' ἐφαίνονθ', οἶα συλώντες βίον.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The v is sometimes shortened by the tragedians.

I judged them robbers, and by force repelled the force they used.

Words. 'Judge': κρίνειν, νομίζειν; or idiom with ώs, δή. 'Robbers': ληστής remains for us, or όδουρός 'highwayman' (Soph. frag. 23), lit. 'road-watcher,' from root of ὁράω (compare φρουρός = προ-υρός, τιμα-ορός, πυλωρός, οἰκουρός, and a gloss in Hesychius βῶρος 'δφθαλμοί). 'Force': βία. 'Repel': ἀμύνω.

Form. The most idiomatic rendering brings the two clauses into one, thus: 'I repelled them, as (in my opinion) robbers'; and be careful to keep 'force' and 'by force' together:  $\beta i \alpha \beta i \alpha \nu$ . We now get  $\delta \delta \delta \nu \rho \rho \nu \dot{\nu}$  for the second group (bacchius), and  $\dot{\nu}$  with a particle such as  $\dot{\nu} \dot{\nu}$  for the first.  $\ddot{\nu} \tau \alpha s$  may be added, and being a trochee, may follow the caesura. The proper form of the verb is  $\ddot{\eta} \mu \nu \nu \alpha$ , which cannot stand in this line, but may follow  $\beta i \alpha \beta i \alpha \nu$  in the next as in Type VII. If we retain this form of sentence, some addition is necessary; and this may be any participle with the meaning 'attack.' It should scan as a cretic, or as a whole penthemimer of the Type used.  $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi i \pi \tau \omega \nu$  will surely occur to the student, who may finish the line with  $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ .

ώς οὖν ὁδουροὺς ὄντας ἐσπίπτων ἐγὼ βία βίαν ἤμυνα.

In short, four men I slew; the fifth upon his knees demanding life 10 my mercy gave it.—

Words. 'In short': use συνταμὼν λέγειν, ἐν βραχεῖ etc. 'Four': remember that the article is used in fractions when the first section is mentioned; οἱ τέσσαρες. It is not needed in speaking of remainders; thus τρίτην ἐπενδίδωμι 'the third and finishing blow,' τρίτον ἡμιτάλαντον 'one and a half talents,' lit. 'the third a half talent.' 'Knees': use προσπεσών, γόνατα ἀμπίσχων χερί, γονάτων λίσσομενος; οτ προσπίτνειν τινὰ γόνασιν.

Form. The line begun may continue simply καὶ τοὺς τέσσαρας, a five-syllable group of Type IX. (Observe that there is no final cretic, since τούς cannot be separated rhythmically from τέσσαρας.) 'In short' suggests one or two phrases which may come early in the succeeding line (the phrase should not be kept longer): ώς συνταμών may stand first, or ώς ἐν βραχεί, and λέγω τάδε (with elision) may follow as an amphibrachys (Type VII.). 'I slew' ἔκτανον could be placed next, or by prefixing airos ('by myself') we shall bring the line to an end. πεμπτον δέ is a palimbacchius, and its natural place is first in the line following. Now look at the verbs by which we are to translate 'kneeling' and 'demanding life'; place each in the accusative of the participle, and it will be seen that λιπαροῦντα is a double trochee, and so is προσπίτνοντα. Either of these then may stand as the second group, conforming to Type VIII. The one not chosen may have  $\tau \epsilon$  added to it, and will then form a penthemimer like the latter half of Type IX. We may pause now for a moment, and see what we have got so far.

...καὶ τοὺς τέσσαρας ώς συνταμὼν λέγω τάδ', αὐτὸς ἔκτανον· πεμπτὸν δὲ λιπαροῦντα προσπίτνοντά τε....

Now since the English 'demanding life' has been changed to a simple 'beseeching,' 'my mercy gave it' cannot stand. Nor indeed would the personification suit this passage. There is indeed nothing to prevent a personification of mercy, when prudence is found personified (Soph. El. 990); but to use the figure here would be to change the subject in a way unsuited to the Greek idiom. We must seek for some phrase to express the idea, 'I spared him in pity,' which shall not be commonplace, but shall have the same elevated tone as the English.

Such a phrase is  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$  olatov. Now choose a verb for 'spared,'  $a \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa a$  say, and there are the materials for a line.  $a \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa a$  is an amphibrach,  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$  is a cretic equivalent ( $\circ \circ \circ -$  for  $- \circ -$ ). Write olatov  $\iota \nu a$ , and the latter half is finished; the context suggests  $\iota \kappa \epsilon \tau \eta \nu$  for the first place, and the word placed next to  $a \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa a$  gives a pretty effect—'I spared him at his prayer.'

ϊκέτην ἀφήκα, περιβαλών οἶκτόν τινα.

Bring me comfort now:

Words. 'Comfort': παρηγορεῖν, προσηγορεῖν; παρηγόρημα. Form. The change of person makes σύ necessary. παρηγόρει με forms a five-syllable group suited to Type XI., and σὺ νῦν will come first. This is all that we need, and the piece may end here. But a Greek would probably say 'Comfort me despondent,' ἀθυμοῦντα. This word in elision becomes a hacchius, and suggests that we follow the First Type: σὺ νῦν ἀθυμοῦντ' - - παρηγόρει. με follows νῦν, and surely it needs little thought to hit on a natural completion to the line:

σὺ νῦν μ' ἀθυμοῦντ', ὧ γύναι, παρηγόρει.

If I slew Laius, what can be more wretched?

Words and Form. The latter part of the verse represents an idea not uncommon in Greek tragedy; and as the words are long, it usually fills a whole line (cp. O. T. 815, 816). It would be impossible to get the English into one line of Greek in any case; and under the circumstances it is best to make two of it. This being so, we want long words and phrases to translate 'If I slew Laius.' We accordingly insert the article (with, of course, a particle,  $\gamma \acute{\alpha} \rho$ ):  $\tau \acute{o}\nu \Lambda \acute{a} ι \emph{c} \nu \gamma \acute{a} \rho$ , a full penthemimer. We also use the compound verb, κατέκτανον; and as even this is not enough (εἰ κατέκτανον

leaving an iambic space at the end, and not admitting of  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$ ), we use the periphrastic conjugation with  $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega^1$ , and write

τὸν Λάϊον γὰρ  $\epsilon i$  κατακτείνας  $\xi \chi \omega ... (Type X.)$ .

In the next line,  $\delta\theta\lambda\iota\dot{\omega}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$  will come last (Type IX.), and  $\tau\sigma\dot{\partial}\delta\epsilon$   $\gamma$  may precede it as a trochee. Using  $\gamma\epsilon\nu\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$  we have for the penthemimer  $\tau\dot{\epsilon}s$   $\dot{a}\nu$  |  $\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma\iota\sigma$  (Type I.), or

τίς δη γένοιτ' αν τοῦδέ γ' αθλιώτερος;

From Thebes, and you, my curse has banished me: from Corinth, Fate.

Words. 'My curse': οἰκεία ἀρά. 'Banished': φεύγειν, ἐκπίπτειν. 'Fate': μοῦρα.

Form. This sentence must in Greek be connected with the preceding; and the best link is the relative, 'me' being changed to  $\delta s$ . 'From Thehes and you' gives at once  $\xi \kappa \tau \epsilon$  $\Theta \eta \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \hat{a} \pi \hat{o} \sigma \hat{o} \hat{v}$ , which scans if we place os before it. φεύγειν χρεών may end the line. οἰκείαις άραῖς might have ended the line, had it begun differently; transpose them, and use the σιν-dative, and you have a hephthemimer. Now is there anything that can legitimately expand the phrases used? Some word may reinforce the idea of being driven into banishment, or hounded by curses: such a word as οἰστοήλατος. This cannot find a place in the line we are at; but it may go in the line before if we can save the hiatus: έκπεσων οἰστρήλατος. κάπὸ σοῦ will now fall in the second line. Or again: the construction may be ον...φυγείν χρεών; and now we may add μέν after Θηβών, and place φυγέιν next it, while κάπὸ σοῦ χρεών will complete the other line:

> ον έκ τε Θηβών μεν φυγείν οιστρήλατον αραίσιν οικείαισι καπό σου χρεών.

Observe that the first of these two lines has no real caesura,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See page 59.

as  $\Theta\eta\beta\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\nu$  is one rhythmical group; but that does not matter once in a way. (See Introduction, pages 12, 13.)

Carrying on the same construction, we get  $\mu o i \rho a$  Ko $\rho i \nu \theta o v$ . Here the speech might end, but the words without a conjunction  $\delta \epsilon$  would be most obscure.  $\delta \epsilon$  must in fact be got in: it is not too much to say that a Greek would never have written them without it. But Ko $\rho i \nu \theta o v$  can stand nowhere else in the line; it must therefore be changed, say to something which is able to stand elsewhere, say  $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$  Ko $\rho i \nu \theta i a s$ . A rather neat antithesis is suggested by  $\mu o i \rho a$ , which recalls the adjective  $\hat{a}\mu o i \rho o s$  with no share. Add now an infinitive 'to be' which scans as an iambus,  $\pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu$  or  $\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon i \nu$ , and the verse stands complete:

μοίρα δ' ἄμοιρον γης Κορινθίας πέλειν.

It must be granted that this rendering of the present section is weaker than the English; but it seems to be inevitable if we have to use oikeias apaîs, and to bring in a proper antithesis with  $\mu\acute{e}\nu$  and  $\delta\acute{e}$ .

Iocasta. Perplex not thus your mind.

Words. 'Perplex': ταράσσειν, κνίζειν, λυπείν.

Form. With such a word as  $\tau a \rho a \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$  the line is easy.  $\mu \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\eta} \tau a \rho a \sigma \sigma \epsilon$  is a natural translation, and scans as a penthemimer;  $\sigma \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \phi \rho \epsilon \nu a$  is the kind of four-syllable group which we need for the last group; and the tone suggests a parenthetic appeal,  $\pi \rho \delta s \theta \epsilon \omega \nu$  or the like:

μή δή τάρασσε, πρός θεών, ούτω φρένα.

My husband fell by multitudes opprest; 15 so Phorbas said;

Words. 'Husband': πόσις. 'Fall': use ὅλλυσθαι, θνήσκειν, or the like. 'Multitudes': πληθος with defining genitive, such as ἀνδρῶν, χερῶν; or πολλοί simply. 'Opprest':

a participle meaning 'killed' will do, but the word may be omitted;  $i\pi \delta$  is enough.

Form. Using the proper link  $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$ , we get the amphibrachys  $\pi \acute{o}\sigma \iota s$   $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$ , and  $ο \rlap{u}μ\acute{o}s$  will stand before or after it. The verb gives either  $\emph{e}θ aν \epsilon ν$ , a trochee-equivalent, or  $\emph{u}λ \epsilon \tau o$ , which is a trochee in elision, or may stand last with cretic rhythm. 'By multitudes' is  $\pi ο λλ \^{u}ν ~ \rlap{v}πο$ ; and we should not lose the opportunity of strengthening the phrase by antithesis—'not by one, but by many':  $ο \rlap{v}χ ~ \rlap{v}πο \acute{e}ν\acute{o}s$ ,  $\rlap{d}λλ λ ~ πολλ \^{u}ν$ . Now if  $\rlap{v}πο \acute{e}ν\acute{o}s$  be paraphrased  $\rlap{e}ν\acute{o}s$   $χ ε ρ \acute{\iota}$ , we get a five-syllable group suited to Type IX., and our first line is done:

πόσις γὰρ ούμὸς ὧλετ' οὐχ ένὸς χερί....

'So Phorbas said' gives  $\delta\delta$ '  $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon$  (or  $\omega_s$   $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon$ ), a palimbacchius, and  $\Phi \delta \rho \beta a_s$ , a spondee; these together make up a complete penthemimer.  $\delta \lambda \lambda \delta \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \tilde{\nu} \pi o$  needs but one long syllable to be a hephthemimer; and accordingly we insert the emphatic  $\kappa a \ell$ :

...ώς εἶπε Φόρβας, ἀλλὰ καὶ πολλῶν ὖπο.

this band you chanc'd to meet, and murdered not my Laius, but avenged him.

Words. 'This band': οὖτοι. 'Meet': see Vocabulary to lines 2—3. 'Chance': τυγχάνειν, or adverbial τύχη. 'Murder': κτείνω, κατακτείνω. 'Avenge': τιμωρεῖν c. dat.

As regards the **Form**, 'you met' is best made a participle: ἀπαντήσας, ἐντυχών, ἐγκύρσας are all useful forms. With the addition of σύ, a metrical group may be made out of any of these: τούτοις ἀπαντήσας σύ (Type XI.), οr τούτοισιν ἐγκίρσας σύ (Type VIII.). If τύχη be placed at the verse-end, a cretic is to seek; but perhaps the student will remember the prepositional phrase σὺν τύχη σι σὲν τύχη τινί. Now translating what remains, we have ἐτιμώρησας αὐτῷ, οὐκ ἔκτανες. A vigilant eye will not fail to see in ἐτιμώρησας a word suited to Type XI. Place the pronoun before it, in which emphatic

position κείνω must be used, not αὐτῷ; and is there any reason against γε? You killed a man, it is true, but not that man, my husband. Again, οὐκ ἔκτανες is four syllables, and we want five; but the compound is waiting, and we write

κείνω γ' ετιμώρησας, οὐ κατέκτανες.

Oedipus. There's all my hope: let Phorbas tell me this, and I shall live again.

Words. 'Live again': ἀνηβᾶν.

Form. Nothing needs altering in the translation;  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ - $\tau a\hat{\nu}\theta a$   $\pi \hat{a}\sigma a$   $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda \pi i \hat{s}$  is good Greek; and it actually scans, if we insert  $\delta \eta$ . But  $\delta \eta$  will hardly do; however the emphasis may be expressed by  $\gamma \epsilon - \dot{\epsilon}\nu \tau a\hat{\nu}\theta a$   $\pi \hat{a}\sigma a$   $\gamma$   $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda \pi i \hat{s}$ , or by  $\kappa a i$ , with a slight change  $- \ddot{a}\pi a \sigma a$   $\kappa a \nu$   $\tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\sigma}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda \pi i \hat{s}$ . Put what follows conditionally, and you have a molossus:  $\epsilon i$   $\phi a i \eta$ ,  $\tau a i \delta \epsilon$  coming last. The next line will begin with  $\Phi \delta \rho \beta a \hat{s}$ , and  $d\nu \eta \beta \phi \eta \nu$   $a \nu$  may stand next as in Type XI.

ἄπασα καν τῷδ ἐλπίς· εἰ φαίη τάδε Φόρβας, ανηβψην ἄν.

To you, good gods, I make my last appeal;

Words. 'Last': ὕστατος, πανύστατος. 'Appeal': εὐχή, λιτή, or any word meaning 'prayer.' 'Good': δίκαιος seems the best equivalent in this context.

Form. 'I make' would hardly be so put by a Greek; rather 'listen,' ἀλλ' ἀκούετε (Type IX.). δίκαιοι is a bacchius, and θεοί will precede it. The rest is equally simple: we have τὴν πανυστάτην, a group of five syllables suitable to Type X., and an iambus λιτήν:

... ἀλλ' ἀκούετε, θεοὶ δίκαιοι, την πανυστάτην λιτήν. 20 or clear my virtue, or my crime reveal.

Words and Form. These abstract nouns should be avoided in translation. We should say, 'Either prove me innocent (καθαρός, ἀναίτιος), or show me guilty (αὐτόχειρ, αυτοέντης, φονεύς).' These words are longer than in the English, and  $\omega_{\nu}$  in some form will probably be necessary. Hence it seems better not to attempt compression into one line. η καθαρόν gives an equivalent of the palimbacchius (the second syllable resolved); the trochee ovra comes next. Various renderings are possible for the verb. With ἐλέγξατ' next, for example, we get a line of Type VII., and now we may even complete the whole in one line: η μφανώς φονέα. But the line is clumsy, and  $\mu\epsilon$  is really to be desired. If we paraphrase: τοῦ φόνου μ' ἀφίετε, we may find room for one of the expressive words αὐτόχειο or αὐτοέντης. In the succeeding line, αὐτοέντης being a four-syllable group of trochaic rhythm, prefix  $\eta \mu \epsilon$  and a penthemimer appears. 'Clearly' may be added. εμφανώς, and with the compound in place of ελέγξατε, the translation is done.

ἢ καθαρὸν ὄντα τοῦ φόνου μ' ἀφίετε, ἢ μ' αὐτοέντην ἐμφανῶς ἐλέγξατε.

If wandering in the maze of fate I run, and backward trod the paths I sought to shun,

Words. 'Wandering': πλάνης, πλανήτης (adj. or subst.), or use ἔρρω. 'Maze of fate': λαβύρινθος will not do, but the 'maze' must be paraphrased by ἀφανής, τυφλός, or the like. 'Fate' should then be 'fated path' or the like: μόρσιμος, ἐναίσιμος, μοιρόκραντος with ὁδός. 'Backward': ἄψορρος, ἔμπαλιν. 'Tread': βαίνω, προβαίνω. 'Sought to shun': φεύγειν; or use ἄκων, οὐχ ἐκών, or λαθὼν ἐμαυτόν.

Form. εἰ γάρ naturally stands first, and πλανήτης next as a bacchius. Now μόρσιμος may be made a cretic, with ὁδός

last; but there is a better way. We perceive a double trochee in  $\mu o \iota \rho \acute{o} \kappa \rho a \iota \tau \sigma s$ , and this form is suited to the Type of the Final Cretic (VI.); whilst odo's needs only a prefixt  $\epsilon i s$  to make the cretic. Hence our first line will be (applying  $\pi \lambda a \iota \nu \acute{\eta} \tau \eta s$  to the road as a cumulative epithet)—

εὶ γὰρ πλανήτην μοιρόκραντον εἰς όδόν....

Next we may paraphrase 'maze' by  $\pi\rho\sigma\beta\alpha\iota\nu\omega\nu$   $\dot{\alpha}\phi\alpha\nu\hat{\eta}$ ; here is a bacchius, which places itself, and an anapaest may stand first in the line. The next idea to be rendered is 'turning back,' which gives us a cretic  $\ddot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\alpha\lambda\iota\nu$ , and a simple paraphrase  $\sigma\tau\rho\dot{\epsilon}\psi\alpha\varsigma$   $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\delta\alpha$  for the final group—

άφανη προβαίνων, ξμπαλιν στρέψας πόδα....

Now may follow the main verb, say  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \eta \theta^{*}$   $\epsilon \mu a \nu \tau \delta \nu$ . Sought to shun' will be reinforced if we add another clause, such as 'and fell into what I fled from':  $\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \delta \nu \tau \epsilon$  of  $\epsilon \delta \phi \epsilon \nu \gamma \rho \nu$ . In this clause we again perceive a double trochee (of  $\epsilon \delta \phi \epsilon \nu \gamma \rho \nu$ ), and a cretic for the last place:

λέληθ' ἐμαυτόν, οἶς τ' ἔφευγον ἐμπεσών.... (The conjunction couples the two participles, στρέψας and ἐμπεσών.)

impute my errors to your own decree; my hands are guilty, but my heart is free.

Words. 'Impute': ἀνάπτειν, ἀνατιθέναι, ἀναφέρειν, or simple verb τιθέναι. 'Errors': ἀμαρτήματα, or simply τἀμά in this context. 'Decree': βουλή, βούλευμα. 'Guilty': αἴτιος, ἀμαρτάνειν. 'Free': use the opposite, ἀναίτιος.

Form. The infinitive may be used in a formal command; or a paraphrase with  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$ . The latter gives the easiest version:  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$   $\tau\dot{a}\mu\dot{a}$  being a palimbacchius, and  $\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu\alpha\iota$  a spondee. The construction must be the genitive:  $\tau\hat{\eta}$ \$\$  $\theta\epsilon\hat{\omega}\nu$ \$\beta\text{Ov}\lambda\tilde{\theta}\tilde

because it leaves room for a final  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$  leading up to the last sentence. This last sentence should be modelled on the famous line of Euripides  $\dot{\eta}$   $\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma'$   $\dot{\sigma} \mu \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \chi'$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \phi \rho \dot{\eta} \nu$   $\dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\omega} \mu \rho \sigma \tau \sigma$ . We may turn it in various ways:  $\dot{\eta}$   $\chi \hat{\epsilon} i \rho$   $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \rho \alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu$ , or  $\chi \hat{\epsilon} i \rho$   $\alpha i \tau i \alpha$   $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ , or  $\ddot{\eta} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon \nu$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\chi \hat{\epsilon} i \rho$ , each a penthemimer. The last is best if  $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$  be not exprest already.  $\dot{\eta}$   $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \phi \rho \dot{\eta} \nu$  will follow, and lastly  $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha i \tau \iota \sigma s$  (Type II.):

χρη τάμα θείναι της θεών βουλης, ἐπεὶ ημαρτεν ή χείρ, ή δὲ φρην ἀναίτιος.

### XIV.

Thekla. Well, how began the engagement?

Captain. We lay, expecting no attack, at Neustadt, entrenched but insecurely in our camp, when towards evening rose a cloud of dust

5 from the wood thitherward; our vanguard fled into the camp, and sounded the alarm.

Scarce had we mounted, ere the Pappenheimers, their horses at full speed, broke through the lines, and leapt the trenches! but their heedless courage

10 had borne them onward far beyond the others.

The infantry were still at distance, only the Pappenheimers followed daringly their daring leader.

S. T. COLERIDGE: from Schiller.

This piece is likely to prove a useful exercise, since it brings in a vocabulary more usual in historical prose than in verse.

Thekla. Well, how began the engagement?

Words. 'How': πῶς, τίνι τρόπω. 'Begin': ἄρχειν, κατάρχειν c. gen., or ξυνάπτειν (μάχην); ἀρχην ποιείσθαι, θέσθαι. 'Engagement': μάχη, or use δόρυ in some form, ἐς χείρας ἐλθεῖν, etc. Form. Neither bacchius nor cretic is wanting among the words given above, but we get no very satisfactory line out of them. We can get as far as  $\pi\hat{\omega}s$   $\hat{\sigma}\hat{v}$   $\hat{\xi}vv\hat{\eta}\pi\tau\sigma v$   $\tau\hat{\eta}v$   $\mu\acute{\alpha}\chi\eta v$ , and fill up with 'speak,' or 'tell me this.' There is a lack of skill about such a line which most will see; 'tell me' comes naturally at the beginning, but not last, unless the tone is impatient or anxious.  $\phi\acute{e}\rho$ '  $e\acute{t}n\acute{e}$ , with or without  $\delta\acute{\eta}$   $\mu\iota\iota$ , would make a good beginning, and  $\tau\iota\iota\iota$   $\tau\rho\acute{o}\pi\psi$  might follow as a cretic equivalent. A more poetical way of turning the phrase is  $\tau\iota\iota$   $\mu\acute{\alpha}\chi\eta s$   $\mathring{a}\rho\chi\grave{\eta}v$  ( $\pi\iota\iota\iota\hat{v}\nu\iota\iota$ ), if a suitable verb can be got; or  $\tau\iota\acute{s}$   $\mu\acute{a}\chi\eta s$   $\mathring{a}\rho\chi\grave{\eta}v$  ( $\pi\iota\iota\hat{v}\iota\iota$ ), if a suitable verb can be got; or  $\tau\iota\acute{s}$   $\mu\acute{a}\chi\eta s$   $\mathring{a}\rho\chi\check{\eta}$  alone. Here at last is the hint which will solve the difficulty. Let  $\psi\rho\iota\iota\iota\iota v$  be used for  $\mathring{a}\rho\chi\check{\eta}$ ; and it will be seen that  $\tau\iota\acute{v}$   $\psi\rho\iota\iota\iota\iota v$  is a four-syllable final. Now let  $\mu\acute{a}\chi\eta s$  have its article, and write

φέρ' είπε δή μοι της μάχης τί φροίμιον;

Captain. We lay, expecting no attack, at Neustadt, entrenched but insecurely in our camp,

Words. 'Lie': κεῖσθαι, or εἶναι simply. 'Expect': προσδοκᾶν, καραδοκεῖν, ελπίζειν. 'Attack': προσβολή, ἐσβολή. 'Entrenched in our camp': it is not necessary to translate these words literally. στρατόπεδον is awkward (though it occurs, Soph. *Philoct.* 10); use τείχισμα, σταύρωμα, or τειχίζω with τάφροι ὀρυκταί, or some such words. 'Insecure': οὖκ ἀσφαλής. 'Neustadt': the New Town, Νέα πόλις.

If such a piece should occur in a tragedy, it is clear that it would be part of a messenger's speech. We shall do well, then, to preface it with some line such as this: 'I will tell the whole tale,' 'I will say all I have seen,' 'I will make no long story, but will recount in few.' Here too something of the sort is necessary if we are to answer  $\phi \epsilon \rho$ '  $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon$  in the first line. The proper particles are  $\kappa \alpha l$   $\delta \eta$  'well, I am telling

you': καὶ δη λέγω σοι is spondee + bacchius, a penthemimer. There we may stop; or we may go on thus

καὶ δὴ λέγω σοι πᾶν όσον κατειδόμην.

The story proper regularly has the particle  $\gamma\acute{a}\rho$ ; and this affixt to Né $\alpha$   $\pi\acute{o}\lambda\epsilon\iota$  makes up a half line. The most natural word to come next is 'entrenched,'  $\acute{\epsilon}\nu$   $\sigma\tau a\nu\rho\acute{\omega}\mu a\sigma\iota\nu$ ; a five-syllable final of Type IX. This leaves a trochaic space for the verb, which will therefore be  $\mathring{\eta}\mu\epsilon\nu$ :

Νέα πόλει γαρ ημεν έν σταυρώμασιν...

'But insecurely' is best turned by the adverb with  $\xi_{\chi \epsilon \iota \nu}$ ; which gives us a hephthemimer, οὐκ ἀσφαλώς followed by the amphibrachys exortes. Now there is a logical connexion between 'expecting no attack' and 'insecurely'; the particle ώς should accordingly be used in the next clause—'as expecting no attack,' ώς οὐδεμίαν καραδοκοῦντες ἐσβολήν. This furnishes matter for a line. καραδοκοῦντες is already a penthemimer; and if we replace οὐδεμίαν, which is awkward, by ουτινα, we get a trochee and cretic, which together may form a final penthemimer. It is possible to complete the line in two ways: by placing a trochee between these two parts (compare Type V.), or by prefixing a spondee or iambus (compare Type XI.). Observe now that the sentence is negative, and it will be clear at once that the most natural thing is to prefix a negative to the first foot:  $o \tilde{v} \pi \omega$ . This finishes the fifth line, but the fourth is still incomplete. However, a genitive of the enemies is appropriate; πολεμίων will not do, but ἐναντίων will, and thus we get for the two verses

οὐκ ἀσφαλῶς δ' ἔχοντες, ὡς ἐναντίων οὖπω καραδοκοῦντες οὖτιν' ἐσβολήν.

when towards evening rose a cloud of dust 5 from the wood thitherward;

Words. 'Towards evening': πρὸς ἐσπέραν, οτ ἡλίου φθίνοντος, δύνοντος, πρὶν θεοῦ δῦναι σέλας (Eur.), or use δυσμαί.

'Cloud of dust': lit. κόνεως νέφος. The epithet διψία is often added to κόνις without special reason. 'Wood': ὅλη, νάπη. 'Thitherward': ἐπέκεινα, τοὖπέκεινα, ἐκεῦθεν.

> καὶ μὴν φθίνοντος ἡλίου κόνεως νέφος ὁρῶμεν ἀρθὲν τοὖπέκειν బλης ἄπο.

 $$\operatorname{our}$$  vanguard fled into the camp, and sounded the alarm.

Words. 'Vanguard': οἱ πρόσθεν οτ τὰ πρόσθεν; πρόμαχοι may do; οἱ προτεταγμένοι is unmanageable, but οἱ προταχθέντες is not. 'Sound the alarm': say 'raise a shout,' or the like, βοην ἱᾶσιν or ἱστᾶσιν (cf. *Philoctetes* 1263). 'Into the camp' will be simply 'backwards,' or πρὸς ήμᾶς.

Form. The 'vanguard' with  $o\vec{v}\nu$  will make a metrical group, of  $\delta$ ' o $\vec{v}\nu$   $\pi\rho\sigma\tau\alpha\chi\theta\acute{e}\nu\tau\epsilon$ s, but not one which suits the iambic line. One short syllable is needed to make a full hephthemimer of Type XI. Suppose we turn the compounded  $\pi\rho\sigma$ - into an adverb,  $\pi\rho\acute{o}\sigma\omega$ : the thing is done. 'Fled into camp' gives  $\phi\epsilon\acute{v}\gamma\sigma\iota$  (palimbacchius) and  $\pi\rho\acute{o}s$   $\acute{\eta}\mu\acute{a}s$  (bacchius), which cannot stand together.  $\phi\epsilon\acute{v}\gamma\sigma\iota\sigma\iota$  may be changed to

ἔφυγον, though at some sacrifice of vividness; but a neater way is to paraphrase,  $\phi v \gamma \hat{\eta}$  τρεπόμενοι, the latter word being a cretic with the first syllable resolved. βοὴν may now stand last, with πολλὴν before it, and ἱστᾶσι first in the next line (palimbacchius, Type II.). This does not quite translate 'the alarm,' and we must consider what the words mean. The object of the alarm is to get under arms; and we are justified in adding 'to bid us take arms at once,' ὅπλ' ἤδη λαβεῖν. A double trochee remains to find; and if we take the technical word for 'signalling,' σημαίνω, we get what we want. There remains still half the first line undone; for which the context suggests some such word as 'fearing,' φοβούμενοι, which needs only to be compounded, and we have a final penthemimer:

οί δ' οὖν πρόσω ταχθέντες ἐκφοβούμενοι φυγἢ πρὸς ἡμᾶς τρεπόμενοι πολλὴν βοὴν ἱστᾶσι, σημανοῦντες ὅπλ' ἦδη λαβεῖν.

Scarce had we mounted, ere the Pappenheimers, their horses at full speed, broke through the lines, and leapt the trenches!

Words. 'Scarce': μόλις, σχολῆ. This suggests the idiomatic verb  $\phi\theta$ άνω. 'Mount': ἐφ' ἴππους ἀναβῆναι (the participle may be written ἀμβάς in verse); or ἴπποισω ἐμβε-βῶτες (Soph.). 'Full speed': δρόμφ, or use ταχεῖα δρμή. 'Lines': τέλη, τάξεις; or if the entrenchment be meant, φράγματα, τείχη. 'Leap': ὑπερθρώσκειν, ὑπερπηδᾶν. 'Trenches': see on line 2. 'Pappenheimers': those who prefer may use Θρᾶκες, Μυρμιδόνες, or some other name of the kind. But the word may easily be made to look Greek. The first part will become  $\Pi a \pi \phi$ - (like  $\Sigma a \pi \phi \omega$ ), and the 'heim' being οἶκος, the whole compound may be Grecised as  $\Pi a \pi \phi o \iota \kappa \eta \hat{\varsigma}$ .

Form.  $\tilde{\iota}_{\pi\pi0i\sigma\iota}\delta$ '  $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\beta\epsilon\beta\hat{\omega}\tau\epsilon_{S}$  (in its proper case) might do for a beginning, but  $\mu\delta\lambda\iota_{S}$  should certainly be the first word. This the participle can follow if we insert the enclitic  $\nu\nu\nu$ 

instead of δέ. ἴπποισι will now come in the molossus position, the final being lengthened by  $\phi\theta\dot{a}\nu\epsilon\iota$ . So the line may stand, if the rest of the sentence be so made as to allow of a singular subject, and of a verb which will not govern the genitive. The subject should now be a word meaning 'troop,' and  $\lambda \eta$  is a technical word for a troop of cavalry. The following line may then begin ἴλη τις (palimbacchius). Now for the 'attack,' ἐσπεσοῦσα, a double trochee which may stand next (Type VIII.) throwing the object into the accusative (Soph. Ajax 55). Παπφοικέων comes next, as a molossus, and δρόμω ends the There is no reason why ταχείαν ὁρμήν should not be added as a cognate accusative; the effect will be heightened by it. ωστε will naturally continue the construction, and 'break the lines' is metrical without change: ἡηγνύναι being a cretic and  $\tau \in \lambda \eta$  an iambus. Passing on to what follows, we have ὑπερθρώσκουσα, which easily falls into its place (Type XI.).  $\tau \hat{\alpha} \pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \epsilon$  in agreement with  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \eta$  may be prefixt. The line may end with τάφρουs, and it will probably be no hard matter to complete it; but a neater translation is possible if we place τάφρους δρυκτάς in the next line, and add here iππικώ μένει. The hiatus may be avoided if we use the sense-construction, and write ὑπερθρώσκοντες. We then have

μόλις νυν ἐμβεβῶτας ἴπποισι, φθάνει ἴλη τις ἐσπεσοῦσα Παπφοικέων δρόμω ταχεῖαν ὁρμήν, ὥστε ἡηγνύναι τέλη τὰ πρόσθ', ὑπερθρώσκοντες ἱππικῷ μένει ταφροὺς ὀρυκτάς.

This translation is rather long, but it will probably be admitted that the gain in style and effect compensates for the loss in concentration.

but their heedless courage 10 had borne them onward far beyond the others. The infantry were still at distance, only the Pappenheimers followed daringly their daring leader.

Words. 'Courage': θράσος, αὐθαδία. 'Heedless': a variety of adjectives may prove useful; but none is necessary with the nouns given. θρασύς, αὐθάδης, τλήμων; πάντολμος, παντότολμος. (Do not use ἄφροντις, which means 'foolish.')

Form. These lines have been grouped together, because there is an implied contrast between Pappenheimers and infantry, which ought to be clearly brought out in the Greek. The sentence will take form something like this: 'To such a point of recklessness did they come, that the one class  $(\tau o \hat{\nu} s \mu \epsilon \hat{\nu})$  actually rushed  $(\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, used of runaway horses) far beyond, while the foot <math>(\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \zeta \hat{\nu})$  remained behind. The others however  $(oi \delta \hat{\epsilon})$  followed their leader, bold (following) the bold,  $\theta \rho a \sigma \epsilon \hat{\iota} \hat{s} \theta \rho a \sigma \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ .'

The first phrase we fasten upon is 'to such a point,' ès τοῦτο (τοσοῦτον, τόσον, τοσόνδε) αἰθαδίας; from which words a hephthemimer is easily composed. This completes the line we had begun. The next must be wholly taken up with  $\mathring{\eta}\lambda\theta$ ον, ὅστε περαιτέρω, or words to that effect, because it is important to keep τοὺς μέν and τὸν δὲ πεζόν in one line. Moreover, since φέρεσθαι is a bacchius, and τὸν δὲ πεζόν a double trochee, this line is as good as made; a cretic only is wanting, which should mean 'to be left behind.' For this ἐκλείπω will do, used intransitively (remember the word 'eclipse'): ἐκλιπεῖν.

Turning back to the unfinisht verse, we soon see that  $\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho a \iota \tau \epsilon \rho \omega$  will be a final hephthemimer if we insert the emphatic  $\kappa a \iota$ . It remains then so to paraphrase  $\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$  that we make of it a penthemimer. We may leave it untouched, and add  $\theta \rho a \sigma \epsilon \iota a$ s in agreement with  $a \iota \theta \theta a \delta \iota a$ s; or we may personify  $a \iota \theta a \delta \iota a$ , by saying 'to such a point did rash daring lead them,

αὐθαδία ἤνεγκε τλήμων.' One line only remains to do, and this we may as well finish before writing down the final result. oi & must begin it (for there is no need to repeat the name; the words can mean no one else); and ἔπονται being a bacchius finds its place inevitably. θρασεῖς θρασεῖ will end the whole with an effective antithesis; and what is wanted now is merely a cretic for the 'leader.' The student may be tempted to write ήγεμόνι, a cretic with resolved final. This rhythm is rare, but by no means unlawful; still, to the trained ear it does not sound well in this instance. more usually found when the word is an adjective like οὐράνια, or a trisyllable such as πατέρα. Anyhow, the beginner will do well to avoid this and all other exceptional rhythms until he can trust his ear to discriminate; and as  $\beta \rho \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{v}$ s is to be had for the searching, this shall be used. The last section then as completed reads thus:

... ἐς τόσον δ' αὐθαδίας ἢλθον θρασείας, ὤστε καὶ περαιτέρω τοὺς μὲν φέρεσθαι, τὸν δὲ πεζὸν ἐκλιπεῖν. οἱ δ' οὖν ἔπονται τῷ βραβεῖ, θρασεῖς θρασεῖ.

## XV.

# MOTHER AND SON.

Hast thou no pity upon my loneliness?

Lo, where thy father Lot beside the hearth
Lies like a log, and all but smouldered out!

For over since when traitor to the King

He fought against him in the Barons' war,

And Arthur gave him back his territory,

His age hath slowly drooped, and now lies there

A yet-warm corpse, and yet unburiable,

No more; nor sees, nor hears, nor speaks, nor knows.

10 And both thy brethren are in Arthur's hall, Albeit neither loved with that full love I feel for thee, nor worthy such a love.

TENNYSON, Gareth.

Hast thou no pity upon my loneliness?

Words. The only phrase that calls for notice is 'pity.' This idea has many modes of expression: οἰκτίρω or compound, δι' οἴκτου ἔχειν, οἶκτον ἴσχειν οτ ἔχειν τινός.

Form. Be careful not to use a noun, such as  $\epsilon\rho\eta\mu\dot{a}$ : Greek idiom requires 'loneliness' to be turned as 'me being lonely.' This gives at once  $\delta\rho$ ' ov  $\mu$ '  $\epsilon\rho\eta\mu\nu$  ov  $\delta\sigma\alpha\nu$  for a beginning. Our molossus,  $\epsilon\rho\eta\nu$ , comes in next most conveniently, and  $\epsilon\rho\eta\nu$  naturally ends the address.

Lo, where thy father Lot beside the hearth Lies like a log, and all but smouldered out!

Words. Useful hints may be got from Aeschylus P. V. 351 ff. 'Hearth,' best ἐστία: we need think of no other word if this will do. 'Like': besides the adjectives of this meaning, ἐμφερής, προσεμφερής, ὅμοιος, we may use the adverbs ώς or ὅπως, δίκην etc.; or the simile is neatly turned by τις (Lat. quidam), κορμός τις, ξύλον τι (note quantity). ἀχρεῖον δέμας, from the passage of Aesch., may be found useful. 'Smouldered out': for this we have some fine words, as ἀνθρακόσμαι, κατ- (both in Aeschylus), from which ἠνθρακωμένος makes a good ending: φεψαλόω (ἐφεψαλώθη κάξεβροντήθη σθένος, P. V. 363): πυρόω may be used in conjunction with one of these; by itself it is rather weak, and may mean simply 'set on fire' (lit. or metaph.): lastly τύφω with its ptcp. τεθυμμένος (Aesch.).

Form. Do not translate 'Lo where' literally; 'see how' would do, but it is better to use the parenthesis, 'Thy

father, seest not? lies...' The particle should be  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$ , as looking forward; no special word need answer to this, but it so happens we have a natural antithesis in 'brethren,' line 10. 'Seest not,' gives a cretic, οὐχ ὁρậs, which we will place in the First Cretic Position. We then get: ὁ σὸς πατὴρ μένούχ ὁρᾶς; Or by combining both methods, ἰδού, πατήρ μένοὖχ ὁρậς; (Be careful not to say ἰδοὺ μέν, πατήρ, a frequent mistake of beginners. The idiom is, vocatives and exclamations are outside the construction, and the conjunctions are placed as if no such thing was there: thus ιω παντλάμων Νιόβα, σὲ δ' ἔγωγε νέμω θεόν, Soph. El. 150.) The line runs on to its completion naturally, παρ' έστία (or παρέστιος). But the name 'Lot' remains, and though this can be left out without harm, it is easy to get it in by the parenthetic device, Λωτὸν λέγω: this we place at the end instead of the phrase suggested. The next line will begin κείται, and end παρ' ἐστία. 'Like a log,' ξύλον τι, as amphibrach, will come second; and here observe a chance of expressing the implied antithesis, the device so familiar in Greek: 'a log, and no man,' κοὖκ ἀνήρ. This completes the line. two lines have each a cretic in the First Position, but the monotony is carried off by the variety of pause and rhythm in other respects. 'All but smouldered out' had better fill the whole line, in a couple of big and sounding words: such as φεψαλωθείς καὶ τεθυμμένος σχεδόν, or (since this leaves an initial gap) σχεδον πυρωθείς και κατηνθρακωμένος.

ίδού, πατηρ μέν—οὺχ ὁρῷς;—Λωτὸν λέγω, κεῖται ξύλον τι κοὐκ ἀνηρ παρ' ἐστίᾳ σχεδὸν πυρωθεὶς καὶ κατηνθρακωμένος.

For ever since when traitor to the King 5 He fought against him in the Barons' war, And Arthur gave him back his territory,

Words. Nothing need be said of most of these words,

which are simple enough; but remember that 'Barons' War' must be paraphrased. Something like 'along with the chiefs' will do: ξὴν πρόμοις χθονός. Or such a phrase as ἀγὰν ἐμφύλιος, 'civil war,' will serve our purpose. 'Traitor': for this idea we have προδότης, προδοῦναι, or perhaps ἄπιστος etc. Bear in mind that the noun here is an anapaest, and therefore not to be preferred if other turns will do. 'His territory' will inevitably suggest to the beginner something like τὴν γῆν, τὰ κτήματα, or τοὺς ἀγρούς (perhaps even ὅρους); but a Greek would say ὅσ' εἶχεν, or (if as here rule be implied) σκῆπτρον ὁ εἶχε τὸ πρίν. 'Το get back' is λαβεῖν πάλιν, helped out by 'Αρτύρου δόντος (else it might mean 'take by force'): or the usual word, κομίζεσθαι.

Form. Here too lurks a concealed antithesis, 'having betrayed his friends and become an enemy': hence the adjs.  $\pi o \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \mu \iota o s$  and  $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o s$  will be distinctly in point. 'Fight against the king' gives us, in poetic phrase,  $\dot{\xi} \iota \iota \mu \beta a \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \iota \nu$   $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota \nu$ ,  $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota \nu$ . We begin, then,

έξ οὖ γὰρ - ὑ ξὺν πρόμοις χθονὸς - - - ὑ ξυμβαλὼν - δόρυ.

> έξ οὖ γάρ, ἴσθι, ξὺν πρόμοις χθονὸς προδοὺς βασιλέα, πολέμιον ξυμβαλὼν φίλοις δόρυ.

The next line will run: 'he received back from him all (or the sceptre) which he had before.' ὅσ' εἶχεν may stand first, and κομίζεται last (for the aor. ἐκομίσατο, though possible in elision, must not be used here, because that would make the resolved feet too many). The rest is simple, and we have:

όσ' είχε, δύντος 'Αρτύρου, κομίζεται.

His age hath slowly drooped, and now lies there A yet-warm corpse, and yet unburiable, No more; nor sees, nor hears, nor speaks, nor knows.

Words. 'Droop' may be rendered by the proper part of τήκω, μαραίνω. Metrical phrases for 'slowly' are κατά σμικρόν, κατ' ημαρ. The chief difficulty lies in lines 8 and 9. First we must avoid the word θερμός, which would not mean, as the English, a corpse only not cold, but rather a fiery and lively corpse, a corpse with a hot temper. The beginner may be tempted to make a facile line with οὐ ψυχρός, οὐχὶ θαπτέος, but in these words though the letter may live the spirit is dead beyond all doubt. Greek genius giving this idea would perhaps have coined a phrase like γάμος ἄγαμος; yet even here note that the qualification depreciates the noun, while in our phrase the noun is exalted by it. We have νεκρὸς ἔμψυχος in Soph. Antig. 1167; or we might say νεκρός τε κου νεκρός but that line 4 has a phrase on the same lines. I suggest νεκρὸς ἄθαπτος, ζων ἔτι. (Verbals in -τος can have much the same meaning as those in -τέος, for λυτός often means 'able to be set loose.') 'No more' will be some variation of οὐδὲν (γὰρ) ἄλλο. For the remainder, a string of verbs οὐ φωνεί¹, οὐκ ἀκούει is not only impracticable in the verse, but is both monotonous and clumsy. It is better to use the line of Sophocles (O. T. 371) τυφλὸς τά τ' ὧτα τὸν τε νοῦν τά τ' ὄμματα, which exactly hits the nail.

Form. τήκεται is a cretic, μαραίνεται fits the verse-end: in κατ' ήμαρ we have a bacchius. The line runs into a simple mould, γήρας κατ' ήμαρ τήκεται, and κείται δὲ νῖν follows as simply. The line of Sophocles suggested above takes the next place, leaving only 'nor speaks'; which, to give some variety, we will turn by a genitive + adjective idiom, λόγων ἄφωνος.

γήρας κατ' ήμαρ τήκεται, κείται δὲ νῦν νεκρός γ' ἄθαπτος ζῶν ἔτ'—οὐδὲν ἄλλο γάρ—

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  i.e. makes articulate sounds. Not  $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota,$  which means 'makes a speech.'

· τυφλὸς τά τ' ὧτα τόν τε νοῦν τά τ' ὅμματα, λόγων ἄφωνος.

 $\gamma \epsilon$  calling attention to the unusual sense of νεκρός as  $\tau \iota s$  in ξύλον  $\tau \iota$ .

10 And both thy hrethren are in Arthur's hall, Albeit neither loved with that full love I feel for thee, nor worthy such a love.

Words. We need go no further for 'brethren' than κασίγνητος, since that is the very word for the latter half of the verse. 'Arthur's hall' will be the 'royal palace,' or 'his (or Arthur's) royal palace,' δόμοι τυραννικοί. The succeeding phrases are easy to turn literally and their best rendering will be discussed along with the form. Be careful not to use ζώσι for 'are': this means 'they are alive,' not 'they dwell.' ναίω is the proper word; or here, anything that means 'tarry.'

Form. The line will end,  $\tau \omega$  κασιγνήτω δ' ἔτι ('moreover,' δέ answering to  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$  in line 2). The next line seems to make itself:  $\nu \alpha \acute{\epsilon} \circ \iota \circ \iota - - \grave{\epsilon} \nu$  τυραννικοῖς δόμοις, which we may complete by using ἔντος, with the necessary changes. Now comes another concealed antithesis: a Greek would say, 'beloved both  $(\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu)$ , yet  $(\delta \grave{\epsilon})$  less than thou: for they are not worthy.' We have, then, for a skeleton,  $\phi \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$   $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$   $\mathring{\epsilon} \mu \phi \omega$ ,  $- \omega \sigma \circ \mathring{\epsilon}$  δ  $\mathring{\tau} \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu$   $\tau \circ \lambda \acute{\nu}$ , and in the next line,  $\delta \acute{\nu}$   $\gamma \grave{\epsilon} \rho d \acute{\epsilon} \iota \circ \iota$ . (It will be seen that we thus avoid the difficulty of choosing a noun for 'love.') 'Such a love' will be rendered in Greek simply by 'worthy of the same,' or 'to have the same.' It will surely do no harm to add  $\mu \eta \tau \rho \acute{\epsilon}$  in (11), and  $\mathring{\sigma}$   $\phi \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \tau \sigma \tau \acute{\epsilon}$  in (12), which clinches and sums up the statement just made; and this completes the paragraph.

τω κασιγνήτω δ' ἔτι ναίουσιν ἔντος των τυραννικων δόμων φίλω μὲν ἄμφω μητρί, σοῦ δ' ἦσσον πολύ, ὧ φίλτατ', οὐ γὰρ ἄξιοι ταὐτοῦ τυχεῖν.

### XVI.

Edward.Thy worth, sweet friend, is far above my gifts, therefore to equal it, receive my heart; if for these dignities thou be envied, I'll give thee more; for, but to honour thee, 5 is Edward pleased with kingly regiment. Fearst thou thy person? thou shalt have a guard. Wantest thou gold? go to my treasury. Wouldst thou be loved and feared? receive my seal, save or condemn, and in our name command 10 whatso thy mind commends, or fancy likes. It shall suffice me to enjoy thy love: which whiles I have, I think myself as great as Caesar riding in the Roman street with captive kings at his triumphant car. MARLOWE, Edward II. i. 1. 160.

Edward. Thy worth, sweet friend, is far above my gifts, therefore to equal it, receive my heart;

Henceforward Words and Form will be treated together. Euripides uses the noun ἀξία, but it has a prosaic smack. It will be better to say simply 'thou art far better than my gifts,' πολὺ κρείσσων τῶν ἐμῶν δώρων. 'Sweet friend' is of course ὧ φίλτατε. The only difficulty lies in the phrase 'receive my heart.' Beginners seem unable to resist the temptation to write καρδίαν λάβε, but there is no parallel for such an expression; however, ἐκ καρδίας φιλεῦν may prove useful, or σπλάγχνα in some form. But a more idiomatic paraphrase is 'I love thee as I ought,' ὡς χρεών; a very strong expression in Greek. A more ornamental expression would be ἰσόρροπον πόθον βουκολεῦν. (Note that ἔρως means 'passion,' and στοργή is prosaic; but στέργηθρον will do if a noun is wanted.) The English is admirably simple; and therefore the simpler the

Greek is, so much the better. As regards the general form of the sentence, parenthetic  $\gamma\acute{a}\rho$  is the neatest turn: 'O sweet friend (for thou art better...), know that I love thee as I ought.'

The first thing to notice is that  $\tau \hat{\omega} \hat{\nu} \ \hat{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \hat{\nu}$  is a cretic, and that  $\kappa \rho \hat{\epsilon} (\sigma \sigma \omega \nu \ \pi \circ \lambda \hat{\nu})$  form a four-syllable final of a common type (Type I.).  $\vec{\omega} \ \phi (\lambda \tau a \tau \epsilon)$ , in elision, may begin the line as a palimbacchius, and the space now left is just filled by  $\epsilon \hat{t} \ \gamma \hat{a} \rho$ . The second line will begin with  $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho \omega \nu$ , and here also a cretic is ready:  $\hat{\omega} s \ \chi \rho \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ . As  $\hat{t} \sigma \theta \iota$  is too short to be placed between them, we take the compound  $\kappa \hat{a} \tau \iota \sigma \theta \iota$ , and add  $\mu \epsilon$ :  $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{o} \hat{v} \nu \tau \hat{a} \sigma \epsilon$  completes the line:

ὧ φίλτατ, εἶ γὰρ τῶν ἐμῶν κρείσσων πολὺ δώρων, κάτισθί μ' ὡς χρεὼν φιλοῦντά σε.

if for these dignities thou be envied, I'll give thee more;

'Dignities' may be left untranslated; and 'for these dignities' will be simply  $d\nu \tau i \tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon$  or  $\tau o \iota \tau \omega \nu$ . If we use that participial construction which the Greeks are so fond of, and write 'being held worthy,'  $d\xi \iota \omega \theta \epsilon \iota \epsilon$ , instead of  $d\nu \tau \iota$ , we get a more distinct expression of 'dignities' in a different way. The conjunction  $\eta \nu$  (as the supposition is general) with this participle makes up a penthemimer. 'Envied' may be  $\epsilon \pi \iota \iota \iota \varphi \theta o \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$  with a general subject. The former is more simple, and moreover  $\tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon$  will combine with it into a five-syllable group after Type X. We have now only to find a verb which in the required form will be an iambus.  $\epsilon \iota \nu \iota \nu$  will not do, but  $\gamma \iota \nu \iota \nu \nu$  will:  $\gamma \iota \nu \iota \nu$ .

ην δ' άξιωθεὶς τωνδ' ἐπίφθονος γένη...

'More' is πλείω or πλείονα. A possible translation is πλείω δίδωμι; but a strong line may be made by reinforcing

the idea of 'more': adding  $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda\alpha$ , and the emphatic  $\kappa\alpha i$ , and using the compound  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\delta i\delta\omega\mu\iota$ . We get now  $\kappa\alpha i \pi\lambda\epsilon i\sigma\nu' \tilde{a}\lambda\lambda\alpha$  as a penthemimer (palimbacchius and trochee, Type II.). The verb forms a cretic in its participle,  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\delta\iota\delta\sigma\nu'$ , and this becomes possible for us if we make the main verb a quasi-auxiliary, such as  $\phi\alpha\nu'\eta\sigma\sigma\rho\mu\alpha\iota$ .

καὶ πλείον άλλα προσδιδούς φανήσομαι.

for, but to honour thee, 5 is Edward pleased with kingly regiment.

'To honour thee' becomes in the emphatic Greek idiom, 'for this,' τούτου ἔνεκα... 'that I may honour,' ὅπως (or ἕνα) τιμήσω σε. 'Pleased with kingly regiment' is simply 'I rule gladly,' using ἤδομαι or ἤδέως; but the English is somewhat unusual, and we shall do well to search for a more elaborate phrase than the simple 'rule.' Such a phrase is νέμειν κράτος; and as ήδέως and ἤδομαι are both cretic, we may write ήδέως νέμω κράτος or ἦδομαι νέμων κράτος, for the hephthemimer. We soon see a palimbacchius in τούτου γάρ; and although ἔνεκα will not scan before a vowel, its longer form οὖνεκα will:

τούτου γάρ οῦνεχ' ήδομαι νέμων κράτος...

In the next line,  $\delta \pi \omega s$  will come first, and  $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$  (if used) finds its inevitable place as a molossus. We can doubtless find other words which will compress the idea into three or four feet; but it will be more telling if we carry it on to the end of the line. One way of so doing is to interpolate a phrase such as  $\tau o \iota o \dot{\nu} \tau o s$ , 'so dear a friend'; or  $\tau o \iota o \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\nu} \sigma s$   $\phi \dot{\iota} \lambda o v$   $\dot{\nu} \tau \tau a$ , which is easily arranged.  $\phi \dot{\iota} \lambda o v$  is the final iambus,  $\ddot{\sigma} v \tau a$  the trochee to precede our molossus, and  $\tau o \iota o \dot{\nu} \tau o v$  (with the first syllable short) takes the bacchius position:

όπως τοιοῦτόν σ' όντα τιμήσω φίλον.

Fearst thou thy person? thou shalt have a guard. Wantest thou gold? go to my treasury.

In doing these two lines we should keep the proportion. In the English, half a line is given to each question and half to its answer. This is impracticable in Greek; and we should accordingly give a complete line, or something near it, to each.  $\tilde{\eta}$   $\gamma \acute{a} \rho$  is a natural phrase to begin with, and 'thy person' will be  $\sigma \acute{\omega} \mu a \tau os \pi \acute{e} \rho \iota$ . The verb we want should scan as bacchius or its equivalent; hence we choose not  $\phi o \beta \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ , but  $\delta \acute{\epsilon} \delta o \iota \kappa as$  or  $\pi \rho o \tau a \rho \beta \epsilon \acute{\iota} s$ .  $\sigma \acute{\omega} \mu a \tau os$  (as a cretic) may come next, with  $\pi \acute{e} \rho \iota$  last, leaving one foot between. Here may be placed  $\tau o \hat{\iota} \sigma o \hat{\iota}$ , or  $\tau o \hat{\iota} \gamma \epsilon$  as a trochee may precede  $\sigma \acute{\omega} \mu a \tau os$ . The last is best, since  $\sigma o \hat{\iota} v$  would never be used in Greek unless specially emphatic.

# η γαρ δέδοικας του γε σώματος πέρι;

'Guard' is the idea which must fill the answering line. The simple  $\phi$ νλακες or  $\phi$ ρουροί can easily be expanded into a phrase :  $\phi$ ρούρημα πιστόν, for instance (which scans already), with some explanatory genitive such as the times would suggest. δορυφόροι is a natural word; and as this is a cretic-equivalent (the first syllable resolved), we place δορυφόρων next.  $\mathring{a}$ νδρ $\mathring{\omega}$ ν may now be added, a touch of the lofty style; and the last word may be  $\mathring{\epsilon}$ χε or  $π\acute{a}$ ρa:

φρούρημα πιστον δορυφόρων ανδρών πάρα.

The next question and answer may be comprest into one line;  $\chi\rho\nu\sigma\delta\nu$   $\theta\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota$ s may stand first, as in Type VII., and 'treasury' gives a palimbacchius to follow— $\theta\eta\sigma\alpha\nu\rho\delta$ s. A literal translation of 'go' will not be idiomatic; a Greek would probably say 'there is,' 'I have,' 'behold.'  $\epsilon\sigma\tau\iota\nu$  may follow next, and it is not difficult to think of  $\epsilon\nu$   $\delta\delta\mu\iota$ s as a final critic:

χρυσον θέλεις; θησαυρός έστιν έν δόμοις.

Wouldst thou be loved and feared? receive my seal,

'Loved' and 'feared' can be forcibly exprest by nouns: 'wouldst thou become love and fear,'  $\tilde{a}\rho a$  (or  $\tilde{\eta}$  καὶ) γένοιο  $\tilde{a}\nu$  πόθος καὶ δεῖμα; This gives two groups of Type I. immediately:  $\tilde{\eta}$  καν γένοιο. δεῖμα will follow after, and καὶ πόθος take the Second Cretic Position. It is natural to wind up the sentence with πόλει, ἀστοῖς, or βροτοῖς:

η καν γένοιο δείμα καὶ πόθος βροτοίς;

'Seal' is  $\sigma\phi\rho\alpha\gamma$ is, and  $\sigma\phi\rho\alpha\gamma$ is'  $\xi\chi\epsilon$  may end the line,  $\tau\eta\nu$   $\xi\mu\eta\nu$  preceding it as a cretic. (A line of Type VII. may also be made, beginning  $\xi\mu\eta\nu$   $\xi\chi\epsilon$   $\sigma\phi\rho\alpha\gamma$ i $\delta\alpha...$ ) The first penthemimer may now be  $i\delta\omega$ ,  $\pi\delta\rho\epsilon\sigma\tau\iota$ , or something of the kind.

ίδού, πάρεστι, τὴν ἐμὴν σφραγίδ ἔχε.

save or condemn, and in our name command 10 whatso thy mind commends, or fancy likes.

σώζειν is 'save,' and its opposite κτείνειν will be better than any literal rendering. A beginner may now be tempted to write καὶ σῷζε, κτεῖνε, forgetting that κτ- must lengthen the preceding syllable. It is simple to transpose the two. 'In our name' may be ἀντ' ἐμοῦ, but this lacks the dignity of the English. A more formal phrase is made by using ἐχέγγυος 'responsible,' εμε εχεγγυον έχων; 'command' is τάσσε, πρόστασσε, κέλευε, and so forth. 'Mind' and 'fancy' will be well translated by φροντίς and θυμός; the verb may be simpler than the English, and one will do for both, with such a meaning as 'prompts' or 'teaches': say διδάσκειν. This gives a bacchius: and with the conjunction ως αν will take the form διδάσκη. A molossus is made by coupling  $\eta$  with either  $\phi_{\rho o \nu \tau i s}$  or  $\theta_{\nu \mu i s}$ , and the other being in either case a trochee may precede the 'Thy' now remains; not necessary, but here convenient, for in its ancient form  $\sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu$  it just completes our line. Returning now to the line before, which is still unfinished, we note that  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon$  with  $\tilde{\epsilon} \chi \omega \nu$  makes up a cretic group, while  $\tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \gamma \gamma \nu \sigma \nu$  is of the right form to complete a line of Type I.; and placing  $\mu \epsilon$  before this we have

καὶ κτείνε, σῷζε, τάσσ' ἔχων μ' ἔχέγγυον ώς αν διδάσκη φροντὶς ἢ θυμὸς σέθεν.

Gaveston. It shall suffice me to enjoy thy love:

'It shall suffice' yields a cretic, ἀρκέσει; or we may paraphrase οὐδὲν εὕχομαι πλέον. 'Love' can hardly be rendered here by anything but a noun, φίλος being rather weak: let us say then ἢν ἔχω τὸ σὸν στέργηθρον. We are now in a position to make the line. ἀλλ' ἀρκέσει forms the first group of words, and implies a line of Type IV. or VII., στέργηθρον following. ἢν ἔχω will come next as a cretic, and τὸ σόν completes the verse:

αλλ' αρκέσει στέργηθρον ἢν ἔχω τὸ σόν.

which whiles I have, I think myself as great as Caesar riding in the Roman street with captive kings at his triumphant car.

A literal translation, such as  $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega\nu$   $\gamma\lambda\rho$   $\tau o\hat{\nu}\tau o$ , is possible enough, but  $o\tilde{\nu}\tau\omega$   $\gamma\delta\rho$  is most convenient metrically: this will be placed at the beginning. 'As great as Caesar' in Greek idiom will be  $o\tilde{\nu}\chi$   $\tilde{\eta}\sigma\sigma\omega\nu$  Ka $i\sigma\alpha\rho$ os, or (still stronger)  $o\tilde{\nu}\delta$  (not even) Ka $i\sigma\alpha\rho$ os  $\tilde{\eta}\sigma\sigma\omega\nu$ . These words may be made to scan as they stand, by inserting  $\gamma\epsilon$ , which is justified by the emphasis. 'I think myself,'  $\delta o\kappa\hat{\omega}$ , takes the last place:

ούτω γαρ οὐδὲ Καίσαρός γ' ήσσων δοκώ...

'In the Roman street' is simply κατὰ πόλιν, the word Rome being unnecessary. This phrase scans either as πόλιν κάτα or as κατὰ πτόλιν; the latter is preferable, being rather more dignified and formal. 'With' is often ἔχων or ἄγων, according to context: this in the required case gives ἄγοντος, an amphibrachys: 'captive kings' is αἰχμαλώτους (or δούλους)

βασιλέας. Here we have material for a verse of Type I. If ἄγοντος be placed second, we have a spondee δούλους for the first foot; βασιλέας is a resolved cretic, and follows next, and κατὰ πτόλιν completes the line:

δούλους άγοντος βασιλέας κατά πτόλιν...

'Triumphant car' is νικηφόρον ἄρμα, or ἄμαξα, singular or plural, or δίφρος; and 'at' will he 'behind,' ὅπισθεν, or something of the sort. Placing ὅπισθεν before a hephthemimeral caesura, and νικηφόρων before it, we shall make a line of Type IV. or VII. ἀρμάτων follows, but thus there is left a foot with nothing to fill it, unless we pad out with ποτέ. As this would weaken the effect of the line, suppose νικηφόρων be reinforced by another adjective, such as παγκρατῶν, and δίφρων end the line. The student will remember that it is true Greek idiom to add two or more adjectives to one noun without any conjunction. We then have for the final verse

νικηφόρων ὅπισθε παγκρατῶν δίφρων.

### XVII.

Gods, what a sluice of blood have I let open!

My happy ends are come to birth; he's dead, and I revenged; the Empire's all afire, and desolation everywhere inhabits;
5 and shall I live, that am the author of it, to know Rome, from the awe o' the world, the pity? My friends are gone before too, of my sending; and shall I stay? is aught else to be lived for? Is there another friend, another wife,
10 to linger here alive for? is not virtue, in their two everlasting souls, departed, and in their bodies' first flame fled to heaven?

For, though my justice were as white as truth, 15 my way was crooked to it; that condemns me.

Can any man discover this and love me?

J. FLETCHER.

Gods, what a sluice of blood have I let open!

Now the simple idea of letting out the flood is exprest by some compound of  $i\eta\mu\iota$ , say  $\hat{\epsilon}\xi\hat{\eta}\kappa a$ ; but it so happens that the double compound  $\hat{\epsilon}\xi a\nu\hat{\eta}\kappa a$  is at once more expressive and exactly fits the space.

Ζεῦ, ποῖον εξανηκα φοίνιον ρέος.

My happy ends are come to birth;

A glance at the wording of this sentence ought to recal the familiar heading of inscriptions and public resolutions:  $\mathring{a}\gamma a\theta \mathring{\eta} \tau \acute{\nu}\chi \eta$ , quod felix faustumque sit. Neglecting the word 'ends' we go to the heart of the meaning, which takes this form: 'what I planned (or wished) has turned out luckily,'  $\mathring{\sigma \nu} \tau \acute{\nu}\chi \eta$  or  $\mathring{\sigma \nu} \tau \acute{\nu}\chi \eta$   $\tau \imath \iota \acute{\nu} \acute{\nu}$ . This would give us a line

άβουλόμην γὰρ ξὰν τύχη βέβηκέ μοι,

and that ought to satisfy the beginner. Or again, we may say—

ηθδαιμόνησα δ' ων έβουλόμην τυχείν.

Either is a good enough line as far as the sense goes, and in the early stages all we ask, is the sense in correct verse. But

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This word is commonly in Homer, and sometimes in Attic, used to express surprise or horror.

δόλος λοχευθείς ξὺν τύχη πέφυκέ μοι.

he's dead,

and I revenged;

This line needs little remark.  $\delta$   $\mu \epsilon \nu \mid \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \epsilon$  scans at once as a penthemimer of the First Type. 'I am revenged' would probably be exprest in Greek by some phrase with  $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ : e.g.  $\kappa a \lambda \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \mu \omega \mid \delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ , or  $\kappa a \lambda \delta \delta \kappa \gamma \nu \mid \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \mu \omega$ , either of which is a hephthemimer of some known Type. But here again the sense is given, the form neglected. Where is the contrast between 'he' and 'I' which we see in the English? We must try to get an  $\epsilon \gamma \omega$  at the end of the line, to balance  $\delta \mu \epsilon \nu$ . Well, the change is easy; all we need do is to alter the verb to  $\epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi a$ , and write

ὁ μὲν τέθνηκε, τὴν δίκην δ' εἴληφ' ἐγώ.

Observe that  $\delta \epsilon$  brings out the contrast better than  $\kappa a i$ , which however would scan well enough.

<sup>1</sup> Metaphors: see Human Body, etc.

the Empire's all afire, and desolation everywhere inhabits;

Here we have two strong metaphors, but both, as it happens, familiar in Greek. 'The empire' will of course be  $\pi\delta\lambda$ s or  $\mathring{\eta}$   $\gamma\mathring{\eta}$ ; the latter preferable, because  $\pi\delta\lambda$ s might burn literally.  $\phi\lambda\acute{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon\iota$   $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\nu$  (amphibrachys) stands first, and  $\mathring{\eta}$   $\gamma\mathring{\eta}$  follows. The second metaphor is rarer and stronger, but we actually have 'desolation' personified more than once. For instance, Alcestis 944

ή μεν γαρ ένδον έξελα μ' έρημία.

Now  $\hat{\epsilon}\rho\eta\mu\ell a$  is an iambic quadrisyllable, and 'everywhere' at once gives a cretic  $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi c \hat{v}$ ; thus the line is complete. 'Inhabits' would literally be  $\hat{\epsilon}\nu o \iota \kappa c \hat{\iota}$ , but this, being a bacchius, leaves one iambus to fill with padding. This will never do, for any word added would simply water down the metaphor; but fortunately a remedy is not far to seek. Use the adjective instead of the verb, and write

φλέγει μεν ή γή, πανταχοῦ δ' ερημία ενοικος.

I think this apparent contradiction of ἐρημία ἔνοικος, which might mean 'a wilderness inhabited,' is piquant, and would have been pleasing to a people fond as the Greeks were of 'irony.'

5 and shall I live, that am the author of it, to know Rome, from the awe o' the world, the pity?

The Form will be somewhat different in Greek. We should seize on the opportunity of using  $\tau\lambda\dot{\eta}\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$  or some synonym,  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\theta\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\xi}\omega$  or the like: 'shall I, he who did it ( $\delta$   $\delta\rho\dot{\alpha}\sigma\alpha$ s), living endure to see Rome,' etc. 'From' is quite Greek, and  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$   $\delta\epsilon\dot{\iota}\mu\alpha\tau$ os |  $oldsymbol{\epsilon}\kappa\tau$ ov  $\gamma\epsilon\dot{\nu}\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$  would certainly be understood, though put just so it looks rather harsh. It will

be better here to say 'Rome, once being the awe, now becomes the pity':

την πρίν οὖσαν σέβας, νῦν γεγῶσαν οἶκτον ἀνθρώπων.

We now turn to the modelling of the verse. The first section, an amphibrachys, has already been written; we begin then with a spondee or trochee, which is naturally  $\tilde{a}\rho'$  ov. Now there is no place in this line for  $\delta$   $\delta\rho\dot{a}\sigma as$ , which is a bacchius; and the phrase must come in this line. It must therefore be changed somehow so as to get another metrical value. If we insert  $\pi\hat{a}\nu$  after  $\delta$  we get the equivalents of a long word ---, containing a molossus. We know at once where such a word must go; and placing it there on trial, we see that it needs one long syllable to conform the line to Type X. 'Living' gives us this word,  $\zeta\hat{\omega}\nu$ ; and with  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$  last, we get

The next line may begin  $P \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu \mid \pi \rho i \nu \ o \bar{v} \sigma a \nu$  (spondee + amphibrachys),  $\dot{a}\nu\theta\rho\dot{\omega}\pi\omega\nu$  may fall in the molossus place and  $\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\beta as$  last. No obvious trochee is forthcoming; and we consider next how to remodel the phrase. Now  $\pi\rho i \nu$  may be changed to  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \tau\hat{\phi}$   $\pi\rho\dot{\iota}\nu$ , and this may take the molossus position, with the trochee  $o\bar{v}\sigma a \nu$  before it, if we can hit on a bacchius equivalent for  $\dot{a}\nu\theta\rho\dot{\omega}\pi\omega\nu$ . Such a bacchius is  $\dot{a}\pi\dot{a}\nu\tau\omega\nu$ :

... Τώμην, απάντων οὖσαν ἐν τῷ πρὶν σέβας.

We have already a possible penthemimer in γεγώσαν | οἶκτον (Type II.), and the final penthemimer may be τλήσομαι βλέπων, or ἀνθέξω βλέπων; but again a trochee fails. Suppose we place οἶκτον in the gap, choosing now of course the verb beginning with a vowel: is there any word which can stand either before or after the amphibrachys? We have widened the choice a little, it will be seen, for a spondee or a trochee will do now. Looking back to the phrase which is balanced

against this, we notice the word ἀπάντων. Let this be repeated as πάντων, and we have

πάντων γεγώσαν οἶκτον ἀνθέξω βλέπων;

My friends are gone before too, of my sending;

'Gone before' is φροῦδοι, or οἴχονται, or both; φίλοι may stand last. 'Of my sending' will naturally be 'I having sent,' ἐμοῦ πέμψαντος. Here is a line already of Types VII. and IV. combined:

φροῦδοί γ' ἐμοῦ πέμψαντος οἴχονται φίλοι.

We cannot express the sense better, but the form may be made more dignified. The student may remember the words  $\pi o \mu \pi \delta s$  and  $\pi \rho o \pi o \mu \pi \delta s$ , and if we use the metaphorical  $\chi \epsilon i \rho$ , we get

φρούδοι, προπομπού της έμης χερός, φίλοι.

and shall I stay? is aught else to be lived for?

The first phrase has only to be literally translated, with the deliberative subjunctive, and scans so:  $\hat{\epsilon}\gamma\hat{\omega}$   $\delta\hat{\epsilon} \mid \mu\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu\omega$ ; (amphibrachys + spondee, Type II.). The second part is not quite so obvious, but it ought to suggest the verbal  $\beta\iota\omega\tau\hat{\epsilon}o\nu$ . Now if we can find a cretic for 'why,' the line is done; and there is a cretic for 'why'— $\tau o\hat{\nu}$   $\chi\acute{a}\rho\nu$ .

έγω δε μείνω; τοῦ χάριν βιωτέον;

Is there another friend, another wife, to linger here alive for?

If this be translated literally, we get ἄρ' ἐστὶν ἄλλος τις φίλος, ἄλλη γυνή, which almost scans as it is. But it is easier, and better Greek perhaps, to say 'What other friend is there?' τίς ἄλλος (amphibrachys), with φίλος before it, makes a

penthemimer: τίς δ' ἄλλη (molossus) with γυνή after it, a final penthemimer: place ἐστὶ between and you have

φίλος τίς ἄλλος ἐστί, τίς δ' ἄλλη γυνή,

a much better sentence; for it throws up the first word  $\phi i \lambda os$  into sharp contrast with the last word  $\gamma u \nu \eta$ , and these are important words. 'To live for' gives  $\delta \nu \chi \alpha \rho \nu \chi \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon i \mu \epsilon$ , or something of that sort. But a cretic is not what we want for the earlier part of the verse; it must be altered; and the first thing that suggests itself is to write  $\delta \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$ , making the cretic an iambic quadrisyllable. Now  $\zeta \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon i \mu \epsilon$  can follow immediately after, according to Type VII.:

ωνπερ χάριν ζην δεί με;

is not virtue, in their two everlasting souls, departed, and in their bodies' first flame fled to heaven?

We first notice that the sentence will almost certainly begin with a vowel,  $\tilde{a}\rho a$ , où  $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$ , or the like; and that a vowel cannot stand after  $\mu\epsilon$ . But the English justifies our adding  $\check{\epsilon}\tau\iota$ , which meets the difficulty:

A more serious difficulty is found in the thoughts we have here to translate. The Greek idea of a future life was so far different from ours, that any allusions to it are always hard to translate well. But the idea of virtue flying away to the gods is familiar enough. So far so good; virtue may fly to heaven: but what of 'immortal souls'? There is nothing for it but to use  $\psi \nu \chi \dot{\eta}$ , which no doubt to an educated Greek would mean something more than the mere life of the body, although it is often used for this alone. But immortal, as a stock epithet, is quite impossible. A Greek poet might state that the soul is immortal; he would never assume it. 'Immortal' must therefore be made part of the statement; and the neatest way to make it so, is to mould the whole

sentence after this fashion: 'Has not virtue ( $\hbar\rho\epsilon\tau\dot{\eta}$ , with crasis) flown away to heaven ( $\pi\rho\delta s$   $o\ell\rho\alpha\nu\delta\nu$ ), with these two, to live for ever with their souls,  $\xi\nu\nu\omega\kappa\dot{\eta}\sigma\sigma\nu\sigma\alpha$   $d\epsilon\dot{\iota}$   $\tau\alpha\dot{\iota}s$   $\psi\nu\chi\alpha\dot{\iota}s$ ?' The rest needs only one remark: that 'bodies' will probably be 'flesh,' and this in Greek is usually  $\sigma\dot{\alpha}\rho\kappa\epsilon s$  (pl.).

We may now proceed to the composition. A penthemimer remains of the line we have begun, and a cretic has been found in  $\delta\rho\epsilon\tau\dot{\eta}$  ( $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{d}\rho\epsilon\tau\dot{\eta}$ ); place before this  $\dot{o}\dot{v}$   $\gamma\dot{a}\rho$  as the interrogative, and the line now runs—

ωνπερ χάριν ζην δει μ' ἔτ'; οὐ γὰρ ἀρετή....

'With these two' is  $\xi \partial \nu \tau \sigma \hat{\imath} \nu \delta \epsilon$ , and it is not difficult to find a verb for 'flown away,'  $\hat{a}\pi \epsilon \pi \tau a \tau \sigma$ , which could follow next in elision. ( $\hat{a}\pi \psi \chi \epsilon \tau \sigma$  or  $\hat{a}\pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$  would do also.)  $\pi \rho \delta s$  od  $\rho a \nu \delta \nu$ , an iambic quadrisyllable, will stand last. For the eretic, we may use an epithet to enforce the verb,  $\epsilon \nu \delta \tau \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma s$ . This will imply both 'flying swiftly' and 'winging its happy way'; and so is one of those words pregnant with meaning which poets love. This line is now done:

ξὺν τοῖνδ' ἀπέπτατ' εὖπτερος πρὸς οὐρανόν....

'With the first flame of their bodies' is  $\xi v \pi \rho \omega \tau$  as  $\sigma a \rho \kappa \omega \nu \phi \lambda o \gamma a v s$ ; in which it is not difficult to see a cretic,  $\xi v \psi \lambda o \gamma a v s$ , a spondee  $\sigma a \rho \kappa \omega \nu$ , and a palimbacchius  $\pi \rho \omega \tau a \omega \sigma$ . To complete the line, we need an iambic quadrisyllable. A new sentence begins here, and one of the words in it is 'for ever': this gives  $\dot{a} \epsilon v \delta s$ , and if we add  $\pi o v$ , we may put down the line complete:

πρώταισι σαρκών ξύν φλογαίς, ἀεὶ δέ που....

The remaining words require only a glance, to show that with  $\psi_{VX}a\hat{i}s$  first we have a hephthemimer of Type XI.:

ψυχαις ξυνοικήσουσα;

Can any man discover this and love me? For, though my justice were as white as truth, my way was crooked to it; that condemns me.

Only one change needs making here: 'discover and love me' should be 'knowing this, would love me':  $\tau$  is  $\delta$ '  $\epsilon$  idàs (molossus)  $\tau$  ide,  $\phi$  ide i in already begun; the second part contains a bacchius, and if we insert  $\epsilon \tau$ , this with  $\delta \nu$  will make a spondee to go before the bacchius:

τίς δ' εἰδὼς τάδε ἔτ' ἄν φιλοίη με; (with vowel following).

The next sentence may be connected by a relative,  $o\tilde{v}$ ,  $o\tilde{v}\gamma\epsilon$ , or  $o\tilde{v}\pi\epsilon\rho$ , which gives the required vowel beginning. The phrasing is not easy. I have met with no such phrase in Greek as  $\lambda\epsilon\nu\kappa\dot{\gamma}$   $\delta(\kappa\eta)$ ; and it will be necessary to use  $\lambda\alpha\mu\pi\rho\dot{\delta}s$  or  $\kappa\alpha\theta\alpha\rho\dot{\delta}s$ . But the effect may be given by  $\phi\hat{\omega}s$  used metaphorically. Suppose we say, 'if my justice be bright,'  $\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}$   $\lambda\alpha\mu\pi\rho\dot{\delta}$   $\delta(\kappa\eta)$  (which just completes the line), 'and like the pure light of truth, yet...' Now 'light of truth' gives a five-syllable group,  $\phi\hat{\omega}s$   $\delta\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}as$ , which contains a molossus. Thus Type X. will be our model. If the line begins  $\delta\pi\omega s$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ , then  $\kappa\alpha\theta\alpha\rho\dot{\delta}\nu$  (a trochee-equivalent) may follow, and with  $\delta\mu\omega s$  last we get:

οὖπερ εἶ λαμπρὰ δίκη ὅπως δὲ καθαρὸν φῶς ἀληθείας, ὄμως....

The last two clauses should be run into one, thus: 'I am condemned as having gone in crooked ways,' the metaphor being familiar enough and needing no change. We translate as a first draft ἐλέγχομαι ἰὼν σκολιαῖς ὁδοῖς, which does not look promising. But it is only the look; really the line is easy enough. First, the verb once changed to a compound ἐξελέγχομαι, we get a very convenient type of word (see Types X. and XI.). Then, if μολών be used for ἰών, we get a

final iambus. Lastly, with the long dative  $\delta\delta o i \sigma \iota$ , and  $\sigma \kappa o \lambda \iota a i s$  first as a spondee-equivalent, we have our line complete:

σκολιαίς όδοισιν έξελέγχομαι μολών.

### XVIII.

Belial.Shall we then live thus vile, the race of heaven thus trampled, thus expelled to suffer here chains and these torments? better these than worse. by my advice; since fate inevitable 5 subdues us, and the omnipotent decree, the victor's will. To suffer as to do, our strength is equal, nor the law unjust that so ordains. This was at first resolved, if we were wise, against so great a foe 10 contending, and so doubtful what might fall. This horror will grow mild, this darkness light; besides what hope the never-ending flight of future days may bring, what chance, what change worth waiting. MILTON: Paradise Lost, II. 193.

Belial. Shall we then live thus vile, the race of heaven thus trampled, thus expelled to suffer here chains and these torments?

The student can hardly fail to notice the emphatic repetition of 'thus'; and he will bear in mind that something must be repeated in the Greek to bring out the same effect. The word repeated will not necessarily be over or  $\delta \delta \epsilon$ , but must be a word which a Greek would naturally repeat; and he would most naturally repeat the first word of his question,  $\tilde{\eta}$  or  $\tilde{a}\rho a$ . 'Vile' is  $\phi a \hat{u} \lambda o s$  ('contemptible') rather than  $a l \sigma \chi \rho o s$  ('dishonourable'); and it is easy to make a penthemimer without further thought,  $\tilde{a}\rho$   $\delta \delta \epsilon$  forming a palimbacchius and

φαῦλοι a spondee. Next may stand the remaining English word 'live,' ζωμεν, in the deliberative subjunctive. 'Heaven' being in Greek  $\theta \epsilon o i$ , we are reminded of such phrases as  $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ γένος, θεών or ἐκ θεών γεγώτες, both of which may be combined here. We want a cretic (or molossus) and an iambus to finish the first line, and ἐκ θεῶν is a cretic while γένος can stand for the iambus. veywites may now be placed first in the following line. (A more ornate phrase would be θεων ἀγχίσποροι.) One step further can be taken before we consider the wording of the next sentence, for we have seen that apa ought to be repeated: place this trochee next to γεγώτες. 'Trample' is πατείν, which in the proper construction becomes πατούμενοι. To this λàξ may be added as a strengthening, and the two words can follow immediately upon apa. (The adjective λακπάτητος may some time prove useful, but will not scan here.) 'Expelled' is ἐκπεσόντες, and if ἀρα be again prefixt, the first penthemimer of the third line is ready made. But the necessary iambus is not forthcoming to complete the second line. However, if the construction be changed a little, χρεών will just fit the space; the participles being now put in the accusative.

The next words do not shape themselves so readily into verse. We have these to choose from: αἰκίζω 'I torment,' with the nouns αἰκία and αἴκισμα, οτ ὑβρίζω ὑβρις ὑβρισμα; and 'bind,' δέω, δεσμεύω, with the noun δεσμός, and δεσμώτης 'a prisoner.' For 'suffer' πάσχω cannot be well used along with these, since it is rarely used with a noun of any kind; we can say, however, πάσχειν τάδε, constructing the others as participles. But there is no need to use πάσχειν at all, for a phrase like ὑβρίζεσθαι τάδε is the Greek idiom for 'to suffer these torments.' We can get a strong line by throwing the two ideas of 'chains' and 'torments' into participles: δεδεμένους is a cretic-equivalent, and ὑβρισμένους completes the line. πάσχειν τάδε, with elision (or πάσχειν ἀεὶ τάδε, as shall

prove convenient), may now be placed at the beginning of the next. We then have:

αρ' ὧδε φαῦλοι ζώμεν, ἐκ θεῶν γένος γεγῶτες, ἄρα λὰξ πατουμένους χρεών, ἄρ' ἐκπεσόντας, δεδεμένους, ὑβρισμένους πάσχειν ἀεὶ τάδ';

better these than worse, by my advice; since fate inevitable 5 subdues us, and the omnipotent decree, the victor's will.

The first words give a thoroughly Greek antithesis, and a metrical turn at once: ταῦτ' ἀμείνω χειρόνων, containing cretic and bacchius; which with the emphatic καί before it, may stand as the first penthemimer of the line which follows. This leaves 'by my advice' for completing the unfinished line. Perhaps the student will recollect the phrase of Euripides τύχην γὰρ εἴχομεν διδάσκαλον (Med. 1203), after which model it would be possible to say ἔμοιγε χρώμενοι διδασκάλω. But this does not suit our purpose, and further κριτής comes nearer the thought we have to translate. Suppose we apply the limitative infinitive, and say ὧς ἐμοὶ χρήσθαι κριτή.

'Fate inevitable' is μοῖρ' ἄφευκτος, and a literal translation with this would do well enough. We will see how the rest turns out. For decree, βούλευμα is the proper word, if a noun be used (not θέλημα, which means 'wish'); or a verb such as πέπρωται ταῦτα, or δέδοκται, would be good and idiomatic. 'Omnipotent' may be παναλκής or παγκρατής, and is much better applied to μοῦρα than to βούλευμα, as μοῦρα is more readily personified. This can be done by making ἄφευκτος neuter, and placing μοῦρα παγκρατής in apposition with it. With the particle γάρ we get a four-syllable group ἄφευκτα γάρ, just what is wanted to complete the line in hand. The next has already a trochee and cretic, μοῦρα παγκρατής, which

only need the amphibrachys or palimbacchius prefixed to carry on the versification from that point. Either τάδ' ἐστί or ταῦτ' ἐστί will do for this. We may now finish the line with βούλευμά τε. 'Subdues' has not yet been translated, and 'the victor's will' offers a fine chance of antithesis by combination with it: 'the will of the conqueror conquers us,' τὸ βούλευμα τοῦ νικῶντος νικῷ. It is only necessary to place τὸ τοῦ νικῶντος together, and we see at once that a prefixt spondee will make up a hephthemimer: νικῷ is the spondee, and this section is done:

ως γ' έμοι χρήσθαι κριτή, και ταθτ' ἀμείνω χειρόνων ἄφευκτα γὰρ ταθτ' ἐστί, μοιρα παγκρατής, βούλευμά τε νικά τὸ τοθ νικώντος.

To suffer as to do, our strength is equal, nor the law unjust that so ordains.

Translating the first sentence quite simply, with a suitable conjunction, we get ἀλλὰ σθένομεν (or ἰσχύομεν) καὶ δρᾶν καὶ παθεῖν. ἀλλὶ ἰσχύομεν, it is easy to see, makes a final penthemimer after Type IX. The next line may begin with δρᾶσαι (spondee) παθεῖν τε (amphibrachys); or the relation of the two may be emphasised by using ὁμοίως, δρᾶσαί θ' ὁμοίως (bacchius) καὶ παθεῖν (cretic). 'Unjust law' is νόμος ἔκδικος, and κελεύει is a simple word for 'ordains.' The student can hardly fail to see that οὐδ' ἔκδικος will complete the line just begun, and since κελεύει is a bacchius, νόμος placed before it makes up the remainder of a penthemimer. We have thus for the third section:

άλλ' ἐσχύομεν δρᾶσαί θ' ὁμοίως καὶ παθεῖν, οὐδ' ἔκδικος νόμος κελεύει ταῦτα.

Note that οὐδ' ἔκδικος is inseparable: οὐδέ cannot stand last

in a sentence, and therefore ἔκδικος cannot be regarded as coming under the rule of final cretic.

This was at first resolved, if we were wise, against so great a foe 10 contending, and so doubtful what might fall.

The connexion is exprest by  $\kappa \alpha i$   $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$  'and indeed,' or  $\kappa \alpha i$   $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$   $\delta \dot{\gamma}$  ('you know'). For 'resolved' use  $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \delta \kappa \tau \alpha \iota$  (with  $\dot{\gamma} \mu \hat{\iota} \nu$ , if you will), or  $\beta \epsilon \beta \delta \delta \kappa \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ; 'at first' is  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \iota$  or  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \iota$   $\pi \sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ . From these materials the line now in hand can easily be completed:  $\kappa \alpha i$   $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$   $\delta \dot{\gamma}$  is a molossus group, and  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \iota$  is the final iambus. The next line we may leave until we see what will come next.

A familiar turn suggests itself for 'if we were wise,' εὖ φρονοῦντες. As the last word is an amphibrachys, this can be moulded into a penthemimer by the addition of your, which combines with εν into a spondee. εν γούν φρονούσι then will begin one of the following lines. ἐχθρῷ τοσούτω is a phrase of the same scansion, and the remainder must be fitted if possible into the latter half of each verse. 'Contending' would be in Greek 'as about to contend,' ώς μαχουμένοις. We must now try to get in βεβούλευται with a hephthemimeral caesura; it requires a spondee or iambus before it  $(\eta \mu \hat{u} \nu)$  and a monosyllable after it  $(\pi \sigma \tau \hat{\epsilon})$ . 'Doubtful' is ἀπορῶ, ἄπορος, ἀμηχανῶ or ἀμήχανος. Of these we choose άπορος, because with the conjunction it becomes a cretic: καπόροις. 'What might fall' will be put as a dependent question, τί μέλλει, or with τυγχάνω in the future (not subjunctive, of course): τί τεύξεται. The result is as follows:

καὶ γὰρ δὴ πάλαι ἡμῖν βεβούλευταί ποθ, ὡς μαχουμένοις ἐχθρῷ τοσούτῳ κἀπόροις τί τεύξεται, εὖ γοῦν φρονοῦσι.

This horror will grow mild, this darkness light;

The translation may be quite literal. 'Grow mild' is μαλάσσομαι, the future passive of which is impossible; but poets often use a middle future for passive where the future passive is rare and the form convenient: such as τιμήσεται (Soph. Ant. 210), βουλεύσεται (Aesch. Sept. 198). We may therefore venture to use μαλάξεται, especially as the middle sometimes, if rarely, has the sense of action upon oneself, 'will soften itself.' 'This horror' may be τέρας or δείμα τοῦτο; and we get the τοῦτο γάρ for the cretic, placing τὸ δείμα first in the next line (amphibrachys). The rest runs easily enough: ψῶς γενήσεται ἐκ σκότου. We see here a cretic, ἐκ σκότου, and a four syllable final, γενήσεται. ψῶς with δέ becomes a trochee, and thus falls after the first group of words; and all that remains is to add an emphasising καί:

τοῦτο γὰρ μαλάξεται τὸ δείμα, φῶς δὲ κἀκ σκότου γενήσεται.

besides what hope the never-ending flight of future days may bring, what chance, what change worth waiting.

It suits the Greek idiom to introduce this sentence by some question like  $\tau$  is  $\delta$  ide $\nu$ ; The skeleton will then be, 'Who knows the flight of time, what...it will bring?' The metaphor may be kept if we say  $\chi\rho\delta\nu\nu\nu$   $\pi\tau\epsilon\rho\delta\nu$ , or we may substitute one more familiar:  $\tau$  is  $\delta$  ide... $\chi\rho\delta\nu\nu\nu$   $\pi\delta\delta$ a; 'Besides' is  $\kappa\alpha$  in  $\pi\rho\delta$ s, which may be followed immediately by  $\tau$  is  $\delta$  ide $\nu$  (amphibrachys). A cretic only is lacking to fill the line, and 'never-ending' may be fitly rendered by  $\mu\nu\rho\delta\nu\nu$ . Passing on, we observe that a literal translation  $\tau$  iv'  $\delta\lambda\pi\delta$  if is metrical, and so is  $\tau$  iva  $\tau$  ix  $\tau$  (cretic equivalent), and  $\tau$  iv'  $\delta\lambda\lambda\alpha\gamma\eta\nu$  (four-syllable final). 'Worth waiting' is all that is left for a whole Greek line; for it is rightly regarded

as clumsy to leave a line unfinished. No matter how the line might be treated if we had a whole book of *Paradise Lost* to translate, the piece selected must be regarded as a complete whole. 'Waiting' is  $\tau \rho \iota \beta \dot{\eta}$ , which gives  $\dot{a} \xi l a \nu \tau \rho \iota \beta \dot{\eta} \hat{s}$  on the first attempt.  $\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \hat{s}$  may be added in the fifth foot, and a *dativus commodi* may reinforce the idea of 'waiting': 'to us expecting,'  $\kappa a \rho a \delta o \kappa o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ . This gives us finally

καὶ πρὸς τίς οἶδε μυρίου χρόνου πτερόν, τίν ἐλπίδ ἄξει, τίνα τύχην, τίν ἀλλαγήν, καραδοκοῦσιν ἀξίαν πολλῆς τριβῆς;

#### XIX.

O sage and reverend fathers of this land, here do I stand before your riper years, an unskilled youth, whose voice must in the Diet still be subdued into respectful silence.

- 5 Do not, because that I am young, and want experience, slight my counsel and my words. 'Tis not the wantonness of youthful blood that fires my spirit; but a pang so deep that e'en the flinty rocks must pity me.
- 10 You, too, are fathers, heads of families, and you must wish to have a virtuous son, to reverence your grey hairs, and shield your eyes with pious and affectionate regard.

From SCHILLER.

O sage and reverend fathers of this land,

The words suggested are  $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\sigma}$ s,  $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \beta as$ , and  $\sigma \epsilon \beta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\omega}$  for 'reverend,'  $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \nu s$ ,  $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\nu} \tau \eta s$ ,  $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \sigma s$  and  $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\omega}$  for 'fathers.' But any literal translation is metrically hopeless; for nothing will make  $\vec{\omega}$   $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o \dot{\omega}$   $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o \dot{\omega}$  is almost as bad. If however we take

the collective  $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta$ os, we get light at once:  $\tilde{\omega}$   $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta$ os is a palimbacchius, and takes the first place in a line of Type II. The defining genitive is capable of much moulding. We may have  $\tau\eta\sigma\delta\epsilon$   $\chi\theta\sigma\nu\delta$ s at the verse-end, or  $\gamma\eta\hat{s}$   $\tau\eta\sigma\delta\epsilon$  elsewhere; the longer form  $\gamma\alpha\epsilon\hat{s}$   $\tau\eta\sigma\delta\epsilon$  will not do here, but the observant will see that it needs only to substitute  $\alpha\epsilon$ , and the words may follow  $\hat{\omega}$   $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\sigma$ s. We then get a line of Type V.; and placing  $\sigma\epsilon\beta\sigma$  last, we proceed to search for a molossus or cretic with the meaning 'wise.'  $\sigma\sigma\phi$  is of course too short; but on running through its compounds we soon hit on  $\pi\epsilon\sigma\sigma\phi$ , and write the completed line thus:

ω πρέσβος αΐας τησδε, πάνσοφον σέβας....

here do I stand before your riper years, an unskilled youth,

The student ought at once to see here a fine chance of antithesis. He will oppose 'youth' to 'years,' 'unskilled' to 'riper,' and set down as a first draft νέος παλαιοίς, ἄπειρος έμπείροις. A glance is enough to show that these words cannot all stand in one line; for we have among them bacchius, and amphibrach, and molossus. But to get their full force out of the words, they ought to come together; therefore one of them at least must be changed. The first phrase will suffer least by a change, because its two words are not cognate as ἄπειρος and ἔμπειρος are. Moreover, there are plenty of synonyms for παλαιός; to instance two, πρέσβυς and πρεσβύτης. Turning to the second phrase, then, we observe that as it stands one short syllable more would make a hephthemimer of Type VIII.; and it should not take a moment to see that the dative may be lengthened to  $\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \ell$ ροισιν. We next place νέος last, and a molossus is left to find. The required word is to hand in πρεσβύτης. Our line is now done, and reads

απειρος εμπείροισι, πρεσβύταις νέος...

The antithesis, it will be seen, is formally complete. The two pairs of words fill the whole line, with nothing to weaken the effect; and they are so arranged as to form the figure chiasmus, which generally adds to the force of antithesis. 'Here do I stand before you' remains to be translated; and the task is easy. 'Before you' is simply ἐν ὑμῦν, a bacchius; and 'I stand' will scan as the first foot, either in its literal rendering ἔστηκα, or in the form which a Greek would most likely have thought of—

πάρειμ' ἐν ὑμῖν.

whose voice must in the Diet still be subdued into respectful silence.

'Diet' need not detain us; we have choice between  $\gamma \epsilon \rho o v o i a$ ,  $\beta o v \lambda \dot{\eta}$ , or  $\beta o v \lambda \dot{\epsilon} v \tau a \dot{\epsilon}$ . A more poetical rendering will suggest one of these words with a subtle difference; thus we may use  $\beta o v \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \rho \chi o \iota$ , and in this sentence the phrase may be  $\beta o v \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \rho \chi o \iota$ s  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho a$ . 'Whose voice' may of course be translated literally; but it will be better in point of style and taste to make the relative sentence adjectival. It will then take this form: 'who (or and one who) ought to be silent.' The relative  $\delta v$  is best coupled to the adjectives already used by a conjunction, and the line will then continue

## ...ον τε βουλάρχοις πάρα....

'Respectful silence' would be exprest in Greek by  $\epsilon i \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ , or  $\epsilon \tilde{\nu} \phi \eta \mu \rho \nu$  στόμα or  $\phi \omega \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$  έχειν, which may be helped out with  $\alpha i \delta \omega \hat{s}$ . Do not use  $\phi \theta \delta \gamma \gamma \sigma \hat{s}$ , which means not a 'voice' but an inarticulate sound. A cretic could be made with  $\delta \epsilon \hat{\iota}$  έχειν but for the hiatus; and this ought to remind the student of the aorist  $\sigma \chi \epsilon \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ , 'strong' in form and in meaning, for it means 'to get, keep, hold' rather than 'to have.' We have now the materials for another line.  $\epsilon \hat{\iota} \phi \eta \mu \omega \nu$  stands first as a palimbacchius, and  $\alpha i \delta \sigma \hat{\iota}$  is a spondee to follow; then comes  $\delta \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ 

 $\sigma\chi\epsilon\theta\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$ , and  $\phi\omega\nu\hat{\eta}\nu$  may come next or  $\sigma\tau\hat{\sigma}\mu a$  last.  $d\epsilon\hat{\iota}$  may be legitimately added, and then we have

εὖφημον αἰδοῖ δεῖ σχεθεῖν ἀεὶ στόμα.

5 Do not, because that I am young, and want experience, slight my counsel and my words. •

The first question is, how to translate the main verb; for that will naturally fix the type of the line. Now ὀλιγωρείν is of no use, so we must consider the other phrases of like meaning. Chief among these are παρ' οὐδεν and περὶ οὐδενὸς ποιείσθαι or νομίζειν. It is possible to begin μη δη ποιείσθε, but it should be noticed that there is a change of person from 'I' to 'you.' In such case Greek idiom requires that a pronoun should be used; and the first group of the line must be ὑμεῖς  $\delta \epsilon$  (palimbacchius),  $\mu \dot{\eta}$   $\mu ov$  may come next; and the most convenient verb turns out to be vouicere, which is to be placed last. It now proves necessary either to remodel the line, or to find a cretic. 'Counsel' is of no use in this matter, but it would be possible to make a cretic of τοὺς λόγους. is a flat phrase, however, and it is more in the Greek manner to say 'do not despise me, so as not to hear what I advise.' But what more natural than to insert a parenthetical λίσσομαι? Such appeals are as common as can be, and moreover the sentence becomes more polite thereby. παρ' οὐδέν (amphibrachys) now finds its place first in the following line, and we get thus far:

ύμεις δε μή με, λίσσομαι, νομίζετε παρ' οὐδέν....

The next words, as already implied, will be  $\mathring{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$   $\mu\mathring{\eta}$ . 'My counsel and my words' is simply  $\mathring{a}$   $\beta ov\lambda\epsilon\acute{v}\omega$ , and  $\kappa\lambda\acute{v}\epsilon\iota\nu$  or  $\mu a\theta\epsilon \hat{\iota}\nu$  may come last. Hiatus may be avoided by changing  $\mu\mathring{\eta}$  to  $\mu\eta\delta\acute{\epsilon}$ , so that the clause means 'not even to hear what I advise.' 'Because I am young' is in the Greek idiom put

conditionally,  $\epsilon i \kappa \alpha i \nu \epsilon o s \epsilon i \mu i$ . 'And want experience' gives  $\kappa \check{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o s$ , a palimbacchius; and now we can compose a hephthemimer of Type VII., by omitting  $\epsilon i \mu i$ . This verb may follow next, but no cretic offers. However, the context admits of our adding  $\dot{\epsilon} s \tau o \nu \bar{\nu} \nu$ , but even this cannot stand after  $\epsilon i \mu i$ . Try then what a change of verb can do, and substitute  $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \nu \nu$ . This may stand last in the line, which is now complete.

...ωστε μηδ' ἃ βουλεύω κλύεω, εἰ καὶ νέος κἄπειρος ἐς τὸ νῦν ἔφυν.

'Tis not the wantonness of youthful blood that fires my spirit;

The metaphor of 'firing' is not strange to Greek, but the form it stands in departs somewhat from idiom. To say that wantonness fires the spirit involves personification as well as metaphor; and though either may be used, we should be sparing in the use of both at once. The idea is better translated by keeping the personal subject, and saying 'I am not fired by wantonness.' 'Youthful blood' again, if literally rendered, would be stronger in the Greek than in English; and we have to ask whether the tone of the speaker justifies so much accumulated agony. It certainly does not; and we should try to find some word which would occur to a Greek as naturally as 'youthful blood' occurs to an Englishman. The best plan will be to give the epithet to 'wantonness,' and to use  $\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu a$  for 'spirit': 'I am not fired in my spirit by youthful wantonness.' There are several words one may use for 'fired': πυρούμαι or ζωπυρούμαι for example. As regards 'wantonness,' "Bpis is a great deal too strong. It means such an act as violent outrage, an act of infamy, rather than an act of mere impertinence or braggadocio, which is θράσος. The careful student will see here a further opportunity for antithesis: νέος ὢν (or ὡς νέος) νέω θράσει.

We now have to decide whether the idea of 'fire' shall be made the main verb, or if not, what then. où ζωπυροῦμαι or οὐ γὰρ πυροῦμαι is a good enough beginning; but the phrase afterwards suggested cannot follow the vowel without an intrusive  $\gamma\epsilon$ . It is simple to take ἀνέστην for the main verb, throwing  $\pi υρωθείς$  into the participle. ἀνέστην 'I have risen before you' will fall into the bacchius position in the next line, and  $\tau$ ò λŷμα may be prefixt to it thus:

οὐ γὰρ πυρωθείς, ὡς νέος, νέω θράσει τὸ λημ' ἀνέστην.

but a pang so deep that e'en the flinty rocks must pity me.

For 'pang' a more familiar metaphor must be substituted: such as  $\kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ ,  $\delta \acute{\alpha} \kappa \nu \epsilon \nu \nu$ , olo  $\tau \rho \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ . The construction will be continued; that is to say, we must write something that means 'but (I am here) deeply pierced.' In the required tense none of these verbs provides a cretic, but only a spondee  $\delta \eta \chi \theta \epsilon i s$ , or a molossus,  $\kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \theta \epsilon i s$ , olo  $\tau \rho \eta \theta \epsilon i s$ . The conjunction then should be a trochee,  $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$  that is, not  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ . If now we place these words as we have learnt to do, and add  $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} a \rho$  to define, we have

...άλλα κεντηθείς κέαρ....

The alliteration adds to the effect, and may be taken in place of a word for 'deep.' (The aorist passive of  $\kappa \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\epsilon} \omega$  does not actually occur in tragedy, but the verb is quite regular, and there is no reason why it should not be used.)

We now come to the 'flinty rocks.' This personification of rocks would sound natural in Greek, and need not give us pause.  $\pi\epsilon\tau\rho\omega\delta\eta$ s  $\lambda\iota\theta$ os is a correct translation, and  $ol\kappa\tau\iota\zeta\omega$  may be the verb. Only we should change 'must' to a conditional phrase with  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ ; because after all the rocks do not pity any one, and this delicate form of expression implies what

is true enough—they would pity me if such a thing were possible. The new clause may be introduced by  $\dot{\omega}s$  or  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ , and  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\kappa \dot{\alpha}\nu$  may be the first group, the bacchius  $\pi\epsilon\tau\rho\dot{\omega}\delta\epsilon\iota s$  standing second. Some form of this or another verb must now be found which contains a cretic or trochee. For this the present stem will not serve; but from the aorist we get  $oi\kappa\tau i\sigma\epsilon\iota a\nu$  or the infinitive  $oi\kappa\tau i\sigma a\iota$ , either of which can stand. By repeating  $\ddot{a}\nu$  we should get  $oi\kappa\tau i\sigma\epsilon\iota a\nu$   $\ddot{a}\nu$   $\lambda i\theta o\iota$  (Type X.); or with the infinitive, adding  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$  at the end,

ώς καν πετρώδεις οἰκτίσαι λίθους ἐμέ.

10 You, too, are fathers, heads of families, and you must wish to have a virtuous son,

The form of this sentence depends chiefly on the rendering chosen for 'must.' In such a case the Greeks would hardly say  $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$  or  $\chi \rho \eta$ , but  $\epsilon i \kappa \delta s$ , 'it is to be expected.' The dependent infinitive will be  $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \hat{j} \sigma \theta a \iota$  or  $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \eta \hat{j} \sigma \theta a \iota$ , each a molossus.  $\kappa a \hat{i} \nu \hat{\mu} \hat{a} s$  in crasis may begin the line; but though  $\hat{\nu} \mu \hat{a} s$  (in a change of person) must come somewhere,  $\kappa a \hat{i} \mu \eta \hat{\nu}$  is the best phrase to introduce a new idea. Turning now to the remaining words, we observe that 'heads of families' gives a cretic,  $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \hat{\sigma} \tau a \iota \delta \hat{\nu} \mu \nu \nu$ ; so we must find if possible some word of the bacchius type for 'fathers.'  $\pi a \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon s$  is of no use here, nor are  $\tau \kappa \kappa \hat{\eta} s$  and  $\gamma \nu \nu \hat{\eta} s$ ; but  $\tau \epsilon \kappa \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s^1$  exactly suits. The words are arranged without difficulty, and we have for our ninth line

καὶ μὴν τεκόντας δεσπότας θ' ὑμᾶς δόμων....

To round off the construction, a participle is needed, such as ὅντας οr γεγῶτας. Now since εἰκός is already before our eyes, it is clear that γεγῶτας should be chosen, making with it a half-line of the Second Type. ἐκτῆσθαι takes its proper place,

<sup>1</sup> This word is used of either parent.

and the last word will be  $\theta \in \lambda \in \mathcal{U}$  'wish.' The trochee which remains is exactly filled by  $v \circ \mathcal{U}$ :

...γεγώτας, εἰκὸς υἱὸν ἐκτῆσθαι θέλειν....

'Virtuous' will begin the next line, and it is easy to find a word that will do.  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\theta\dot{\alpha}s$  would scan, but it is colourless; the best word seems to be  $\kappa\epsilon\delta\nu\dot{\alpha}s$ . Then we leave things for the moment, and pass on to the following lines.

to reverence your grey hairs, and shield your eyes with pious and affectionate regard.

As we run through the English words, calling to mind the various Greek translations of them, we notice that σεβίζειν will give a bacchius in several of its forms. κεδνόν we have already for the first word, and with elision σεβίζοντα is the right word to follow it. No conjunction is necessary; for in Greek nothing is commoner than to find strings of descriptive words or phrases with no link between. 'Grey hairs' may be simply λευκάς τρίχας, leaving a cretic to find (κυρίως for example). Or a more ornate phrase of the elevated style may be used, such as Sophocles' λευκανθές κάρα (O. T. 742); this will complete the line if we can discover a suitable trochee. For this apri may do, but there is no point in it; though it perhaps might be justified as meaning 'as soon as they grow white.' It will be more satisfactory to choose some other verb that can stand before the hephthemimeral caesura, as in Type VII.: there are many such, σέβοντα, ταρβοῦντα, τίοντα. The line may then be completed with ...τε καί... or some adverb meaning 'well,' 'for ever,' etc. We may also write κεδνόν τιν' εὐ σέβοντα, which is better; we thus avoid coupling adjective and participle as equivalents. That we may not anticipate 'pious,' we will not use σέβειν, but (say) ταρβοῦντα; or τιμαλφοῦντα, changing τινά to γε:

κεδνόν γε, τιμαλφούντα λευκανθές κάρα.

The sentence which follows should be paraphrased. 'Shield your eyes' indeed can be rendered  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\tau\alpha\tau\dot{\eta}\rho\iota\sigma$   $\delta\mu\mu\dot{\alpha}\tau\omega\nu$  or the like; but the form of the sentence had better be  $\delta$ s with the future, or  $\iota\nu\alpha$ , or  $\iota\dot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ . With the words there is little difficulty:  $\epsilon\dot{\upsilon}\sigma\epsilon\beta\dot{\eta}s$  and  $\epsilon\dot{\upsilon}\delta\sigma$  at once occur to the mind. The student may perhaps remember the right words for filial care,  $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\eta\rho\sigma\beta\sigma\sigma\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\iota$  and  $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\eta\rho\sigma\beta\sigma\kappa\dot{\epsilon}s$ : the verb in the proper tense gives us  $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\eta\rho\sigma\beta\sigma\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\iota$ , and settles the Type as no. X. Now  $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\sigma\epsilon\beta\dot{\eta}s$  is of no use, but its noun will combine with  $\delta$ s into a penthemimer:  $\delta$ s  $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\sigma\epsilon\beta\epsilon\dot{\iota}\alpha$ ... If now we paraphrase  $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\sigma\epsilon\beta\epsilon\dot{\iota}\alpha$  by using a participle, we can get an iambic word for the ending:  $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\beta\epsilon\iota\alpha\nu...\tau\dot{\iota}\omega\nu$ . The line then runs

# ος τ' εὐσέβειαν γηροβοσκήσει τίων....

The next line may begin with the palimbacchius αἰδοῖος. Place προστατήριος last, and change  $\mathring{o}\mu\mu\mathring{a}\tau\omega\nu$  to some synonym which shall scan as a spondee or trochee:  $\mathring{o}\psi\epsilon\omega$ s. Lastly, 'shield' may suggest the noun  $\mathring{e}\rho\nu\mu$ a or  $\mathring{\rho}\mathring{v}\mu$ a, and we have

...αίδοῖος, ὄψεως ρύμα προστατήριον.

## XX.

Rome, Rome, thou now resemblest a ship at random wandering in a boisterous sea, when foaming billows feel the northern blasts; thou toilst in peril, and the windy storm 5 doth topside-turvey toss thee as thou float'st.

- 5 doth topside-turvey toss thee as thou float'st. Thy mast is shivered and thy mainsail torn, thy sides sore beaten, and thy hatches broke: thou wantst thy tackling, and a ship unrigged can make no shift to combat with the sea.
- 10 See how the rocks do heave their heads at thee, which if thou should but touch, thou straight becom'st a spoil to Neptune and a sportful prey to the Glaucs and Tritons, pleased with thy decay.
  T. Kyp.

-----

This piece suits best the manner of Aeschylus.

Rome, Rome, thou now resemblest a ship at random wandering in a boisterous sea, when foaming billows feel the northern blasts;

We begin by running over in the mind the various phrases describing storm and shipwreck. There are the nouns θάλασσα, πόντος, κλύδων, κλυδώνιον, and the rarer τρικυμία 'great billow'; the adjectives θαλασσόπλαγκτος and θαλασσόπληκτος, or the participle ἀλώμενος, for the ship; and the verbs σαλεύω, δινεύω, χειμάζομαι, σποδέομαι, ἔρρειν, or the passive of κλύζω and its compounds. Then we have ἀφρός 'foam,' or ζάλη, σάλος, πέμφιξ, 'bubble,' δίνη and δῖνος 'eddy'; for 'storm' χειμών, σκηπτός, αἰγίς, λαῖλαψ, βορέας or βορρᾶς, with the adjectives δυσχείμερος, δυσπέμφελος, δυσήνεμος. The ship does not come to wreck exactly, but perhaps ναυάγια or some cognate word may be useful by and by.

The next step is to combine these into metrical phrases.  $\mathring{\omega}$  'P $\mathring{\omega}\mu\eta$  is a molossus, 'P $\mathring{\omega}\mu\eta$  a spondee;  $\nu \tilde{a} \delta$ s or  $\nu \epsilon \hat{\omega}$ s  $\delta \acute{\kappa}\eta\nu$  set together suit the end of a line, or they may be separated. Suppose we strike the keynote of the piece by placing as the first word  $\theta a\lambda a\sigma\sigma\sigma \lambda \acute{a}\gamma\kappa\tau\sigma\nu$ , which it will be perceived exactly fills a penthemimer.  $\nu a\acute{o}s$  as a trochee may follow, and then comes the molossus  $\mathring{\omega}$  'P $\mathring{\omega}\mu\eta$ . The repetition 'Rome, Rome' is impossible in any case, as four long syllables cannot stand together in iambics; but the pathos of the cry may be reproduced if we prefix an exclamation, such as  $\mathring{a}$   $\mathring{a}$ .

Now for 'boisterous sea': taking one of the four-syllable adjectives given above, we notice that with  $\epsilon\nu$  prefixt it just fills a final penthemimer:  $\epsilon\nu$  δυσχειμέρω or δυσπεμφέλω. Θαλάσση may be used in conjunction, only the intervals do not easily fill. If however we take some word that may be used in the plural, we shall readily get a trochaic ending, which is wanted to precede our phrase: κλύδωσιν, κλυδωνίοισιν, or the

fine word τρικυμίαισιν. The relative ητις completes this line, and ἔρρει may begin the next. We have thus repeated the idea hinted at in  $\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma \sigma \lambda \acute{a} \gamma \kappa \tau \sigma v$ , but in a more striking form, and with the addition of 'stormy' and 'huge billows.' There remain of the third line 'foam' and 'northern blasts' (for 'billows' has been anticipated). The construction may be subordinate; or (as a relative sentence has just been used) genitive absolute: 'when the N. blasts raise the foam,' or the like. None of the words suggested produce either bacchius or cretic; but βορέας may be paraphrased  $\pi \nu \sigma \dot{\gamma}$  βορεάς (adj.), which gives βορεάδος as possible cretic equivalent (first syllable resolved  $\omega \omega \omega$  – equivalent to  $\omega \omega$ ). The dictionary will furnish us with  $\kappa \nu \kappa \acute{\alpha} \omega$  'to mix in confusion'; and then, with  $\mathring{\alpha} \phi \rho \acute{\alpha} \nu$  last, we have our three lines complete:

åå.

θαλασσοπλάγκτου ναός, δ 'Ρώμη, δίκην ητις τρικυμίαισιν εν δυσπεμφέλοις έρρει, κυκώσης βορεάδος πνοής άφρόν.

thou toilst in peril, and the windy storm 5 doth topside-turvey toss thee as thou float'st.

So much for the simile; the clause which follows begins naturally with oğtws or oğtw  $\delta \epsilon$  ( $\delta \epsilon$  in apodosi). If we use a simple translation for 'toilst,' we may write oğtw oğ  $\mu o \chi \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \hat{s}$ , or  $\pi o \nu \epsilon \hat{i} \hat{s}$  of: but  $\sigma a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \epsilon \hat{s}$  is a more expressive word, and after it we may write  $\kappa a \hat{i}$  of as a trochee (Type V.); and  $\kappa \hat{i} \nu \delta \hat{\nu} \nu \omega \hat{s}$  in some form may stand in the place of the molossus, say  $\kappa \nu \nu \delta \hat{\nu} \nu \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma \eta$ . Or we may make a new sentence, introduced by  $\kappa a \hat{i}$ , and use  $\kappa a \kappa \hat{a}$  to translate 'peril'; for example,  $\pi \lambda \omega \tau \hat{\eta}$   $\kappa a \kappa \hat{o} \hat{i} \hat{s}$  metaphorically, 'floating in dangers.' The former is more simple. 'Topside-turvey' is of course  $\tilde{a} \nu \omega \kappa \hat{a} \tau \omega$ , which may go first or last, but should be kept together. For a verb  $\delta \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{o} \nu \nu \omega \hat{a}$  is suitable; this with  $\tilde{a} \epsilon \hat{i}$  may go last in the verse, leaving space for palimbacchius (Type IV.); any word for

'wind,' 'wave,' or 'storm' will suit the sense: say πέμφιξι (Aesch. frag. 195 δυσχειμέρω πέμφιγι). 'Windy storm' will easily swell out into an Aeschylean line, for which materials have been given: δυσηνέμοισι - - δυσχειμέρου, leaving space for a cretic meaning 'storm.' Either αἰγίδος or λαίλαπος meets the case.

οὖτω σαλεύεις καὶ σύ, κινδύνων μέση, ἄνω κάτω πέμφιξι δινεύουσ' ἀεὶ δυσηνέμοισι λαίλαπος δυσχειμέρου.

Thy mast is shivered and thy mainsail torn, thy sides sore beaten, and thy hatches broke: thou wantst thy tackling, and a ship unrigged can make no shift to combat with the sea.

From κλάω 'to shiver' we get by literal translation a is λαίφος (Med. 524 ἄκροισι λαίφους κρασπέδοις), and while a simple translation is possible of 'torn,' there is a fine compound verb στημορραγείν 'to be torn to shreds' which in some form will end the line; we may place before it κάπεσε and use the participle στημορραγούν, beginning the next line with  $\lambda \alpha \hat{i} \phi o s$ . 'Sides' is  $\pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \rho \alpha \hat{i}$  or  $\pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \rho \hat{i}$  (n.), and verbs for 'beat' are κόπτω, πατάσσω, and an expressive word σποδώ (often used colloquially like 'dust,' but also in Euripides Andr. 1129 νιφάδι πάντοθεν σποδούμενος). The last furnishes a bacchius, σποδείται, and πλευρά is the trochee which should follow by Type V. 'Hatches' has no equivalent in Greek; but we use either a simple word for 'planks,' or σέλματα, the decking. To complete the line a cretic is wanted, and from ρήγνυμι we get ἐρράγη for this place. 'Tackle' may be ὅπλα, έντεα, σκευή f. or σκεύος n. 'Thou wantst' suggests some part of ἀπολλύναι, obviously ἀπώλεσας; and the necessary conjunction added, σκευήν τε forms a palimbacchius which may stand first or end the hephthemimer. An adjective such as ναυτικήν or (more poetical) ναΐαν may go in the First Cretic Position, leaving space for a spondee, e.g.  $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu$ . 'Unrigged' may be ὅπλων ἄνευ in a simple translation; but this may be improved upon if we recollect the favourite idiom of poetry, by which a negative adjective is used instead of a negative preposition; a compound of a- privative with some synonym of the governed noun. Even the same noun may be used, as ἄοπλος ὅπλων, but it is neater to use a synonym, say ἄσκευος ὅπλων. Now ἄσκευος is a palimbacchius; but here it cannot stand first, for in that place must go the negative phrase, où γάρ, or οὐδ' ἄν. Between this and ἄσκευος there is room for one iambus. We may combine a four-syllable group thus, οὖ πλοῖον ἄν; or (as ἄν is short) with adverbial  $\tau$ ι, οὖδ' ἄν  $\tau$ ι ναθς. With this beginning ὅπλων cannot stand, for by the rule of the Final Cretic, a trochee (not a spondee) must be used in such a line as this is. However, ἔντεων may take the place of  $\delta\pi\lambda\omega\nu$ , and then the final iambus remains for the verb, σθένοι. 'Το combat' in poetical phrase is συμβαλείν μάγην or ξριν; and θαλάσση finds its place easily in the verse, giving the greater part of a line of Type I.: μάχην θαλάσση The lines then run thus: συμβαλεῖν.

ίστὸς δ' ἐκλάσθη, κἄπεσε στημορραγοῦν λαῖφος, σποδεῖται πλευρά, σέλματ' ἐρράγη, σκευήν τε πᾶσαν ναΐαν ἀπώλεσας, οὐδ' ἄν τι ναῦς ἄσκευος ἔντεων σθένοι μάχην θαλάσση ξυμβαλεῖν.

[It may be worth while pointing out that one line might suffice to translate the last line and a half:

τοίς τοι τοιούτοις δυσπαλής πόντος πέλει:

τοιοῦτος being often used instead of repeating an adjective or the like.]

10 See how the rocks do heave their heads at thee, which if thou should but touch, thou straight becom'st a spoil to Neptune and a sportful prey to the Glaucs and Tritons, pleased with thy decay.

If we translate 'see' ἀρ' σὐχ ὁρᾶς, this will complete the line left unfinisht. Then 'rocks' may be attracted into this sentence, by the common idiom of the type, 'I know thee, what thou art': πέτρας. An iambic word for 'how' is ὅπως, and 'at thee' is the ethical dative σοι; κάρα is the poetical word for 'head,' and will end the line. 'Heave' is αἴρω (or ἐξαίρω), or προβάλλω; either of these can stand before κάρα in the 3rd plural with ν added; if αἴρουσιν, perhaps δεινόν may do for the trochee; but better, προβάλλουσω preceded by δή ('lo and behold'). [Observe that this line has only a quasi-caesura, δή in rhythm going with the words that precede it.] The next phrase should be literally translated, ων ἐὰν θίγης ποτέ, which will scan with the sole change of  $\eta \nu$  for  $\epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu$ . A trochee or cretic must follow; and the English gives both, εὐθύς and άρπαγή; while a verb may fill the last foot, πέλεις or πεσεί. 'Glaucs' are creatures unknown to Greek mythology, though we have heard of Glaucus; for this Nereids or nymphs might do, or we may content ourselves with the Tritons, Τρίτωσι. Neptune is almost impracticable in the dative, Ποσειδώνι. The conditions are a double mute to follow, or some group of consonants that makes position (such as  $\beta\lambda$ ,  $\gamma\lambda$ ), and a monosyllable to precede (καί for instance). If we use τῷ Ποσειδώνος γένει we have a hephthemimer; or we may for once neglect the caesura (see Introd. p. 13), and write καὶ νηλεῖ Ποσειδώνος γένει. 'Sportful prey' must be paraphrased; by γέλωτα θήσεις say, or χάρμα. 'Glad' is ἀσμένοις, a cretic, and 'decay' may be rendered by a participle in agreement with the subject, διαφθαρείσα, or εφθαρμένη. Place the two adjectives together, and the connexion exprest in the English is implied in the Greek.

ἆρ' οὐχ ὁρậς πέτρας, ὅπως σοι δηὰ προβάλλουσιν κάρα, ὧν ἦν θίγης ποτ', εὐθὺς ἄρπαγηὰ πεσεῖ Τρίτωσι καὶ νηλεῖ Ποσειδώνος γένει γέλωτα θήσεις ἀσμένοις ἐφθαρμένη.

### XXI.

	To xeus.	How long will ye whet spears with eloquence,
		Fight, and kill beasts dry-handed with sweet words?
		Cease, or talk still and slay thy boars at home.
	Plexippus.	Why, if she ride among us for a man,
5		Sit thou for her and spin; a man grown girl
		Is worth a woman weaponed; sit thou here.
	Meleager.	Peace, and be wise; no gods love idle speech.
	•	, , ,
	Meleager.	For my lips bite not sharper than mine hands.
10	Plexippus.	Nay, both bite soft, but no whit softly mine.
	Meleager.	Keep thine hands clean; they have time enough
	ŭ	to stain.
	Plexippus.	For thine shall rest and wax not red to-day.
	Meleager.	Have all thy will of words; talk out thine heart.
	Althæa.	Refrain your lips, O brethren, and my son,
15		Lest words turn snakes and bite you uttering them.
	Toxeus.	Except she give her blood before the gods,
		What profit shall a maid be among men?
		SWINBURNE: Atalanta in Calydon.

In this piece there is a great deal to translate. There is often very little thought in many words of Swinburne: here the words are strong and expressive, and but for some affectation might rank with the finest dramatic verse. It will be impossible, then, to get the translation into the same number of lines.

Toxeus. How long will ye whet spears with eloquence, Fight, and kill beasts dry-handed with sweet words?

There is no phrase in Greek like quousque tandem; and  $\pi \acute{o}\sigma o\nu \chi \rho\acute{o}\nu o\nu \delta\acute{\eta}$ , though it scans well enough, is very weak. We must cast about for some model, where a similar impatience is exprest, and take the form of that. The most suitable is Soph. Aj. 75 où  $\sigma i\gamma'$  avé $\xi \epsilon \iota$ ,  $\mu \eta \delta i$   $\delta \epsilon \iota \lambda i a\nu$  apei; The skeleton then will be: 'Silence, and do not whet, etc.' The metaphor is simple and well suited to Greek; it may be translated literally, as it could not fail to be understood.  $\theta \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \lambda i \delta \rho \nu \epsilon i \gamma \lambda i \lambda i \nu i \lambda i$  stronger phrase is  $\theta \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \gamma \lambda i \lambda i \sigma \sigma \eta$ . Putting the verb in the future, as in our model, we get  $\theta \dot{\eta} \dot{\xi} \epsilon \tau \epsilon \gamma \lambda i \lambda i \sigma \sigma \eta$  at once to follow the short caesura;  $\delta \delta \rho \nu$  will end the line. Now  $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$  must come before these words, so it will be necessary to shorten the first part of the sentence. où  $\sigma i \gamma a$  alone is enough, and this palimbacchius group fills the required space.

οὐ σῖγα, μηδὲ θήξετε γλώσση δόρυ.

Next observe that 'sweet words,' ήδέσιν λόγοις, will sound somewhat monotonous, because it will be too much like what precedes. But supposing we imitate another type which is very effective, seen in Aesch. Cho. 493 πέδαις ἀχαλκεύτοις; where the noun is defined by a negative adjective. Such a phrase as λόγχαις ἀχαλκεύτοις, in this context, will give the desired effect; and it may be further explained by ήδέων λόγων. Either 'kill beasts' or 'fight' may be joined with this. Take now these two ideas, and devise some telling expression for them. For 'fight' we have αἴρειν μάχην or the like. θηρία κτανεῖν will scan for the other, but the phrase is commonplace. There is a compound verb θηροκτονεῖν; although this is not used in tragedy, the adjective θηροκτονεῖν; although the verb is amply justified by the common compounds βροτοκτονεῖν, μητροκτονεῖν, etc. We have now materials to go on

with. ἡδέων λόγων may stand last, and it is only necessary to write the long form in the adjective, ἀχαλκεύτοισιν, to complete the line; θηροκτονοῦντες will then form the first penthemimer in the next. Again, αἴροντες at once finds its place as a molossus, and μάχην may follow. The trochee which now remains is easily filled, after a glance at the English, with χερσίν. 'Dry-handed' suggests no obvious compound, neither is it a likely word for the Greek poet. There is something artificial about it, and the Greek poet would probably say 'bloodless' or 'unstained': ἀχράντοις. Nothing comes out of the English for the first foot; but we may add δεινήν, which will take point from the context. There will be a tone of sarcasm about it: 'stirring up strife, dire strife indeed, bloodless!'

...λόγχαις άχαλκεύτοισιν ήδέων λόγων θηροκτονοῦντες, χερσί τ' αἴροντες μάχην δεινὴν ἀχράντοις;

Cease, or talk still and slay thy boars at home.

The change from 'ye' to 'thee' must not be left unnoticed; and the pronoun is therefore necessary. There will naturally be two parts to the sentence, each having  $\mathring{\eta}$ . But  $\mathring{\eta}$   $\pi a \hat{v} \in \sigma \acute{v}$ , and  $\sigma \grave{v}$   $\delta \mathring{\gamma}$   $\pi a \hat{v} \in \mathring{\eta}$ , are both awkward phrases to manipulate. Our task will be easier if we use a dependent construction:  $\pi a \acute{v} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota \lambda \acute{e} \gamma \omega$ , etc. (Observe the idiom by which  $\pi a \hat{v} \epsilon$  is used in the active for 'cease,' not  $\pi a \acute{v} \sigma \iota v$ , but the middle in other forms of the verb.) Here we have molossus and iambus; a trochee only is necessary to complete the line, and this will be  $\mathring{\eta}$   $\sigma \acute{\epsilon}$ . 'Stay at home' may be literal,  $\mathring{o} \kappa \sigma \iota \iota \nu \iota v$ ,  $\mathring{\epsilon} \iota v$   $\mathring{o} \iota \kappa \sigma \iota v$ ,  $\mathring{\epsilon} \iota v$   $\mathring{o} \iota \kappa \sigma \iota v$ , or we may use as a model Aesch. Pers. 756  $\mathring{\epsilon} v \partial \sigma \iota v$   $\mathring{\epsilon} \iota v$   $\mathring{\epsilon} \iota v$ . There are, however, some expressive compounds which will do better:  $\mathring{o} \iota \kappa \sigma \iota v \rho \sigma \iota v$   $\mathring{\epsilon} \iota v$   $\mathring{\epsilon}$ 

and using olkoupóv we may follow Type VII. (Palimbacchius, 2nd position). This leaves space for an iambus, and either  $\kappa\tau\alpha\nu\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$  or  $\kappa\acute{\alpha}\pi\rho\sigma\nu$ s will suit. The one not chosen may go to the end, thus leaving space for a cretic or molossus. Translating 'still'  $\dot{\omega}_s$   $\tau \dot{o}$   $\pi\rho\acute{\iota}\nu$ , our line is done. ('Talk' is omitted, but the idea has already been given twice, and there is no pressing need for a third statement.)

η σε παύσασθαι λέγω, η και κάπρους οἰκουρὸν ως τὸ πρὶν κτανεῖν.

Plexippus. Why, if she ride among us for a man,
Sit thou for her and spin; a man grown girl
Is worth a woman weaponed; sit thou here.

'For a man' might be rendered by some compound with  $d\nu\tau\iota'$ , if there were one: like  $d\nu\tau\iota'\pi\alpha\iota$ s. Unfortunately there is none but  $d\nu\tau\iota'\alpha\iota$ ειρα, which only suits Homeric verse. Neither is  $d\nu\tau$ ι  $d\nu\delta\rho$ ος suitable (though  $d\nu\tau$ ι  $\tau$ ησοδε will do in the next line).  $d\nu\delta\rho$ ος δίκην is not so strong as the English; and the best phrase we can get is  $\kappa\alpha\tau'$   $d\nu\delta\rho$ α, or  $\tau$ ην  $\kappa\alpha\tau'$   $d\nu\delta\rho'$  δδόν. 'Ride' gives a bacchius,  $d\nu$ θρα, and the first foot may be  $d\nu$  δ'  $d\nu$ θε with elision. A trochee remains to find. It would seem at first sight that 'with us' must be a bacchius,  $\mu\epsilon\theta'$   $d\mu$ ων, or  $d\mu$ ων  $d\nu$   $d\nu$   $d\nu$ 0 at the verse-end.  $d\nu$ 0 or  $d\nu$ 0 or  $d\nu$ 0 will do, as far as the meaning goes; and  $d\nu$ 0 or  $d\nu$ 0 or  $d\nu$ 0 will do,  $d\nu$ 0 or  $d\nu$ 0 or

ην δ' ηδ' έλαύνη κοινά την κατ' ἄνδρ' δδόν...

The next line must contain  $\sigma \dot{\nu}$  and  $\ddot{\eta}\delta \epsilon$  in some form, to balance this.  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\dot{\iota}$   $\tau\dot{\eta}\sigma\delta\epsilon$ , which has been mentioned, is trochaic, and suits Type VI. or VIII. 'Spin' gives us  $\kappa\lambda\hat{\omega}\theta\epsilon$ ,  $\kappa\lambda\hat{\omega}\sigma\nu$ , or  $\nu\dot{\eta}\sigma\nu$ , and if we use the last,  $\sigma\dot{\nu}$   $\nu\dot{\eta}\sigma\nu$  makes an amphibrachys which may precede  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\dot{\iota}$  in Type VIII. How to get in 'sit' without omitting something, is difficult to see.

νεῖν ἡμενον πρὸ τῆσδε sacrifices the pronoun, which is artistically necessary, but it is otherwise unexceptionable. 'Grown girl' will be some compound of θῆλυς or γυνή, such as γυναικόμιμος, γυναικόρρων, θηλύνους. The last named with ἀνήρ will just complete the line; γε may be added by way of emphasis. 'Worth' again is a compound of ἀντί; say ἀντίσταθμος 'of equal weight,' a favourite word. Here again, noticing that γυναικός is an amphibrach, we may make a line of Type VIII. It remains only to find a word for 'weaponed': ὁπλίζω, the most obvious, gives ώπλισμένης, or in the compound ἐξ-, and completes the line:

σὺ νήσον ἀντὶ τήσδε· θηλύνους γ' ἀνηρ γυναικὸς ἀντίσταθμος ἐξωπλισμένης.

We now come to a stichomythia; and we shall probably find some difficulty in compressing the English into the same number of lines. This we must nevertheless do, at all costs: allowing only the artifice of interpolation if necessary.

Meleager. Peace, and be wise; no gods love idle speech.

παῦε or σῖγα will do for the first word; and the latter part of the sentence can easily be made metrical—οὖ φιλοῦσιν οἱ θεοὶ κενούς, with λόγους γάρ before it; or οὖ λόγους φ. etc. according to Type VII. Here will be no room for 'be wise,' φρόνει or φρονῶν εὖ. It would be possible to make a line and a half out of this, but not two lines without weakness; moreover, the following line (as we shall see) can be translated by one line in Greek, and would suffer by expansion.

By all means therefore the sense must be got into one line and no more. Let us see whether the sentence can be shortened. For one thing, of may be omitted, and  $\theta\epsilon oi$  scanned as a monosyllable; and by using  $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\eta$  instead of  $\lambda \acute{o}\gamma ovs$ , we can get rid of another syllable: of  $\phi \iota \lambda oi\sigma$   $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\eta$   $\kappa \epsilon \nu \acute{a}$ .

(στυγοῦσι may even be used for οὐ φιλοῦσι, but is less suited to Greek idiom.) The line may now begin σῖγ, εὖ φρόνει or παῦσαι, φρόνει the word θεοί following as a monosyllable; with γε, which is not only indispensable metri gratia, but is a common particle in conversation.

We have now to choose between two lines. One runs easily but omits 'be wise':

σῖγ', οὐ λόγους φιλοῦσιν οἱ θεοὶ κενούς.

The other is somewhat less neat, but gets in all the sense:

παῦσαι, φρόνει θεοί γ' οὐ φιλοῦσ' ἔπη κενά.

It is a matter of taste not easy to decide; but as the latter line does not absolutely offend, this seems better.

Plexippus. Nor any man a man's mouth woman-tongued.

οὖδ ἄνδρες ἄνδρα γ', ἢν τύχη θηλύστομος.

Meleager. For my lips bite not sharper than mine hands.

The form of this line shows affectation, and we must get to the heart of it. We may say: 'my hands bite no less sharply than my tongue,'  $\delta \acute{a} \kappa \nu o v \sigma \iota \chi \epsilon \acute{\iota} \rho \epsilon s$  o  $\mathring{o} \delta \acute{e} \nu \mathring{\eta} \sigma \sigma o \nu \mathring{\eta}$   $\sigma \tau \acute{o} \mu a$ . But it

is neater to echo the adjective  $\theta\eta\lambda\dot{\nu}\sigma\tau\rho\mu$ os thus: 'sharptongued am I  $(\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu)$ , but no less sharp-handed  $(\delta\dot{\epsilon})$ .' This gives at once a good antithesis:  $\dot{\delta}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\sigma\tau\rho\mu$ os  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ,  $\dot{\delta}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\chi\epsilon\iota\rho$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ . The words scan as they are, and the two feet remaining will contain the verb.  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$  does not suit the rhythm, which here is iambic; but  $\ddot{\epsilon}\dot{\rho}\nu\nu$  does, and  $\ddot{\delta}\mu\omega$ s will complete the line.

δξύστομος μέν, δξύχειρ δ' δμως έφυν.

Plexippus. Nay, both bite soft, but no whit softly mine.

This answer corrects the other speaker; and the proper particles are  $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$  ov. We keep of course the previous construction, which is personal: not 'mine' but 'I'— $\grave{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$   $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$  ov. The rest will be something of this kind: 'but to thee both are soft,' using an adjective the opposite of  $\eth \xi \acute{\nu} s$ , i.e.  $\mu \lambda \lambda \delta \alpha \kappa \acute{\nu} s$  or  $\eth \mu \beta \lambda \acute{\nu} s$ . It is still better to express this main idea by a verb,  $\eth \mu \alpha \lambda \delta \delta \alpha \kappa \acute{\nu} s$  or  $\eth \mu \beta \lambda \acute{\nu} \nu \delta \eta$ , with a pronoun (as  $\tau \acute{\alpha} \delta \epsilon$ ) for subject.  $\eth \mu \alpha \lambda \delta \delta \alpha \kappa \acute{\nu} s$  is not possible at this end of the verse (it forms a first penthemimer), but  $\eth \mu \beta \lambda \acute{\nu} \nu \delta \eta$  is: we get therefore  $\sigma o \wr \eth s = 0$   $\eth \mu \beta \lambda \acute{\nu} \nu \delta \eta$   $\tau \acute{\alpha} \delta \epsilon$ . Some such particle as  $\alpha \emph{v}$  or  $\alpha \emph{v} \tau \epsilon$  suits the sense, and with a compound of the verb we have

έγω μεν οὖν σοὶ δ' αὖτ' ἀπημβλύνθη τάδε.

Meleager. Keep thine hands clean; they have time enough to stain.

'Keep clean' is σῷζε καθαρὰς χεῖρας: to save space we may say 'make clean,' κάθαιρε or φαίδρυνε χεῖρας. 'They have time enough' is ξὺν χρόνῳ, which may follow on, and the verb will be 'they will be stained.' If we use χραίνω, which is suitable both in itself and as recalling ἀχράντοις above, we get χρανθήσονται. This will not scan, but it is easy to change it and χεῖρας to the singular:

κάθαιρε χείρα· ξύν χρόνω χρανθήσεται.

Observe that  $\chi \rho \alpha i \nu \omega$  has another advantage in its vagueness, because it leads up to a climax in the next line.

Plexippus. For thine shall rest and wax not red to-day.

This line contains some long words which cannot be shortened:  $\sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho o \nu$  and  $\phi o \iota \nu \iota \chi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ . The verb can go nowhere but in the last half, and leaves no room for  $\sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho o \nu$  in any of the Cretic positions. We must therefore combine it with the particles into a penthemimer:  $o \dot{\nu} \sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho o \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ; the remaining trochee must contain a translation of 'thine,'  $\sigma o \dot{\nu} \gamma \epsilon$ . It becomes clear that 'rest' will have to be omitted; for a double line here in answer to a single line is not to be thought of.

οὐ σήμερον γὰρ σοί γε φοινιχθήσεται.

Meleager. Have all thy will of words; talk out thine heart.

'Have all thy will of words' is 'talk away': λάλει, φλυάρει. There are some good words which we may use in paraphrase: στόμαργος (Aesch., Soph., Eur.), γλώσσαλγος, στομαργία, γλωσσαλγία. Euripides has στόμαργος γλωσσαλγία (Medea 525), and it is probably an accident that the other words do not occur in tragedy. However that may be, these are enough. We have only to find a suitable verb, and 'talk out' suggests ἐκχεῖν. In the participle this will give us a cretic; and as the two other words are familiar (see Type I.), we need not hesitate to write down

λάλει, στόμαργον ἐκχέων γλωσσαλγίαν.

Althaa. Refrain your lips, O brethren, and my son, Lest words turn snakes and bite you uttering them.

The first of these lines is simple enough.  $\epsilon \hat{\nu}\phi\eta\mu\hat{\epsilon}\hat{i}\tau\epsilon$  would do well for the verb, were it not that  $\hat{a}\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi$ oí as a bacchius would then find no place; but  $\sigma\iota\gamma\hat{a}\tau\epsilon$  is an obvious substitute.

'And thou, my son' (the pronoun being necessary in a change of persons) gives a cretic καὶ σὺ παῖ. The following words need no change in the metaphor: μὴ δάκνωσι, but a syllable (such as πως) must be added. If we use ἔπη, the phrase will then run μή πως δάκνη. 'Turn snakes' can also be translated literally; for ἐκδρακοντωθείς occurs in the account of Clytemnestra's dream (Aesch. Cho. 549). This gives at once ἐκδρακοντωθέντ' ἔπη for the verse-end. Proceeding with the literal translation we get ὑμᾶς λέγοντας, which also scans (first penthemimer).

σιγατ', άδελφοί, καὶ σύ, παῖ, μή πως δάκνη ὑμᾶς λέγοντας ἐκδρακοντωθέντ' ἔπη.

Toxeus. Except she give her blood before the gods, What profit shall a maid be among men?

These two lines are simple enough. 'What profit in a maid?' is  $\tau i \pi a \rho \theta \acute{e} \nu o \nu \chi \rho \acute{e} \sigma s$ ; and  $\acute{e} \nu \acute{a} \nu \theta \rho \acute{\omega} \pi \sigma \iota s$  needs no change. For the rest we have  $\mathring{\eta} \nu \ \mu \mathring{\eta} \ \delta \mathring{\varphi}$  (or  $\delta \iota \delta \mathring{\varphi}$ )  $a \mathring{\iota} \mu a \ \theta \epsilon \sigma \mathring{\iota} s$ . A cretic is made out of  $a \mathring{\iota} \mu a \ \delta \mathring{\varphi}$ , and  $\theta \epsilon \sigma \mathring{\iota} \sigma \iota \nu$  will stand before it,  $\mathring{\eta} \nu \ \mu \mathring{\eta}$  beginning the line.  $\tau \iota'$  will naturally begin the second line, but  $\acute{e} \nu \ d \nu \theta \rho \acute{\omega} \pi \sigma \iota s$  will not suit as it stands. It may be made into a five-syllable group, as in Type IX. by prefixing a long syllable, or as in Type XI. by adding a short syllable. The latter is easy, if we use the  $\sigma \iota \nu$ -dative.  $\pi a \rho \theta \acute{e} \nu \sigma \iota \nu \chi \rho \acute{e} \sigma s$  will now end the line, and it remains only to add an emphatic particle to  $\tau \iota'$ , such as  $\delta \mathring{\eta} \tau a$ . The whole sense is now translated, and two feet of the first line remain unfilled. Suppose we add some word enforcing the sense; a noun in apposition ('as a sacrifice'), or an adjective ('sacrificial, appeasing,'  $\theta \epsilon \lambda \kappa \tau \acute{\eta} \rho \iota \sigma s$ ). We then get finally:

ην μη θεοίσιν αΐμα δώ θελκτήριον τί δητ' εν ανθρώποισι παρθένου χρέος;

#### XXII.

Perhaps the House will allow me to make one or two remarks about the Irish policy, as it has been touched upon by the hon. gentleman who has just sat down. There was one passage in that speech which I heard with regret, and that was the passage in which he declared that he was prepared to receive our proposals on the subject of the evicted tenants in an attitude of critical suspicion. I regret that, and I am astonished, because I do not think that there is a single man in this House who is less capable of anything like political vindictiveness than the right hon. gentleman. (Cheers.)

JOHN MORLEY, March 13, 1894.

The problem before us here is easier in some respects than when we translate a piece of poetry; but in other respects it is less easy. Easier, because we have to reduce the ideas to their simplest, and if we translate these into simple and idiomatic Greek which will scan, no more is necessary. Less easy, because there is wide scope for poetical embellishment of these simple ideas, and the skilful composer has a chance to show his skill. We shall not, however, be ambitious; and our aim will be two-fold: first, to get at the meaning of the English, discarding its forms; and secondly, to put this meaning into simple Greek verse.

Perhaps the House will allow me to make one or two remarks about the Irish policy, as it has been touched upon by the hon. gentleman who has just sat down.

It is of course necessary to avoid a literal translation of technicalities. No Greek, whether poet or prose-writer, would speak of "the House" as an English member of parliament does, or would allude to another speaker as ὁ καλὸς κἀγαθὸς ἀνήρ. An Athenian speaker would say something of this sort: "O men, I should like to speak a few things briefly

in answer to  $(\pi\rho\delta s)$  those things which this man has said about Irish matters, who sat down just now,' or 'who stood up before you,' or simply 'this man,' ovos. These sentences suggest to the mind several metrical phrases.  $\theta\epsilon\lambda o\iota\mu$ '  $\delta\nu$ , for instance, and  $\sigma\nu\nu\tau\delta\mu\omega s$ , occur to the mind at once; and these got, the first line is practically done. After the amphibrachys we place  $\delta\nu\delta\rho\epsilon s$ , and  $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu$  last. 'A few remarks' is  $\delta\lambda\epsilon\gamma a$ , or better  $\pi\alpha\hat{\nu}\rho a$ , which we place after the caesura, putting the cretic in its Second Position (Type V.):

θέλοιμ' ἄν, ὧνδρες, παῦρα συντόμως λέγειν...

πρὸς ταῦτα will begin the second line; and 'of Irish matters' becomes  $\pi\epsilon\rho$ ὶ τῶν Ἰβερνικῶν; which is easily arranged once we see that the genitive is a five-syllable group proper to Type X. A spondee is all that is now required to complete this line.  $\nu \hat{v} \nu$  δή is obvious, and the relative  $\tilde{a}$  must be prefixt as a connecting link:

πρὸς ταῦθ' ἃ νῦν δὴ τῶν Ἰβερνικῶν πέρι...

Again: 'just' is  $d\rho\tau i\omega_s$ , a cretic; and 'he that has just sat down,'  $d\rho\tau i\omega_s$  (=  $\delta$   $d\rho\tau i\omega_s$ )  $\kappa a\theta \eta \mu e \nu o s$ , is already a final penthemimer.  $o\tilde{v}\tau o s$  will be the subject, and we now have to find (if possible) a verb for 'said' which shall scan, as a bacchius or the like. It does not take long to think of the compound  $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \tilde{u}\pi \epsilon \nu$ , which gives for the third line

...ουτος κατείπεν άρτίως καθήμενος.

There was one passage in that speech which I heard with regret, and that was the passage in which he declared that he was prepared to receive our proposals on the subject of the evicted tenants in an attitude of critical suspicion.

There is a good deal of tautology and verbiage in this sentence which of course will be disregarded. 'A passage,' for instance, will be in Greek 'a thing'; 'and that was the passage' should be simply omitted; so with 'declared,' which is implied by  $\omega_s$  or an infinitive of reported speech, and the ugly metaphor 'attitude.' The abstract nouns too must be simplified to some extent. Thus 'our proposals' is 'whatever we propose  $(\beta ουλεύομεν)$ ': 'critical suspicion' must be split up into 'with suspicion,' and 'in a spirit of enmity,' say  $\delta_t$ '  $\xi\chi\theta\rho\alpha_s$ . This last is somewhat stronger than the English, but an exact translation is not to be had, since κρίνειν is a clear duty, and implies no unfriendliness. Furthermore, 'I heard with regret' should be 'pains me,' λυπεί με, for no Greek would be likely to say ηκουσα δ' ακων.

Our next task is to marshal these phrases, and see how they can be fitted into verse. First we insert  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$ , as is natural at the beginning of a speech, and  $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$ ; and thus we get a palimbacchius,  $\mathring{\epsilon} \nu$   $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$   $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$ . The next word is obviously  $\epsilon \mathring{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \nu$ ; and now a penthemimer stands before us. There is little help to be got for the latter part of the line. We may write  $\lambda \nu \pi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \pi o \lambda \acute{\nu}$  as the last group, leaving a cretic; but no cretic is forthcoming. Suppose we prefix the emphatic  $\kappa a \acute{\iota}$ , making  $\kappa a \grave{\iota} \lambda \nu \pi \epsilon \hat{\iota}$  a molossus; is there anything that can be moulded into a trochee? The student will observe that the construction is not yet complete;  $\mathring{o}$  is required before  $\lambda \nu \pi \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ ; and this ought to suggest that the antecedent be inserted, which just meets our present need:

## εν μεν γαρ είπε τοῦθ' ο καὶ λυπεῖ πολύ...

A bacchius is waiting to take its place in the next line;  $\delta \iota' \, \tilde{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho a s$ . Before this may come the word introducing the speech, or the infinitive if it will fit. 'Prepared' is  $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$  rather than  $\hat{\epsilon} \tau o \hat{\iota} \mu o s$ , and this spondee we place first. 'Suspicion' must be an abstract noun,  $\hat{\upsilon} \pi o \psi (\hat{a}; \text{ but it is difficult} (\delta \iota \acute{a} \text{ being already there}) to find a preposition which will do for 'with.' Perhaps <math>\hat{\epsilon} \xi$  may do; but neither this nor  $\mu \epsilon \tau \acute{a}$  looks right. Remember how fond the Greek language is of

negatives, and you will soon think of οὖκ ἄνευ (or ἄτερ) ὑποψίας; which with the necessary καί, and a little adjustment, takes shape thus:

# ...μέλλειν δι' ἔχθρας κοὖχ ὑποψίας ἄτερ...

'Our proposals' contains the materials for a half-line. To begin with,  $\beta ov \lambda \epsilon \acute{v} o\mu \epsilon \nu$  is of that form that it may stand last in the line; and though  $\delta \sigma a$  or  $\delta \sigma' \, \check{a} \nu$  helps little, it is easy to substitute  $\epsilon \acute{t} \tau \iota$ . An emphatic  $\kappa a \acute{\iota}$  fills the gap. But the phrase may be better turned than this. The proper phrase for a resolution of the assembly is  $\delta o\kappa \epsilon \imath \nu$ ; and since this also means 'to seem good,' in ordinary speech, it is most appropriate here.  $\beta ov \lambda \epsilon \acute{\iota} \iota \nu$  is an excellent molossus; and  $\beta ov \lambda \epsilon \acute{\iota} \epsilon \iota \nu$   $\delta o\kappa \epsilon \imath$  is a more idiomatic rendering than the one first submitted. A verb is now wanted; what is it that the honourable gentleman is going to do? Obviously  $\imath \kappa o\acute{\iota} \epsilon \iota \nu$ ; and here we have a bacchius. Now prefix  $\imath \mu \omega \nu$ , and the line is done:

# ... ήμων ακούειν, εί τι βουλεύειν δοκεί...

'The evicted tenants.' remain; and seem like to prove as unmanageable in verse as they are in their native land. How can we discover a Greek phrase which shall be equivalent? There is no phrase in Greek used habitually in the same way as this is used. But the idea is easily capable of translation. The word 'tenant' ought to recal the  $\kappa\lambda\eta\rho\circ\hat{\nu}\chi\circ$  who settled in an allotment of conquered territory; and 'evicted' may be translated by  $\hat{\epsilon}\kappa\pi\epsilon\sigma\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}\nu$ . The sentence takes shape thus: 'about those  $\kappa\lambda\eta\rho\circ\hat{\nu}\chi\circ$  who have been turned out of their farms ( $\chi\omega\rho(\hat{a})$ .' The words are much more easily managed in the singular; and so we fall back on the general supposition 'if any tenant is evicted from his farm':  $\hat{\eta}\nu$   $\kappa\lambda\eta\rho\circ\hat{\nu}\chi\circ$   $\tau$  is  $\hat{\epsilon}\kappa$ 

τοῦ χωρίου ἐκπέση. Now the matter becomes simple enough. Who will fail to see the cretic ἐκπέση or the palimbacchius κληροῦχος ? Place κληροῦχος first and ἤν τις second, follow up with the cretic, and the remainder can stand last, the preposition being omitted as unnecessary:

κληρούχος ήν τις έκπέση του χωρίου.

I regret that, and I am astonished, because I do not think that there is a single man in this House who is less capable of anything like political vindictiveness than the right hon. gentleman. (Cheers.)

We begin by echoing the  $\lambda \nu \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$  of line 4, with either a link or some pronoun.  $\lambda \nu \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$   $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon$  in elision will make a good beginning for the line, and we may go on to emphasize  $\pi o \lambda \hat{\nu}$  (line 4): repeating the idea as (say)  $o \hat{\nu}_{\chi}$   $\tilde{\eta} \kappa \iota \sigma \tau a$ . This brings us to the hephthemimeral caesura. 'Astonisht' at once suggests  $\theta a \nu \mu a \hat{\iota}_{\chi} \delta \epsilon$ , and  $\tilde{\delta} \tau \iota$  may end the line. To complete the construction, add something like  $\tau a \hat{\nu} \tau^{2}$   $\epsilon \hat{\iota}_{\pi} \epsilon \nu$ , or  $\tau o \iota a \hat{\nu} \tau^{2}$   $\epsilon \hat{\iota}_{\chi} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ .

λυπεῖ τόδ' οὐχ ηκιστα, θαυμάζω δ' ὅτι τοιαῦτ' ἔλεξεν.

In the next sentence a well-known idiom lies concealed. A Greek would put the idea thus: 'He, if any other man (εἴτις καὶ ἄλλος, or εἴ τις ἄλλος), would shrink from...' It will be needful to paraphrase 'political vindictiveness': the plain meaning is 'to be an enemy (ἐχθρός) to his opponents (ἐναντίοι) in political life (ἐν πόλει).' Here we have several useful phrases. εἴ τις ἄλλος is a double trochee; ἐν πόλει a cretic; ἐναντίοι a proper final; while ἐχθρός may be used in many ways, ἐχθρὸς γενέσθαι (penthemimer), ἐχθρὸς εἶναι (lacking one syllable of a penthemimer), ἐχθρὸς ὧν (cretic).

Turning now to the beginning of the sentence, we see that the obvious particles are  $\kappa \alpha \hat{\iota} \gamma \acute{a} \rho$ . If these be used, the

next thing will be a molossus, cretic, or five-syllable final (Type IX.). 'Shrink' is ὀκνείν, and this at once gives what we want: ὀκνοίη (ἄν). Introduce ποτε between these words, and the line is done. For the next, there is a double trochee waiting which needs but one syllable to make it a penthemimer; and the pronoun οδε may serve: οδο εί τις άλλος. We may proceed with τοις έναντίοις, and place έχθρὸς γενέσθαι in the next line; or, using a more ornate expression, write in the next line ἔχειν δι' ὀργῆς, changing the dative to an accusative. last iambus of line 10 is still lacking; replace the object by τῶν ἐναντίων τινά. 'Political' still remains, and the cretic ἐν πόλει may follow next. But it will be observed that the speech is done, and it is best to carry it on to the end of a verse. being so, insert some phrase justified by the context:  $\partial \chi \theta \rho \delta s$ ων, say, and give it an object by making έν πόλει an adjectival phrase. The whole section then runs as follows:

...καὶ γὰρ ὀκνοίη ποτ' ἄν ὅδ', εἴ τις ἄλλος, τῶν ἐναντίων τινὰ ἔχειν δι' ὀργῆς, ἔχθρὸς ὧν τοῖς ἐν πόλει.

Is our task now done? Not quite: the word 'Cheers' remains. But it may be said, there is no need to translate this. There is no absolute need; but can it be done? If these ideas were spoken by an actor on a Greek stage, how would he express the hearers' applause? That depends on circumstances.

First, the speech may be reported by a Messenger. If so, he would add something of this sort: 'So he spake; and they praised him':  $\delta \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \delta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} \ell \pi \epsilon$ , of  $\delta' \hat{\epsilon} \pi \hat{\gamma} \nu \epsilon \sigma a \nu$ , to which we may add  $\psi \delta \phi \omega$ , implied by context.

Or secondly, it may be spoken in propria persona by the actor. In this case, the audience are the Chorus; and no Greek Chorus would so far forget itself as to cry 'Hip hip

hurrah.' They, or their leader, would say simply: 'Thou hast well spoken, and we praise thee.' This gives  $\epsilon \tilde{v}$   $\gamma$   $\epsilon \tilde{l} \pi as$  (palimbacchius) for the first section of the line, and  $\tau a \hat{v} \tau$   $\epsilon \tilde{r} \pi a \iota v o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon v$  to follow the caesura (Type X.). It is easy to expand this by using  $\tilde{l} \sigma \theta \iota$ :  $\tilde{l} \sigma \theta'$   $\epsilon \tilde{r} \pi a \iota v o \tilde{v} v \tau as$   $\tau a \delta \epsilon$ , which leaves just room for  $\tilde{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s$ . So the Chorus replies to the speech

εὖ γ' εἶπας· ήμᾶς δ' ἴσθ' ἐπαινοῦντας τάδε.

### INDEX.

Abstract Nouns 66, 131, 168 Adjective for Adverb 64 Adjective for Preposition 65 Agricultural Metaphors 31 Allusive Epithets not Greek 123, 210 Animal Metaphors 33 Antithesis 50, 215, 216, 220, 223, 239 Antithesis exemplified 121, 143; implied 194, 197 Art metaphors 35 Article in crasis 18 Article, in verse 23; as demonstrative or relative 23 Article, neuter, in phrases 64 Asyndeton 71 Auxiliary verb, beginnings of the 59

Baochius, plaos in verse 9 Books and writing, metaphors from 36

Caesura elided 12; lacking 13; quasi-caesura 11 Calm and Storm, metaphors from 44 Crafts and Trades, metaphors from 36 Crasis 18 Coin metaphors 36 Compound Words 69; classified metrically 70 Concealed Antithesis 194, 195, 197 Construction interrupted in Stichomythia 15

Day and Night, metaphors from 37 Demonstrative article 23 Dice and Lots, metaphors from 37 Disease, metaphors from 43 Dress metaphors 38

Eating and Drinking metaphors 38
Echoes 103
Elided caesura 12
Epithets 71; not linked 71, picturesque 72, instead of Inflexion 72, proleptic 73, metaphorical 73, compounded with synonym of noun 74; allusive 123, 210; enforces meaning of verb 211; negative epithets in metaphor 234
Equivalents to Simile 28

Fighting Metaphors 38 Fire and Heat, metaphors from 37 Formality 115, 116

Games, metaphors from 39 Government, metaphors from 40 Grammatical construction broken by caesura 11, by end of verse 11 250 INDEX.

Grammatical usage 23

Human Body and Human life, metaphore from 40Hunting and fishing metaphore 42

Iambic Verse and Prose, their connexion: in English 75, in Greek 77 Inflexions in verse, special 23 Interpolated lines in Stichomythia

Kal in crasis 19

14, 15.

Language and style 25 Law Metaphors 42 Light and Dark, metaphors from 37

Metaphors 30: from Agriculture 31, Animale 33, Art 35, Books and Writing 36, Coins 36, Crafts and Trades 36, Day and Night, Light and Dark, Fire and Heat 37, Dice and Lots 37, Dress 38, Eating and Drinking 38, Fighting 38, Games 39, Government 40, Human body and life 40, Hunting and Fishing 42, Law 42, Physic and Disease 43, Roads 43, Sea and Sky, Calm and Storm 44, Ships 44, Stone and Metals 46, Teaching 46, Water 46, Wealth and Poverty 47, Weights and Burdens 47, Miscellaneous 47 Metaphorical Epithet 73

Metaphorical Epithet 73
Metrical terms explained 3
Metrical variants 21
Miscellaneous Metaphors 47
Molossus, place in Verse 9
Mutes 16

Names, how treated 120, 135, 137

Negative Idioms 67 Neuter Abstract Nouns used of Persons 75 Noun in Apposition 65 Numerals, how paraphrased 62

Padding 94

Paraphrase 54: of Verb by verb and noun 56, by verb and adjective 58, by verb and participle 58; of Noun and Pronoun by noun and genitive dependent 59, by noun and adjective 61, by relative sentence 61; of Adjective by noun in apposition 61; of Numerals 62; of Adverb by prepositional phrases 62, by phrases with article 64, by adjective; of phrases of time 65; of Preposition 65; the noun in Apposition 65, Abstract Noune 66; Redundant elemente 67; Negative Idioms 67; Paraphrase with Intention 68

Paraphrase exemplified 83, 164 Paraphrasee with γίγνεσθαι, εΐναι, έγειν, ζσγειν 56, with ποιείσθαι, τιθέναι, τίθεσθαι 57, with φέρειν 58 Parataxis 169 Pause at the half-line 12 Personification 49 Physic and Disease, metaphors from Picturesque Epithets 72 Poetic diction 26 Polite Imperative 124 Position before two consonants 16 Prepositional Phrases 62 Prodelision 20 Proleptic Epithet 73 Proverbe 48

Quasi-caesura 11

Redundant elements 67
Relative article 23
Repeated word 169
Repetition of words 51, of ideas 52, 213
Resolved syllables to be avoided 4, 86
Road metaphors 43

Sea and Sky, metaphors from 44
Sense pause, where it may occur in
the verse 10
Ships, metaphors from 45
Shortened forms in verse 25
Simile 28, forms of 29
Simplicity and dignity 26
Simplicity in Greek 100, 112
Stichomythia 13; interpolated lines
14, 15; construction interrupted
15; irregularities and rarities 16

Stichomythia exemplified 89, 96, 104, 128; interpolated line 99
Stone and Metals, metaphors from 46
Suspense and emphasis 174
Synizesis 20

Teaching, metaphors from 46 Time, phrases for 65 Types of Verse 5

Verse-paragraph 9, 112, 122

Water metaphors 46
Wealth and Poverty, metaphors from 47
Weights and Burdens, metaphors from 47
Word groups and Words 3

```
λάθρα \mu' | ὑπελθών || ἐκβαλεῖν | ἱμείρεται. Soph. O. T. 386.
Type II. ≃-0|->||-0-|-0-
          έκειτο | τλήμων | δεινά δ' ήν | τάνθένδ' όραν. Soph. O. T. 1267.
στημορραγούσι | ποικίλων | έσθημάτων.
                                             Aesch. Pers. 836.
Type IV. ≃ + ∪ - | ≃ - ∪ || - ≃ - | ∪ ≃
          διοίγεται | θέαμα δ' | εἰσόψει | τάχα.
                                                Soph. O. T. 1295.
Type V. = - - = || - - || - = - || - = || - = ||
          ννώμης δ' απούσης || πημα || γίγνεται | μέγα.
                                                Aesch. Eum. 750.
Type VI. ≃+∪-∪∥-∪-∪|-∪≃
         ώς σύχ ύπέρφευ || θνητόν όντα | χρή φρονείν.
                                                 Aesch. Pers. 820.
σύ μέν, πάτερ | γεραιέ | τῶνδε | παρθένων. Aesch. Suppl. 480.
Type VIII. ∀_∪|-∀_∪|-∪-∪¥
         σιγήσατ' | ώ γυναϊκες || έξειργάσμεθα.
                                                  Eur. Hipp. 565.
Type IX.  \begin{cases} \frac{1}{7} - 0 - 2 & || - 0 & || - 2 - 0 & 2 \\ 2 - 0 - || & || - 0 & || \end{cases} 
         σιγή | καλύψαθ' || άνθάδ' || εἰσηκούσατε.
                                                 Eur. Hipp. 712.
Type X. ≃-0-≃||-0-≃-|0≃
         ούκοῦν | λέγουσα | τιμιωτέρα | φανεῖ.
                                                 Eur. Hipp. 332.
Type XI. ∠ | 0 - ∠ - 0 || - ∠ - 0 ∠
         ναίειν | έλευθέροισι | θηλειών άτερ.
                                                 Eur. Hipp. 624.
Type XII. ∀→∪−∀∥−∪−∀−∪∀
```

άλυπος | άτης || έξαπαλλαχθήσεται.

Soph. El. 1002.

